

Non-affine Landau-Ginzburg models and intersection cohomology

Thomas Reichelt and Christian Sevenheck

October 25, 2012

Abstract

We study Landau-Ginzburg models for numerically effective complete intersections in toric manifolds. These mirror models are partial compactifications of families of Laurent polynomials. We show a mirror statement saying that the quantum \mathcal{D} -module of the ambient part of the cohomology of the submanifold is isomorphic to a certain intersection cohomology \mathcal{D} -module and we deduce Hodge properties of these differential systems.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Intersection Cohomology of Lefschetz fibrations	3
2.1	Preliminaries	3
2.2	Gauß-Manin systems, hypergeometric \mathcal{D} -modules and the Radon transformation	5
2.3	Intersection cohomology \mathcal{D} -modules	9
2.4	The equivariant setting	12
3	Fourier transformation and lattices	18
3.1	Localized Fourier-Laplace Transform	18
3.2	Tameness and Lattices	21
4	Quantum cohomology of toric complete intersections	28
4.1	Twisted and reduced quantum \mathcal{D} -modules	28
4.2	Toric geometry of complete intersection subvarieties	30
5	Euler-Koszul homology and duality of GKZ-systems	34
6	Mirror correspondences	38

1 Introduction

The aim of this paper is the construction of a mirror model for complete intersections in smooth toric varieties. We consider the case where these subvarieties have a numerically effective anticanonical bundle, this includes Fano (sub-)manifolds as well as the most prominent and classical example of mirror symmetry, namely, that of Calabi-Yau hypersurfaces in toric Fano manifolds (and, in particular, the case of the famous quintic threefold in \mathbb{P}^4). Our mirror will be a *Landau-Ginzburg model*, which occur in two versions, called *affine* resp. *non-affine*. The former is a family of Laurent polynomials, constructed in a rather straightforward manner from the combinatorial toric data. The latter consists in a certain partial

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 14J33, 14M25, 32S40, 32S60, 14D07, 34Mxx, 53D45

Keywords: Gauß-Manin system, hypergeometric \mathcal{D} -module, toric variety, intersection cohomology, Radon transformation
 During the preparation of this paper, Th.R. was supported by a postdoctoral fellowship of the “Fondation sciences mathématiques Paris” and by the DFG grant He 2287/2-2. Ch.S. is supported by a DFG Heisenberg fellowship (Se 1114/2-1). Both authors acknowledge partial support by the ANR grant ANR-08-BLAN-0317-01 (SEDIGA).

compactification of this family of Laurent polynomials. It is by-now well-known that a smooth complete intersection (which is usually given as the zero locus of a generic section of a vector bundle) gives rise to at least two kind of quantum cohomology theories: On the one hand, one may define so-called *twisted Gromov-Witten invariants*, basically, these are integrals over moduli spaces of stable maps of pull-backs of cohomology classes on the variety **and** of certain characteristic classes derived from the vector bundle. On the other hand, one can construct from these twisted invariants the quantum cohomology on the *ambient cohomology* of the subvariety, that is, on those classes which are induced from cohomology classes of the ambient variety. Our main result (Theorem 6.8) states that the so-called *quantum \mathcal{D} -modules* (these are vector bundles with integrable connections which basically are equivalent to the various quantum products) can be derived from the Laudau-Ginzburg models. More precisely, the twisted quantum \mathcal{D} -module is obtained as the Gauß-Manin system of the corresponding affine Landau-Ginzburg model, whereas the ambient quantum \mathcal{D} -module can be reconstructed from the non-affine one. However, the latter model is a projective morphism from a usually singular quasi-projective variety (which is a partial compactification of the torus on which the family of Laurent polynomials is defined). Hence the Gauß-Manin system of the non-affine model is difficult to access and we rather study the direct image of the *intersection complex* of the quasi-projective variety. One of the main points in the paper is that both the Gauß-Manin system and the intersection \mathcal{D} -module admit hypergeometric descriptions, that is, they can be derived from so-called GKZ-systems (as defined and studied by Gelfand', Kapranov and Zelevinsky). Notice that the intersection complex underlies a pure Hodge module, and this property is preserved under proper direct images. From this we deduce a Hodge-type property of the reduced quantum \mathcal{D} -module (see Corollary 6.9). However, it is not itself a Hodge module, as in general it acquires irregular singularities (this can not happen for Hodge structures resp. Hodge modules due to Schmid's theorem). Rather, it is part of a non-commutative Hodge (ncHodge) structure due to a key result by Sabbah ([Sab08]). We cannot yet conclude that it underlies itself a ncHodge-structure (Conjecture 6.10), this would follow from a precise description of the Hodge filtration on the intersection cohomology \mathcal{D} -module which is not available for the moment. We plan to discuss these issues in a subsequent paper. It is clear that a thorough understanding of Hodge properties of the differential systems involved in the mirror correspondence will be of importance for future studies on Landau-Ginzburg models.

Let us give a short overview on the paper: In section 2 we discuss generic families of Laurent polynomials defined by an integer matrix with maximal rank. We also define a natural partial compactification of such a family. As mentioned above, it is a projective morphism from a singular space. The construction of this space is rather canonical, it is obtained as the family of hyperplane sections of a projective variety which is toric in a generalized sense, i.e., not necessarily normal. We study the Gauß-Manin system of the uncompactified family, which admits a hypergeometric description due to a central result from [Rei12]. From this we can deduce that the direct image of the intersection complex of the space of hyperplane sections is isomorphic to the image of a morphism between two GKZ-systems.

Section 3 introduces the partial localized Fourier transformation. As a consequence of the results of section 2, we can identify the Fourier transformed Gauß-Manin and GKZ-systems. Similarly, we describe the Fourier transformation of the intersection cohomology \mathcal{D} -module derived from the compactified family. Then we study natural lattices in these Fourier transformed modules, and show some finiteness properties. Here is substantial difference to our earlier paper [RS10], as we have to study a certain intermediate compactification of the family of Laurent polynomials which is defined on the spectrum of the toric ring defined by the columns of the initial matrix. This variety has a logarithmic structure (in the sense of log geometry), and the good lattice is given by a log twisted de Rham complex. Nevertheless, it still has a nice description using hypergeometric equations, and this allows us to obtain the necessary finiteness result, when we restrict our families to a Zariski open set of the parameter space on which the fibres have sufficiently good properties at infinity. More precisely, we require that the only possible extra singularities at infinity are those already contained in the intermediate compactification given by the (extension of the family to the) toric ring. This is also different to the situation in [RS10] where we had to exclude any singularity at infinity. Let us notice that the assumptions in sections 2 and 3 are rather weak, in particular, we do not suppose that the initial matrix is defined by a toric variety (and, in particular, there is no nefness assumption here). Hence these results may also serve for further studies on Landau-Ginzburg models for not necessarily nef varieties (see, e.g., [GKR12]).

Section 4 is a remainder on notions from quantum cohomology for complete intersections in smooth projective varieties and of the combinatorial description of them for the case of a toric ambient variety. Although most of the material of this section can be found in the literatur (e.g., in [MM11]) we include

it for the convenience of the reader. Heuristically, the situation of sections 2 and 3 is specialized from here on to the case where the initial matrix (i.e., the one defining the family of Laurent polynomials etc.) is given by the primitive integral generators of the fan of the total space of a bundle over our toric variety. The complete intersection subvariety we are interested in then appears as the zero locus of a generic section of the dual of that bundle.

Section 5 starts with a purely combinatorial result about the semigroups occurring in the situation described in section 4. We show that the corresponding semigroup ring is normal and Gorenstein. The proof here is considerably more involved than that of a related result in [RS10], due to the non-compactness of the underlying toric variety (which is the total space of the bundle alluded to above). By using the machinery of Euler-Koszul complexes, we obtain a duality result for the GKZ-systems associated to the initial matrix, and we also consider a filtered version of this duality theorem.

In section 6, we describe the actual Landau-Ginzburg model of the complete intersection variety. As mentioned above, there are two versions, the *affine* and the *non-affine* one. Both are obtained from the generic families considered in sections 2 and 3 by restricting the parameters to the complexified Kähler moduli space of the ambient toric variety. The concrete description of the intersection cohomology \mathcal{D} -module of the compactified family as an image of a morphism between GKZ-systems allows us to identify it with the hypergeometric description of the reduced quantum- \mathcal{D} -module from [MM11]. This yields a geometric explanation of the nature of the *Quot*-ideal appearing in loc.cit., section 4. As a final result (theorem 6.8), we obtain two mirror statements which heuristically says that the affine Landau-Ginzburg model reconstructs the twisted quantum \mathcal{D} -module whereas the non-affine one gives back (via the intersection cohomology \mathcal{D} -module) the reduced quantum \mathcal{D} -module, that is, the ambient part of the quantum cohomology of the complete intersection subvariety. We finish the paper by discussing briefly the above mentioned Hodge properties of the reduced quantum \mathcal{D} -module.

2 Intersection Cohomology of Lefschetz fibrations

In this section we use the comparison result between Gauß-Manin systems of Laurent polynomials and GKZ-systems from [Rei12] to describe the direct image of the intersection complex of a natural compactification of a generic family of Laurent polynomials. The input data are an integer matrix B of maximal rank and the GKZ-system in question will be defined by a certain homogenized matrix \tilde{B} . The main tool is the Radon transformation resp. the Fourier transformation for monodromic \mathcal{D} -modules ([Bry86]).

We start by a short reminder on some basic notions from the theory of algebraic \mathcal{D} -modules. Then we discuss Gauß-Manin systems, GKZ-systems and intersection cohomology \mathcal{D} -modules associated to the above mentioned families. Finally, we show using some facts about *quasi-equivariant* \mathcal{D} -modules that most of the objects considered here behave well with respect to a natural torus action on the parameter space of the families of Laurent polynomials resp. of their compactification.

2.1 Preliminaries

We review very briefly some basic results from the theory of algebraic \mathcal{D} -modules, which will be needed later. Let \mathcal{X} be a smooth algebraic variety (we only consider algebraic varieties defined over \mathbb{C} in the paper) of dimension n and $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{X}}$ be the sheaf of algebraic differential operators on \mathcal{X} . We denote by $M(\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{X}})$ the abelian category of algebraic $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{X}}$ -modules on \mathcal{X} and the abelian subcategory of (regular) holonomic $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{X}}$ -modules by $M_h(\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{X}})$ (resp. $(M_{rh}(\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{X}}))$). The full triangulated subcategory in $D^b(\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{X}})$ consisting of objects with (regular) holonomic cohomology is denoted by $D_h^b(\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{X}})$ (resp. $D_{rh}^b(\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{X}})$).

Let $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ be a map between smooth algebraic varieties. Let $\mathcal{M} \in D^b(\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{X}})$ and $\mathcal{N} \in D^b(\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{Y}})$, then we denote by $f_+ \mathcal{M} := Rf_*(\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{Y} \leftarrow \mathcal{X}} \overset{L}{\otimes} \mathcal{M})$ resp. $f^+ \mathcal{N} := \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}} \overset{L}{\otimes} f^{-1} \mathcal{N}$ the direct resp. inverse image for \mathcal{D} -modules. Notice that the functors f_+, f^+ preserve (regular) holonomicity (see e.g., [HTT08, Theorem 3.2.3]). We denote by $\mathbb{D} : D_h^b(\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{X}}) \rightarrow (D_h^b(\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{X}}))^{opp}$ the holonomic duality functor. Recall that for a single holonomic $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{X}}$ -module M , the holonomic dual is also a single holonomic $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{X}}$ -module ([HTT08, Proposition 3.2.1]) and that holonomic duality preserves regular holonomicity ([HTT08, Theorem 6.1.10]).

For a morphism $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ between smooth algebraic varieties we additionally define the functors $f_{\dagger} := \mathbb{D} \circ f_+ \circ \mathbb{D}$ and $f^{\dagger} := \mathbb{D} \circ f^+ \circ \mathbb{D}$.

Let $i : \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ be a closed embedding of a smooth subvariety of codimension d and $j : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ be the open embedding of its complement. This gives rise to the following triangles for $\mathcal{M} \in D_{rh}^b(\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{X}})$

$$i_+ i^+ \mathcal{M}[-d] \longrightarrow \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow j_+ j^+ \mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{+1}, \quad (1)$$

$$j_+ j^\dagger \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow i_+ i^\dagger \mathcal{M}[d] \xrightarrow{+1}. \quad (2)$$

The first triangle is [HTT08, Proposition 1.7.1] and the second triangle follows by dualization. We will often use the following base change theorem.

Theorem 2.1 ([HTT08, Theorem 1.7.3]). *Consider the following cartesian diagram of algebraic varieties*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{Z} & \xrightarrow{f'} & \mathcal{W} \\ g' \downarrow & & \downarrow g \\ \mathcal{Y} & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathcal{X} \end{array}$$

then we have the canonical isomorphism $f^+ g_+ [d] \simeq g'_+ f'^+ [d']$, where $d := \dim \mathcal{Y} - \dim \mathcal{X}$ and $d' := \dim \mathcal{Z} - \dim \mathcal{W}$.

Remark 2.2. Notice that by symmetry we have also the canonical isomorphism $g^+ f_+ [\tilde{d}] \simeq f'_+ g'^+ [\tilde{d}']$ with $\tilde{d} := \dim \mathcal{W} - \dim \mathcal{X}$ and $\tilde{d}' := \dim \mathcal{Z} - \dim \mathcal{Y}$. In the former case we say we are doing a base change with respect to f , in the latter case with respect to g .

Remark 2.3. Using the duality functor we get isomorphisms:

$$f^\dagger g_+ [-d] \simeq g'_+ f'^\dagger [-d'] \quad \text{and} \quad g^\dagger f_+ [-\tilde{d}] \simeq f'_+ g'^\dagger [-\tilde{d}'].$$

In the sequel, we will consider Fourier-Laplace transformations of various \mathcal{D} -modules. We give a short reminder on the definition and basic properties of the Fourier-Laplace transformation. Let \mathcal{X} be a smooth algebraic variety, U be a finite-dimensional complex vector space and U' its dual vector space. Denote by \mathcal{E}' the trivial vector bundle $\tau : U' \times \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ and by \mathcal{E} its dual. Write $\text{can} : U \times U' \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ for the canonical morphism defined by $\text{can}(a, \varphi) := \varphi(a)$. This extends to a function $\text{can} : \mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{E}' \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

Definition 2.4. Define $\mathcal{L} := \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}' \times_{\mathcal{X}} \mathcal{E}} e^{-\text{can}}$, this is by definition the free rank one module with differential given by the product rule. Denote by $p_1 : \mathcal{E}' \times_{\mathcal{X}} \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}'$, $p_2 : \mathcal{E}' \times_{\mathcal{X}} \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ the canonical projections. The Fourier-Laplace transformation is then defined by

$$\text{FL}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{M}) := p_{2+}(p_1^+ \mathcal{M} \otimes^L \mathcal{L}) \quad \mathcal{M} \in D_h^b(\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{E}'}).$$

If the base \mathcal{X} is a point we will simply write FL. In general, the Fourier-Laplace transformation does not preserve regular holonomicity. However, it does preserve regular holonomicity for the derived category of complexes of \mathcal{D} -modules the cohomology of which are so-called *monodromic* \mathcal{D} -modules. We will give a short reminder on this notion. Let $\chi : \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathcal{E}' \rightarrow \mathcal{E}'$ be the natural \mathbb{C}^* action on the fiber U' and let θ be a coordinate on \mathbb{C}^* . We denote the push-forward $\chi_*(\theta \partial_\theta)$ as the Euler vector field \mathfrak{E} .

Definition 2.5. [Bry86] A regular holonomic $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{E}'}$ -module \mathcal{M} is called *monodromic*, if the Euler field \mathfrak{E} acts locally finite on $\tau_*(\mathcal{M})$, i.e. for a local section v of $\tau_*(\mathcal{M})$ the set $\mathfrak{E}^n(v)$, ($n \in \mathbb{N}$), generates a finite-dimensional vector space. We denote by $D_{\text{mon}}^b(\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{E}'})$ the derived category of bounded complexes of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{E}'}$ -modules with regular holonomic and monodromic cohomology.

Theorem 2.6. [Bry86]

1. $\text{FL}_{\mathcal{X}}$ preserves complexes with monodromic cohomology.
2. In $D_{\text{mon}}^b(\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{E}'})$ we have

$$\text{FL}_{\mathcal{X}} \circ \text{FL}_{\mathcal{X}} \simeq \text{Id} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{D} \circ \text{FL}_{\mathcal{X}} \simeq \text{FL}_{\mathcal{X}} \circ \mathbb{D}.$$

3. $\mathrm{FL}_{\mathcal{X}}$ is t -exact with respect to the natural t -structure on $D_{\mathrm{mon}}^b(\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{E}'})$ resp. $D_{\mathrm{mon}}^b(\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{E}})$.

Proof. The above statements are stated in [Bry86] for constructible monodromic complexes. One has to use the Riemann-Hilbert correspondence [Bry86, Proposition 7.12, Theorem 7.24] to translate the statements. So the first statement is Corollaire 6.12, the second statement is Proposition 6.13 and the third is Corollaire 7.23 in [Bry86]. \square

We will make occasionally use of the so-called \mathcal{R} -modules. More precisely, let M be a smooth algebraic variety and consider the product of M with the affine line \mathbb{C}_z where z is a fixed coordinate. Then by definition $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{C}_z \times M}$ is the $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_z \times M}$ -subalgebra of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}_z \times M}$ locally generated by $z^2 \partial_z$ and by $z \partial_{x_1}, \dots, z \partial_{x_n}$ where (x_1, \dots, x_n) are local coordinates on M . Notice that $j_M^* \mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{C}_z \times M} \cong \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}_z^* \times M}$, where $j_M : \mathbb{C}_z^* \times M \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}_z \times M$ is the canonical open embedding.

We will also consider the $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_z \times M}$ -subalgebra \mathcal{R}' of \mathcal{R} which is locally generated by $z \partial_{x_1}, \dots, z \partial_{x_n}$ only. The inclusion $\mathcal{R}' \hookrightarrow \mathcal{R}$ induces a functor from the category of \mathcal{R} -modules to the category of \mathcal{R}' -modules, which we denote by $\mathrm{For}_{z^2 \partial_z}$ (“forgetting the $z^2 \partial_z$ -structure”).

2.2 Gauß-Manin systems, hypergeometric \mathcal{D} -modules and the Radon transformation

In this subsection we adapt some results from [Rei12] to our situation. More precisely, for a given generic family of Laurent polynomials, we describe the canonical morphism between its Gauß-Manin-systems with compact support and its usual Gauß-Manin-systems. This mapping can be expressed as a morphism between the corresponding GKZ-systems. We will use this result in the next subsection to describe certain intersection cohomology modules.

We start by fixing our initial data and by introducing the GKZ-hypergeometric \mathcal{D} -modules. Let B be a $s \times t$ -integer matrix such that the columns of B , which we denote by $(\underline{b}_1, \dots, \underline{b}_t)$, generate \mathbb{Z}^s . Consider the torus $S = (\mathbb{C}^*)^s$ and the $t + 1$ -dimensional vector space V (with coordinates $\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_t$) as well as its dual V' (with coordinates $\mu_0, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_t$). Define the map

$$\begin{aligned} g : S &\longrightarrow \mathbb{P}(V') \\ (y_1, \dots, y_s) &\mapsto (1 : \underline{y}^{\underline{b}_1}, \dots, \underline{y}^{\underline{b}_t}), \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where $\underline{y}^{\underline{b}_i} := \prod_{k=1}^s y_k^{b_{ki}}$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, t\}$. The condition on the columns of the matrix B ensures that this is an embedding. If we denote the closure of the image of g in $\mathbb{P}(V')$ by X , then X is a (possibly non-normal) toric variety in the sense of [GKZ08, Chapter 5]. So we have the following sequence of maps

$$S \xrightarrow{j} X \xrightarrow{i} \mathbb{P}(V') \quad (4)$$

where j is an open embedding and i a closed embedding.

We will denote the homogeneous coordinates on $\mathbb{P}(V')$ by $(\mu_0 : \dots : \mu_t)$. Let Q be the convex hull of the elements $\{\underline{b}_0 = 0, \underline{b}_1, \dots, \underline{b}_t\}$ in \mathbb{R}^s . Then by [GKZ08, Chapter 5, Prop 1.9] the projective variety X has a natural stratification by torus orbits $X^0(\Gamma)$, which are in one-to-one correspondence with faces Γ of the polytope Q . The orbit $X^0(\Gamma)$ is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{C}^*)^{\dim(\Gamma)}$ and is specified inside X by the conditions

$$\mu_i = 0 \quad \text{for all } \underline{b}_i \notin \Gamma, \quad \mu_i \neq 0 \quad \text{for all } \underline{b}_i \in \Gamma. \quad (5)$$

In particular the torus $S \subset X$ is given by the face $\Gamma = Q$, i.e. by the equations $\mu_i \neq 0$ for all $i \in \{0, \dots, t\}$.

To this setup we associate the following \mathcal{D} -modules. Write $W = \mathbb{C}^t$ with coordinates $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_t$ so that $V = \mathbb{C}_{\lambda_0} \times W$.

Definition 2.7 ([GKZ90], [Ado94]). *Consider a lattice \mathbb{Z}^s and vectors $\underline{b}_1, \dots, \underline{b}_t \in \mathbb{Z}^s$. Moreover, let $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_s) \in \mathbb{C}^s$. Write \mathbb{L} for the module of relations among the columns of B . Define*

$$\mathcal{M}_B^\beta := \mathcal{D}_W / ((\square_{\underline{l}})_{\underline{l} \in \mathbb{L}} + (E_k - \beta_k)_{k=1, \dots, s}),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\square_{\underline{l}} &:= \prod_{i:l_i < 0} \partial_{\lambda_i}^{-l_i} - \prod_{i:l_i > 0} \partial_{\lambda_i}^{l_i}, \\ E_k &:= \sum_{i=1}^s b_{ki} \lambda_i \partial_{\lambda_i},\end{aligned}$$

where b_{ki} is the k -th component of \underline{b}_i . The D_W -module \mathcal{M}_B^β is called a GKZ-system.

As GKZ-systems are defined on the affine space W , we will often work with the D_W -modules of global sections $M_B^\beta := \Gamma(W, \mathcal{M}_B^\beta)$ rather than with the sheaves themselves, where $D_W = \mathbb{C}[\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_t] \langle \partial_{\lambda_1}, \dots, \partial_{\lambda_t} \rangle$ is the Weyl algebra.

We will also consider a homogenization of the systems above. Let \tilde{B} be the $(s+1) \times (t+1)$ integer matrix with columns $\tilde{\underline{b}}_0 := (1, \underline{0}), \tilde{\underline{b}}_1 := (1, \underline{b}_1), \dots, \tilde{\underline{b}}_t := (1, \underline{b}_t)$.

Definition 2.8. Consider the hypergeometric system $\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{B}}^{\tilde{\beta}}$ on $V = \mathbb{C}^{t+1}$ associated to the vectors $\tilde{\underline{b}}_0, \tilde{\underline{b}}_1, \dots, \tilde{\underline{b}}_t \in \mathbb{Z}^{s+1}$ and $\tilde{\beta} \in \mathbb{C}^{s+1}$. More explicitly, $\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{B}}^{\tilde{\beta}} := \mathcal{D}_V / \mathcal{I}$, where \mathcal{I} is the sheaf of left ideals in \mathcal{D}_V defined by

$$\mathcal{I} := \mathcal{D}_V(\square_{\underline{l}})_{\underline{l} \in \mathbb{L}} + \mathcal{D}_V(E_k - \beta_k)_{k=0, \dots, s},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\square_{\underline{l}} &:= \partial_{\lambda_0}^{\tilde{l}} \cdot \prod_{i:l_i < 0} \partial_{\lambda_i}^{-l_i} - \prod_{i:l_i > 0} \partial_{\lambda_i}^{l_i} \quad \text{if } \tilde{l} \geq 0, \\ \square_{\underline{l}} &:= \prod_{i:l_i < 0} \partial_{\lambda_i}^{-l_i} - \partial_{\lambda_0}^{-\tilde{l}} \cdot \prod_{i:l_i > 0} \partial_{\lambda_i}^{l_i} \quad \text{if } \tilde{l} < 0, \\ E_k &:= \sum_{i=1}^t b_{ki} \lambda_i \partial_{\lambda_i}, \\ E_0 &:= \sum_{i=0}^t \lambda_i \partial_{\lambda_i}.\end{aligned}$$

Let h be the map given by

$$\begin{aligned}h : T &\longrightarrow V' \\ (y_0, \dots, y_s) &\mapsto (\underline{y}^{\tilde{\underline{b}}_0}, \dots, \underline{y}^{\tilde{\underline{b}}_t}) = (y_0, y_0 \underline{y}^{\underline{b}_1}, \dots, y_0 \underline{y}^{\underline{b}_t}),\end{aligned}\tag{6}$$

where $T = \mathbb{C}^* \times S = (\mathbb{C}^*)^{s+1}$. Notice that the restriction of h to $\{1\} \times S$ is exactly the map g from formula (3), when seen as a map to the affine chart $\{\mu_0 = 1\} \subset \mathbb{P}(V')$.

In the next lemma, we establish a relation between a direct image under this morphism h and the GKZ-systems just introduced. As a piece of notation, for any matrix C , we write $\mathbb{N}C$ for the semi-group generated by the columns of C .

Lemma 2.9. There exists a $\delta_{\tilde{B}} \in \mathbb{N}\tilde{B}$ such that we have an isomorphism

$$a : \text{FL}(h_+ \mathcal{O}_T) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{B}}^{\tilde{\beta}}\tag{7}$$

for every $\tilde{\beta} \in \delta_{\tilde{B}} + (\mathbb{R}_+ \tilde{B} \cap \mathbb{Z}^{s+1})$. Furthermore, we have a dual isomorphism

$$a^\vee : \text{FL}(h_+ \mathcal{O}_T) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{B}}^{-\tilde{\beta}'}\tag{8}$$

for every $\tilde{\beta}' \in (\mathbb{R}_+ \tilde{B})^\circ \cap \mathbb{Z}^{s+1}$. For every $\tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\beta}'$ as above, the diagram below commutes up to a non-zero constant

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{B}}^{-\tilde{\beta}'} & \xrightarrow{\cdot \partial^{\tilde{\beta} + \tilde{\beta}'}} & \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{B}}^{\tilde{\beta}} \\ a^\vee \downarrow \simeq & & \simeq \uparrow a \\ \text{FL}(h_+ \mathcal{O}_T) & \longrightarrow & \text{FL}(h_+ \mathcal{O}_T), \end{array}$$

where the lower horizontal morphism is induced by the natural morphism $h_+ \mathcal{O}_T \rightarrow h_+ \mathcal{O}_T$.

Proof. By [SW09, Corollary 3.7] we have the isomorphism $\mathrm{FL}(h_+(\mathcal{O}_T \cdot \underline{y}^{\tilde{\beta}})) \simeq \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{B}}^{\tilde{\beta}}$ for every $\tilde{\beta} \notin sRes(\tilde{B})$ where $sRes(\tilde{B})$ is the set of so-called strongly resonant parameters ([SW09, Definition 3.4]). Here $\mathcal{O}_T \cdot \underline{y}^{\tilde{\beta}}$ is again the free rank one module with differential given by the product rule. Using [Rei12, Lemma 1.16], which says that there exists an $\delta_{\tilde{B}} \in \mathbb{N}\tilde{B}$ such that $\delta_{\tilde{B}} + (\mathbb{R}_+\tilde{B} \cap \mathbb{Z}^{s+1}) \cap sRes(\tilde{B}) = \emptyset$ and the fact that $\mathcal{O}_T \simeq \mathcal{O}_T \cdot \underline{y}^{\tilde{\gamma}}$ for every $\tilde{\gamma} \in \mathbb{Z}^{s+1}$, the first statement follows. The second statement follows from taking the holonomic dual of (7), namely, we put

$$a^\vee := \mathbb{D}a : \mathbb{D}\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{B}}^{\tilde{\beta}} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathbb{D}\mathrm{FL}(h_+\mathcal{O}_T) \simeq \mathrm{FL}(\mathbb{D}h_+\mathcal{O}_T) \simeq \mathrm{FL}(h_+\mathcal{O}_T)$$

and then we conclude by applying [Rei12, Proposition 1.23].

The last statement follows from the fact that the only non-zero morphism between $\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{B}}^{-\tilde{\beta}'}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{B}}^{\tilde{\beta}}$ is right multiplication $\partial^{\tilde{\beta}+\tilde{\beta}'}$ up to a non-zero constant (cf. [Rei12, Proposition 1.24]). \square

We will denote by $Z \subset \mathbb{P}(V') \times V$ the universal hyperplane given by $Z := \{\sum_{i=0}^t \lambda_i \mu_i = 0\}$ and by $U := (\mathbb{P}(V') \times V) \setminus Z$ its complement. Consider the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & U & & \\ & \swarrow \pi_1^U & \downarrow j_U & \searrow \pi_2^U & \\ \mathbb{P}(V') & \xleftarrow{\pi_1} & \mathbb{P}(V') \times V & \xrightarrow{\pi_2} & V \\ & \nwarrow \pi_1^Z & \uparrow i_Z & \nearrow \pi_2^Z & \\ & & Z & & \end{array}$$

We will use in the sequel several variants of the so-called Radon transformation. These are functors from $D_{rh}^b(\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{P}(V')})$ to $D_{rh}^b(\mathcal{D}_V)$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}(M) &:= \pi_{2+}^Z (\pi_1^Z)^+ M \simeq \pi_{2+} i_{Z+} i_Z^+ \pi_1^+ M \\ \mathcal{R}^\circ(M) &:= \pi_{2+}^U (\pi_1^U)^+ M \simeq \pi_{2+} j_{U+} j_U^+ \pi_1^+ M, \\ \mathcal{R}_c^\circ(M) &:= \pi_{2+}^U (\pi_1^U)^+ M \simeq \pi_{2+} j_{U+} j_U^+ \pi_1^+ M, \\ \mathcal{R}_{cst}(M) &:= \pi_{2+} (\pi_1)^+ M, \end{aligned}$$

The adjunction triangle corresponding to the open embedding j_U and the closed embedding i_Z gives rise to the following triangles of Radon transformations.

$$\mathcal{R}[-1](M) \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}_{cst}(M) \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}^\circ(M) \xrightarrow{+1}, \quad (9)$$

$$\mathcal{R}_c^\circ(M) \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}_{cst}(M) \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}[1](M) \xrightarrow{+1}, \quad (10)$$

where the second triangle is dual to the first.

We can now introduce the generic family of Laurent polynomials mentioned at the beginning of this subsection. It is defined by the columns of the matrix B , more precisely, we put

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_B : S \times W &\longrightarrow V = \mathbb{C}_{\lambda_0} \times W \\ (y_1, \dots, y_s, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_t) &\mapsto \left(- \sum_{i=1}^t \lambda_i \underline{y}^{b_i}, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_t \right). \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

The following theorem of [Rei12] constructs a morphism between the Gauß-Manin system $\mathcal{H}^0(\varphi_{B,+} \mathcal{O}_{S \times W})$ resp. the its proper version $\mathcal{H}^0(\varphi_{B,\dagger} \mathcal{O}_{S \times W})$ and certain GKZ-hypergeometric systems. For this we apply the triangle (9) to $M = g_{\dagger} \mathcal{O}_S$ and the triangle (10) to $M = g_+ \mathcal{O}_S$, which gives us the result.

Theorem 2.10. [Rei12, lemma 1.16, theorem 2.7] There exists an $\delta_{\tilde{B}} \in \mathbb{N}\tilde{B}$ such that for every $\tilde{\beta} \in \delta_{\tilde{B}} + \mathbb{R}_+\tilde{B} \cap \mathbb{Z}^{s+1}$ and every $\tilde{\beta}' \in (\mathbb{N}\tilde{B})^\circ = \mathbb{N}\tilde{B} \cap (\mathbb{R}_+\tilde{B})^\circ$, the following sequences of \mathcal{D}_V -modules are exact and dual to each other.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
H^{s-1}(S, \mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_V & \mathcal{H}^0(\varphi_{B,+} \mathcal{O}_{S \times W}) & \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{B}}^{\tilde{\beta}} & H^s(S, \mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_V \\
\uparrow \simeq & \uparrow \simeq & \uparrow \simeq & \uparrow \simeq \\
0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^{-1}(\mathcal{R}_{cst}(g_+ \mathcal{O}_S)) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^0(\mathcal{R}(g_+ \mathcal{O}_S)) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^0(\mathcal{R}_c^\circ(g_+ \mathcal{O}_S)) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^0(\mathcal{R}_{cst}(g_+ \mathcal{O}_S)) \longrightarrow 0 \\
\\
0 \longleftarrow \mathcal{H}^1(\mathcal{R}_{cst}(g_+ \mathcal{O}_S)) \longleftarrow \mathcal{H}^0(\mathcal{R}(g_+ \mathcal{O}_S)) \longleftarrow \mathcal{H}^0(\mathcal{R}_c^\circ(g_+ \mathcal{O}_S)) \longleftarrow \mathcal{H}^0(\mathcal{R}_{cst}(g_+ \mathcal{O}_S)) \longleftarrow 0 \\
\downarrow \simeq & \downarrow \simeq & \downarrow \simeq & \downarrow \simeq \\
H_c^{s+1}(S, \mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_V & \mathcal{H}^0(\varphi_{B,+} \mathcal{O}_{S \times W}) & \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{B}}^{-\tilde{\beta}'} & H_c^s(S, \mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_V
\end{array}$$

If moreover $\mathbb{N}\tilde{B}$ is saturated, then the vector $\delta_{\tilde{B}}$ can be taken to be $\underline{0} \in \mathbb{N}\tilde{B}$, in particular, the above statement holds for $\tilde{\beta} = \underline{0} \in \mathbb{Z}^{s+1}$.

Thus we get the following exact 4-term sequences which can be connected vertically by the map $\eta : H^0(\mathcal{R}(g_+ \mathcal{O}_S)) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{R}(g_+ \mathcal{O}_S))$ induced by the natural morphism $g_+ \mathcal{O}_S \rightarrow g_+ \mathcal{O}_S$. Define θ to be the composition $\kappa_2 \circ \eta \circ \kappa_1$. The next result gives a concrete description of this morphism.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 \longrightarrow H^{s-1}(S, \mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_V \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^0(\mathcal{R}(g_+ \mathcal{O}_S)) \xrightarrow{\kappa_2} \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{B}}^{\tilde{\beta}} \longrightarrow H^s(S, \mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_V \longrightarrow 0 \\
\uparrow \eta & & \uparrow \theta \\
0 \longleftarrow H_c^{s+1}(S, \mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_V \longleftarrow \mathcal{H}^0(\mathcal{R}(g_+ \mathcal{O}_S)) \xleftarrow{\kappa_1} \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{B}}^{-\tilde{\beta}'} \longleftarrow H_c^s(S, \mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_V \longleftarrow 0
\end{array}$$

Lemma 2.11. The morphism θ is induced by right multiplication with $\partial^{\beta+\beta'}$ up to a non-zero constant.

Proof. Once we can prove that $\kappa_2 \circ \eta \circ \kappa_1$ is not equal to zero we apply a rigidity result of [Rei12, proposition 1.24] which says that the only maps between $\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{B}}^{-\tilde{\beta}'}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{B}}^{\tilde{\beta}}$ is right-multiplication with $c \cdot \partial^{\beta+\beta'}$ for $c \in \mathbb{C}$. We only have to show that $\kappa_2 \circ \eta \circ \kappa_1$ becomes an isomorphism after microlocalizing with respect to $\partial^0 \cdots \partial^t$. This is sufficient as the microlocalization of the GKZ-systems $\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{B}}^{\tilde{\beta}}$ resp. $\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{B}}^{-\tilde{\beta}'}$ are not zero for otherwise the sheaves $h_+ \mathcal{O}_T$ and $h_+ \mathcal{O}_T$ would be supported on the divisor $\{\mu_0 \cdot \mu_1 \cdots \mu_t = 0\}$, which is obviously wrong.

It is clear that κ_1 and κ_2 become isomorphisms after (micro-)localization with respect to $\partial_0 \cdots \partial_t$ because these maps have \mathcal{O}_V -free kernel and cokernel. It remains to prove that η is an isomorphism after this micro-localization. To prove this we will use a theorem of [DE03] which compares the Radon transformation with the Fourier-Laplace transformation for \mathcal{D} -modules. Consider the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
T & \xrightarrow{h} & V' & \xleftarrow{p} & Bl_0(V') \\
\pi_T \downarrow & \searrow \tilde{h} & \uparrow j_0 & & \swarrow q \\
& & V' \setminus \{0\} & & \\
& & \downarrow \pi & & \\
S & \xrightarrow{g} & \mathbb{P}(V') & &
\end{array}$$

where $Bl_0(V') \subset \mathbb{P}(V') \times V'$ is the blow-up of 0 in V' and q is the restriction of the projection to the first component. Notice that the map $h : T \rightarrow V'$ from formula (6) factors via $V' \setminus \{0\}$, that is, we have $h = j_0 \circ \tilde{h}$, where $j_0 : V' \setminus \{0\} \hookrightarrow V'$ is the canonical inclusion.

It follows from [DE03, proposition 1] that we have the following isomorphism

$$\mathcal{R}(g_+ \mathcal{O}_S) \simeq \text{FL}(p_+ q^+ g_+ \mathcal{O}_S) \quad (12)$$

and its holonomic dual

$$\mathcal{R}(g_{\dagger} \mathcal{O}_S) \simeq \text{FL}(p_+ q^+ g_{\dagger} \mathcal{O}_S) \quad (13)$$

where we have used $\mathcal{R} \circ \mathbb{D} = \mathbb{D} \circ \mathcal{R}$, $\text{FL} \circ \mathbb{D} = \mathbb{D} \circ \text{FL}$, $p_+ \circ \mathbb{D} = \mathbb{D} \circ p_+$ (p is proper) and $q^+ \circ \mathbb{D} = \mathbb{D} \circ q^+$ (q is smooth). Recall that we want to show that the morphism

$$\mathcal{H}^0(\mathcal{R}(g_{\dagger} \mathcal{O}_S)) \xrightarrow{\eta} \mathcal{H}^0(\mathcal{R}(g_+ \mathcal{O}_S)),$$

becomes an isomorphism after localization with respect to $\partial_{\lambda_0} \cdots \partial_{\lambda_t}$. Using the isomorphisms (12) and (13) and the fact that FL is an exact functor and that it exchanges the action of μ_i and ∂_{λ_i} we see that it is enough to show that

$$\mathcal{H}^0(p_+ q^+ g_{\dagger} \mathcal{O}_S) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^0(p_+ q^+ g_+ \mathcal{O}_S) \quad (14)$$

becomes an isomorphism after localization with respect to $\mu_0 \cdots \mu_t$. In other words, we have to show that the kernel and the cokernel of the morphism (14) are supported on $\{\mu_0 \cdots \mu_t = 0\} \subset V'$. Obviously, we have $\{0\} \subset \{\mu_0 \cdots \mu_t = 0\}$ and hence $V' \setminus \{\mu_0 \cdots \mu_t = 0\} \subset V' \setminus \{0\}$. It is thus sufficient to show that kernel and cokernel of the restriction of the morphism (14) to $V' \setminus \{0\}$ are supported on $\{\mu_0 \cdots \mu_t = 0\} \setminus \{0\}$. Notice that the restriction of $\mathcal{H}^0(p_+ q^+ g_{\dagger} \mathcal{O}_S)$ resp. $\mathcal{H}^0(p_+ q^+ g_+ \mathcal{O}_S)$ to $V' \setminus \{0\}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{H}^0(\pi^+ g_{\dagger} \mathcal{O}_S)$ resp. $\mathcal{H}^0(\pi^+ g_+ \mathcal{O}_S)$. Thus the kernel and the cokernel of (14) are supported on $\{\mu_0 \cdots \mu_t = 0\}$ if and only if kernel and cokernel of

$$\mathcal{H}^0(\pi^+ g_{\dagger} \mathcal{O}_S) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^0(\pi^+ g_+ \mathcal{O}_S)$$

are supported on $\{\mu_0 \cdots \mu_t = 0\} \setminus \{0\}$. The map π is smooth and therefore π^+ is an exact functor. It is therefore enough to show that kernel and cokernel of

$$\mathcal{H}^0(g_{\dagger} \mathcal{O}_S) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^0(g_+ \mathcal{O}_S)$$

are supported on $\{\mu_0 \cdots \mu_t = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}(V')$. But this follows from the description of the map g , namely, by the remark right after equation (5) the support of the cone of the morphism $g_{\dagger} \mathcal{O}_S \rightarrow g_+ \mathcal{O}_S$ is contained in $\{\mu_0 \cdots \mu_t = 0\}$. \square

2.3 Intersection cohomology \mathcal{D} -modules

As mentioned in the beginning of this section, our aim is to describe a \mathcal{D}_V -module derived from the intersection complex of a natural compactification of the family of Laurent polynomials φ_B as defined in formula (11). This module will actually appear as the Radon transformation of the (\mathcal{D} -module corresponding to the) intersection complex of the variety $X \subset \mathbb{P}(V')$.

We start by fixing some notations concerning these \mathcal{D} -modules. Let \mathcal{P} be a smooth variety and $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{P}$ be a smooth subvariety, and write \mathcal{X} for the closure of \mathcal{U} inside \mathcal{P} . Denote by $i_{\mathcal{X}} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ the inclusion of the closure of \mathcal{X} in \mathcal{P} . Consider the abelian category $\text{Perv}(\mathcal{P})$ of perverse sheaves on \mathcal{P} (with respect to middle perversity). For a reference about the definition and basic properties of perverse sheaves, see [Dim04]. Recall that the simple objects in $\text{Perv}(\mathcal{P})$ are the objects $(i_{\mathcal{X}})_! IC(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})$ where \mathcal{L} is an irreducible local system on \mathcal{U} . We will denote the corresponding \mathcal{D} -module on \mathcal{P} by $\mathcal{M}^{IC}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})$. If \mathcal{L} is the constant sheaf $\mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{U}}$ we will simply write $\mathcal{M}^{IC}(\mathcal{X})$.

We will apply this formalism to the special situation where $\mathcal{U} = g(S)$ (where g is the embedding defined by formula (3)), $\mathcal{X} = X$ and $\mathcal{P} = \mathbb{P}(V')$. The module $\mathcal{M}^{IC}(X)$ is the image of the morphism $g_{\dagger} \mathcal{O}_S \rightarrow g_+ \mathcal{O}_S$. In the next result, we will compute the Radon transformation of this module.

Proposition 2.12. *In the above situation, we have the following isomorphism of \mathcal{D}_V -modules*

$$\mathcal{H}^0 \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M}^{IC}(X)) \simeq \mathcal{M}^{IC}(X^{\circ}, \mathcal{L}) \oplus \mathcal{C}_0,$$

and

$$\mathcal{H}^i \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M}^{IC}(X)) \simeq \mathcal{C}_i \quad \text{for } i \neq 0,$$

where X° is some subvariety of V , \mathcal{L} some local system on some smooth open subset of X° and the \mathcal{C}_i are free \mathcal{O}_V -modules.

Proof. Using the comparison isomorphism between the Radon transformation and the Fourier-Laplace transformation (equation (12)) from above, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}^i \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M}^{IC}(X)) &\simeq \mathcal{H}^i \text{FL}(p_+ q^+ \mathcal{M}^{IC}(X)) \\ &\simeq \text{FL} \mathcal{H}^i(p_+ q^+ \mathcal{M}^{IC}(X)) \\ &\simeq \text{FL} \mathcal{H}^i(p_+ \mathcal{M}^{IC}(q^{-1}(X))) \end{aligned}$$

where the second isomorphism follows from the exactness of FL and the last isomorphism follows from the smoothness of q . We now apply the decomposition theorem [Sai88, corollaire 3, equation 0.12] which gives

$$\mathcal{H}^i(p_+ \mathcal{M}^{IC}(q^{-1}(X))) \simeq \bigoplus_k \mathcal{M}^{IC}(Y_k^i, \mathcal{L}_k^i) \quad (15)$$

for some subvarieties $Y_k^i \subset \mathbb{C}^{t+1}$ and some local systems \mathcal{L}_k^i on a Zariski open subset of Y_k . Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} j_0^+ \mathcal{H}^i(p_+ \mathcal{M}^{IC}(q^{-1}(X))) &\simeq j_0^+ \mathcal{H}^i(p_+ q^+ \mathcal{M}^{IC}(X)) \\ &\simeq \mathcal{H}^i(j_0^+ p_+ q^+ \mathcal{M}^{IC}(X)) \\ &\simeq \mathcal{H}^i(\pi^+ \mathcal{M}^{IC}(X)) \\ &\simeq \mathcal{H}^i(\mathcal{M}^{IC}(\pi^{-1}(X))) \end{aligned}$$

which is equal to 0 for $i \neq 0$ and equal to $\mathcal{M}^{IC}(Y \setminus \{0\})$ for $i = 0$, where Y is the cone of X in V' . Thus the decomposition from (15) becomes

$$\mathcal{H}^0(p_+ \mathcal{M}^{IC}(q^{-1}(X))) \simeq \mathcal{M}^{IC}(Y) \oplus \mathcal{S}_0,$$

resp.

$$\mathcal{H}^i(p_+ \mathcal{M}^{IC}(q^{-1}(X))) \simeq \mathcal{S}_i$$

where the \mathcal{S}_i are \mathcal{D} -modules with support at 0. We now use the fact that FL is an equivalence of categories, i.e. transforms simple object to simple objects and transforms \mathcal{D} -modules with support at 0 to free \mathcal{O} -modules. But this show the claim. \square

In the next proposition we show that at a generic point $\underline{\lambda} \in V$ the Radon transformation $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M}^{IC}(X))$ of $\mathcal{M}^{IC}(X)$ measures the intersection cohomology of $X \cap H_{\underline{\lambda}}$, where $H_{\underline{\lambda}}$ is the hyperplane in $\mathbb{P}(V')$ corresponding to $\underline{\lambda}$.

Proposition 2.13. *Let $\underline{\lambda}$ be a generic point of V and denote by $i_{\underline{\lambda}} : \{\underline{\lambda}\} \rightarrow V$ its embedding. We have the following isomorphism*

$$i_{\underline{\lambda}}^+ \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M}^{IC}(X)) \simeq R\Gamma(X \cap H_{\underline{\lambda}}, IC_{X \cap H_{\underline{\lambda}}}),$$

in particular

$$\mathcal{H}^j(i_{\underline{\lambda}}^+ \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M}^{IC}(X))) \simeq IH^{j+s-1}(X \cap H_{\underline{\lambda}})$$

Proof. Consider the following diagram where all squares are cartesian

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X & \xleftarrow{\pi_1^X} & Z_X & \xleftarrow{i_X} & X \cap H_{\underline{\lambda}} \\ \downarrow i & & \downarrow \eta & & \downarrow \eta^H \\ \mathbb{P}(V') & \xleftarrow{\pi_1^Z} & Z & \xleftarrow{i_H} & H_{\underline{\lambda}} \\ & & \downarrow \pi_2^Z & & \downarrow \pi^H \\ & & V & \xleftarrow{i_{\underline{\lambda}}} & \{\underline{\lambda}\} \end{array}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
DR(i_{\underline{\lambda}}^+ \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M}^{IC}(X))) &\simeq i_{\underline{\lambda}}^! R\pi_{2*}(\pi_1^Z)^! i_! IC(X)[1] \\
&\simeq i_{\underline{\lambda}}^! R\pi_{2*} R\eta_* \pi_1^{X!} IC(X)[1] \\
&\simeq R\pi_*^H i_H^! R\eta_* \pi_1^{X!} IC(X)[1] \\
&\simeq R\pi_*^H R\eta_*^H i_X^! \pi_1^{X!} IC(X)[1] \\
&\simeq R(\pi^H \circ \eta^H)_*(\pi_1^X \circ i_X)^! IC(X)[1] \\
&\simeq R(\pi^H \circ \eta^H)_* IC(X \cap H_{\underline{\lambda}}) \\
&\simeq R\Gamma(X \cap H_{\underline{\lambda}}, IC(X \cap H_{\underline{\lambda}})),
\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

where the first isomorphism follows from $DR \circ i_{\underline{\lambda}}^+ = i_{\underline{\lambda}}^! \circ DR[t+1]$ and $DR \circ (\pi_1^Z)^+ \simeq (\pi_1^Z)^! \circ DR[-t]$ (see e.g. [HTT08, Theorem 7.1.1]), the second, third and fourth isomorphism follows from base change (see e.g. [Dim04, Theorem 3.2.13(ii)] and the sixth isomorphism follows from [GM83, Section 5.4.1] (notice that their $IC(X)$ is our $IC(X)[n]$ where $n = \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(X)$) and the fact that for a generic $\underline{\lambda}$ the hyperplane $H_{\underline{\lambda}}$ is transversal to a given Whitney stratification of X . The first claim now follows from the fact that the de Rham functor DR is the identity on a point. The second claim follows from $\mathbb{H}^{j-s+1}(X \cap H_{\underline{\lambda}}, IC(X \cap H_{\underline{\lambda}})) \simeq IH^j(X \cap H_{\underline{\lambda}})$. \square

We will now show that $\mathcal{M}^{IC}(X^\circ, \mathcal{L})$ can be expressed as an image of a morphism between GKZ-systems.

Theorem 2.14. *Let $\tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\beta}'$ as in Theorem 2.10, then $\mathcal{M}^{IC}(X^\circ, \mathcal{L}) \simeq \text{im}(\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{B}}^{-\tilde{\beta}'} \xrightarrow{\cdot \partial^{\tilde{\beta}+\tilde{\beta}'}} \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{B}}^{\tilde{\beta}})$.*

Proof. First recall that we have shown in the proof of proposition 2.12. that $\mathcal{M}^{IC}(X^\circ, \mathcal{L}) \simeq \text{FL}(\mathcal{M}^{IC}(Y))$. On the other hand, as Y is the closure in V' of the image of the morphism h , the module $\mathcal{M}^{IC}(Y)$ is isomorphic to the image of $h_! \mathcal{O}_T \rightarrow h_+ \mathcal{O}_T$. As the Fourier-Laplace transformation is exact we can conclude that $\mathcal{M}^{IC}(X^\circ, \mathcal{L})$ is isomorphic to the image of $\text{FL}(k_! \mathcal{O}_T) \rightarrow \text{FL}(k_+ \mathcal{O}_T)$.

By Lemma 2.9 we know that $\text{FL}(h_+ \mathcal{O}_T^\beta)$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{B}}^{\tilde{\beta}}$ for every $\tilde{\beta} \in \delta_{\tilde{B}} + (\mathbb{R}_+ \tilde{B} \cap \mathbb{Z}^{r+1})$ and that $\text{FL}(h_! \mathcal{O}_T)$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{B}}^{-\tilde{\beta}'}$ for every $\tilde{\beta}' \in (\mathbb{R}_+ \tilde{B})^\circ \cap \mathbb{Z}^{r+1}$. It follows now from the last statement of Lemma 2.9, that the induced morphism between $\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{B}}^{-\tilde{\beta}'}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{B}}^{\tilde{\beta}}$ is equal to $\cdot \partial^{\tilde{\beta}+\tilde{\beta}'}$ up to some non-zero constant. \square

Denote by \mathcal{K} the kernel of the morphism $\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{B}}^{-\tilde{\beta}'} \xrightarrow{\cdot \partial^{\tilde{\beta}+\tilde{\beta}'}} \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{B}}^{\tilde{\beta}}$, then $\mathcal{M}^{IC}(X^\circ, \mathcal{L})$ is isomorphic to the quotient $\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{B}}^{-\tilde{\beta}'} / \mathcal{K}$ in the abelian category of regular holonomic \mathcal{D} -modules. The next result gives a concrete description of \mathcal{K} as a submodule of $\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{B}}^{-\tilde{\beta}'}$.

Define

$$\Gamma_{\partial}(\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{B}}^{-\tilde{\beta}'})(U) := \{m \in \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{B}}^{-\tilde{\beta}'}(U) \mid \exists n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ with } (\partial^{\tilde{\beta}+\tilde{\beta}'})^n \cdot m = 0\} \subset \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{B}}^{-\tilde{\beta}'}(U),$$

notice that $\Gamma_{\partial}(\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{B}}^{-\tilde{\beta}'})$ is naturally a sub- \mathcal{D}_V -module of $\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{B}}^{-\tilde{\beta}'}$.

Proposition 2.15. *Let $\tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\beta}'$ as in Theorem 2.10 and let \mathcal{K} be the kernel of $\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{B}}^{-\tilde{\beta}'} \xrightarrow{\cdot \partial^{\tilde{\beta}+\tilde{\beta}'}} \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{B}}^{\tilde{\beta}}$. Then*

$$\mathcal{K} \simeq \Gamma_{\partial}(\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{B}}^{-\tilde{\beta}'}), \quad \text{in particular} \quad \mathcal{M}^{IC}(X^\circ, \mathcal{L}) \simeq \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{B}}^{-\tilde{\beta}'} / \Gamma_{\partial}(\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{B}}^{-\tilde{\beta}'}).$$

Proof. Recall that the morphism $\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{B}}^{-\tilde{\beta}'} \xrightarrow{\cdot \partial^{\tilde{\beta}+\tilde{\beta}'}} \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{B}}^{\tilde{\beta}}$ is induced by the morphism $\text{FL}(h_! \mathcal{O}_T) \rightarrow \text{FL}(h_+ \mathcal{O}_T)$, where we used the isomorphisms $\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{B}}^{-\tilde{\beta}'} \simeq \text{FL}(h_! \mathcal{O}_T)$ and $\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{B}}^{\tilde{\beta}} \simeq \text{FL}(h_+ \mathcal{O}_T)$. Applying the Fourier-Laplace transformation again and using $\text{FL} \circ \text{FL} = \text{Id}$, we see that the morphism $\text{FL}(\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{B}}^{-\tilde{\beta}'}) \xrightarrow{\cdot w^{\tilde{\beta}+\tilde{\beta}'}}$

$\mathrm{FL}(\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{B}}^{\tilde{\beta}})$ is induced by the morphism $h_+ \mathcal{O}_T \rightarrow h_+ \mathcal{O}_T$. We will calculate the kernel of $\mathrm{FL}(\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{B}}^{-\tilde{\beta}'}) \xrightarrow{w^{\tilde{\beta}+\tilde{\beta}'}} \mathrm{FL}(\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{B}}^{\tilde{\beta}})$. First notice that the map h can be factorized as $h = k \circ l$, where k is the canonical inclusion of $(\mathbb{C}^*)^{t+1} \rightarrow V'$ and the map l is given by

$$l : T \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^*)^{t+1} \\ (y_0, \dots, y_r) \mapsto (\underline{y}^{\tilde{b}_0}, \dots, \underline{y}^{\tilde{b}_t}) = (y_0, y_0 \underline{y}^{\tilde{b}_1}, \dots, y_0 \underline{y}^{\tilde{b}_t}).$$

This shows that $\mathrm{FL}(\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{B}}^{\tilde{\beta}}) \simeq k_+ l_+ \mathcal{O}_T$ is localized along $V' \setminus (\mathbb{C}^*)^{t+1}$, i.e. $\mathrm{FL}(\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{B}}^{\tilde{\beta}}) \simeq k_+ k^+ \mathrm{FL}(\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{B}}^{\tilde{\beta}})$. Let $D_1 = \{w^{\tilde{\beta}+\tilde{\beta}'} = 0\}$, set $U_1 := V' \setminus D_1$ and denote by $j_1 : U_1 \rightarrow V'$ the canonical inclusion. Because $(\mathbb{C}^*)^{t+1} \subset U_1$, the \mathcal{D} -module $\mathrm{FL}(\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{B}}^{\tilde{\beta}})$ is also localized along D_1 , i.e. $\mathrm{FL}(\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{B}}^{\tilde{\beta}}) \simeq j_{1+} j_1^+ \mathrm{FL}(\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{B}}^{\tilde{\beta}})$. Notice that the induced morphism $j_1^+ \mathrm{FL}(\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{B}}^{-\tilde{\beta}'}) \rightarrow j_1^+ \mathrm{FL}(\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{B}}^{\tilde{\beta}})$ is an isomorphism, because $w^{\tilde{\beta}+\tilde{\beta}'}$ is invertible on U_1 . Therefore we can conclude that $j_{1+} j_1^+ \mathrm{FL}(\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{B}}^{-\tilde{\beta}'}) \rightarrow j_{1+} j_1^+ \mathrm{FL}(\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{B}}^{\tilde{\beta}}) \simeq \mathrm{FL}(\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{B}}^{\tilde{\beta}})$ is an isomorphism. It is therefore enough to calculate the kernel of $\mathrm{FL}(\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{B}}^{-\tilde{\beta}'}) \rightarrow j_{1+} j_1^+ \mathrm{FL}(\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{B}}^{-\tilde{\beta}'})$. But this is $H_{D_1}^0(\mathrm{FL}(\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{B}}^{-\tilde{\beta}'}))$ (cf. [HTT08, Proposition 1.7.1]) which is given by

$$H_{D_1}^0(\mathrm{FL}(\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{B}}^{-\tilde{\beta}'})) = \{m \in \mathrm{FL}(\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{B}}^{-\tilde{\beta}'})(U) \mid \exists n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ with } (w^{\tilde{\beta}+\tilde{\beta}'})^n \cdot m = 0\}.$$

Applying the Fourier-Laplace transformation to this kernel shows the claim. \square

2.4 The equivariant setting

In this section we show that the \mathcal{D} -modules discussed above are quasi-equivariant with respect to a natural torus action. We review the definition of an quasi-equivariant \mathcal{D} -modules from [Kas08, Chapter 3] and prove some simple statements for these.

Let \mathcal{X} be smooth, complex, quasi-projective variety and G be a complex affine algebraic group, which acts on \mathcal{X} . Denote by $\nu : G \times \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ the action of G on \mathcal{X} and by $p_2 : G \times \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ the second projection. A $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{X}}$ -module \mathcal{M} is called quasi- G -equivariant if it satisfies $\nu^+ \mathcal{M} \simeq p_2^+ \mathcal{M}$ as $\mathcal{O}_G \boxtimes \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{X}}$ -modules together with a associate law (cf. [Kas08, Definition 3.1.3]). We denote the abelian category of quasi- G -equivariant $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{X}}$ -modules by $M(\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{X}}, G)$ and the subcategories of coherent, holonomic and regular holonomic quasi- G -equivariant $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{Y}}$ -modules by $M_{coh}(\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{X}}, G)$ resp. $M_h(\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{X}}, G)$ resp. $M_{rh}(\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{X}}, G)$. The corresponding bounded derived categories are denoted by $D_*^b(\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{X}}, G)$ for $*$ = \emptyset, coh, h, rh .

A $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$ -module \mathcal{F} is called G -equivariant if $\nu^* \mathcal{F} \simeq pr^* \mathcal{F}$ as $\mathcal{O}_{G \times \mathcal{X}}$ -modules and if it satisfies an associative law (cf. [Kas08, Definition 3.1.2]). We denote by $Mod(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}, G)$ the category of G -equivariant $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$ -modules and by $Mod_{coh}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}, G)$ the subcategory of coherent G -equivariant $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$ -modules.

Let $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ be a G -equivariant map. Then the direct image resp. the inverse image functors preserve quasi- G -equivariance (cf. [Kas08, Equation (3.4.1), Equation (3.5.2)]).

We will now show that the duality functor preserves quasi- G -equivariance.

Proposition 2.16. *Let $M \in D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{X}}, G)$ then $\mathbb{D}(M) \in D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{X}}, G)^{opp}$.*

Proof. By a dévissage we may assume that M is a single degree complex, i.e. $M \in Mod_{coh}(\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{X}}, G)$. By [Kas08, Lemma 3.3.2] for every $N \in Mod_{coh}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}, G)$ there exists a G -equivariant locally-free $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$ module L of finite rank and a surjective G -equivariant morphism $L \twoheadrightarrow N$. Notice that there exists a G -equivariant coherent $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$ -submodule K of M with $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{X}} \otimes K = M$. This enables us to construct a locally-free, G -equivariant resolution

$$\cdots \rightarrow L_2 \rightarrow L_1 \rightarrow L_0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow 0$$

of K in $Mod_{coh}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}, G)$, which gives rise to a resolution of M

$$\cdots \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{X}} \otimes L_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{X}} \otimes L_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{X}} \otimes L_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0,$$

in $\text{Mod}_{\text{coh}}(\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{X}}, G)$ by the exactness of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{X}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{D}M &= R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{X}}}(M, \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{X}}) \otimes \Omega_{\mathcal{X}}^{\otimes -1}[dim\mathcal{X}] \\ &\simeq \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{X}}}(\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{X}} \otimes L_{\bullet}, \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{X}}) \otimes \Omega_{\mathcal{X}}^{\otimes -1}[dim\mathcal{X}] \\ &\simeq (\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}}(L_{\bullet}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}) \otimes \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{X}}) \otimes \Omega_{\mathcal{X}}^{\otimes -1}[dim\mathcal{X}] \\ &\simeq \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{X}} \otimes \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}}(L_{\bullet}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}})[dim\mathcal{X}] \end{aligned}$$

But $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}}(L_{\bullet}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}})$ is again a complex in $\text{Mod}_{\text{coh}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}, G)$, which can be easily seen by the local-freeness of the L_i . Thus we can conclude that $\mathbb{D}M \in D_{\text{coh}}^b(\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{X}}, G)^{opp}$. \square

Corollary 2.17. *Let $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ be a G -equivariant map. Then the proper direct image and the exceptional inverse image functor preserves quasi- G -equivariance.*

Proof. This follows from $f_{\dagger} = \mathbb{D} \circ f_{+} \circ \mathbb{D}$ and $f^{\dagger} = \mathbb{D} \circ f^{+} \circ \mathbb{D}$. \square

In the next proposition we will show that the characteristic variety of a quasi- G -equivariant \mathcal{D} -module is G -invariant.

Let $g \in G$ and denote by $\nu_g : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ the isomorphism induced by the G -action and by $d\nu_g : T^*\mathcal{X} \rightarrow T^*\mathcal{X}$ the induced morphism on the cotangent bundle given by pullback of differential forms. Notice that for $M \in D^b(\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{X}}, G)$ one has $\psi_g^+ M \simeq M$ by the quasi- G -equivariance of M .

Proposition 2.18. *Let $M \in D_{\text{coh}}^b(\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{X}}, G)$, then the characteristic variety $\text{char}(M)$ of M is G -invariant. Moreover, if G is irreducible then the irreducible components of $\text{char}(M)$ are G -invariant.*

Proof. For the proof, we are going to use the following fact (cf. [HTT08, Lemma 2.4.6(iii)]). Let $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ be a morphism between smooth algebraic varieties. One has the natural morphisms

$$T^*\mathcal{X} \xleftarrow{\rho_f} \mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{Y}} T^*\mathcal{Y} \xrightarrow{\omega_f} T^*\mathcal{Y}$$

Let $M \in \text{Mod}_{\text{coh}}(\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{Y}})$. If f is non-characteristic then $\text{char}(f^+M) \subset \rho_f \omega_f^{-1}(\text{char}(M))$.

We want to apply this to the case $f = \nu_g$. Notice that in this case the maps ρ_{ν_g} and ω_{ν_g} are isomorphisms and $\rho_{\nu_g} \circ \omega_{\nu_g}^{-1} = d\nu_g$. Thus we have

$$\text{char}(M) = \text{char}(\nu_g^+M) \subset d\nu_g(\text{char}(M)).$$

Repeating the argument with $\nu_{g^{-1}}$ gives $\text{char}(M) \subset d\nu_{g^{-1}}(\text{char}(M))$. Now applying $d\nu_g$ to both sides of the latter inclusion shows the first claim.

Now assume that G is irreducible and let C_i be an irreducible component of $Ch(M)$. Notice that $G \times C_i$ is irreducible. Consider the scheme-theoretic image I of $G \times C_i$ under the induced action map $\xi : G \times \text{char}(M) \rightarrow \text{char}(M)$. Then $\bar{\xi} : G \times C_i \rightarrow I$ is a dominant morphism. We want to show that I is irreducible. Let $U \subset I$ be an affine open set. The restriction $\bar{\xi}^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U$ is still dominant and induces an injective ring homomorphism $\mathcal{O}_I(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{G \times C_i}(\bar{\xi}^{-1}(U))$. As $G \times C_i$ is irreducible and reduced the ring $\mathcal{O}_{G \times C_i}(\bar{\xi}^{-1}(U))$ is a domain. Thus $\mathcal{O}_I(U)$ is also a domain and because U was chosen arbitrary we conclude that I is irreducible. Notice that we have $C_i \subset I \subset \text{char}(M)$ and therefore $C_i = I$, which shows the claim. \square

The proposition above enables us to prove that a section of a quotient map of a free action is non-characteristic with respect to quasi- G -equivariant \mathcal{D} -modules.

Lemma 2.19. *Let $G \times \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ be a free action and $\pi_G : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}/G$ a geometric quotient. Let $i_G : \mathcal{X}/G \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ be a section of π_G , then i_G is non-characteristic with respect to every $M \in D_{rh}^b(G, \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{X}})$.*

Proof. We consider \mathcal{X}/G as smooth subvariety of \mathcal{X} . Notice that \mathcal{X}/G is transversal to the orbits of the G -action. Let $\text{char}(M) \subset \bigcup_i T_{\mathcal{X}_i}^* \mathcal{X}$ where $\{\mathcal{X}_i\}$ is a Whitney stratification of \mathcal{X} . By Proposition 2.18 the varieties \mathcal{X}_i can be chosen to be unions of G -orbits. Let $(p, \xi) \in T_{\mathcal{X}/G}^* \mathcal{X} \cap T_{\mathcal{X}_i}^* \mathcal{X}$. Because of the transversality of \mathcal{X}/G and \mathcal{X}_i we have $T_p \mathcal{X}/G + T_p \mathcal{X}_i = T_p \mathcal{X}$ and therefore $\xi = 0$. But this shows that i_G non-characteristic with respect to M . \square

Let $V^* = \mathbb{C} \times (\mathbb{C}^*)^t$ and let $j_{V^*} : V^* \rightarrow V$ be the canonical embedding. Consider the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 S & \xleftarrow{\pi_1^S} & \Gamma & \xleftarrow{j_{\Gamma^*}} & \Gamma^* \\
 j \downarrow & & \theta \downarrow & & \zeta \downarrow \\
 X & \xleftarrow{\quad} & Z_X & \xleftarrow{j_{Z_X^*}} & Z_X^* \\
 i \downarrow & & \eta \downarrow & & \epsilon \downarrow \\
 \mathbb{P}(V') & \xleftarrow{\pi_1^Z} & Z & \xleftarrow{j_{Z^*}} & Z^* \\
 & & \pi_Z^2 \downarrow & & \delta \downarrow \\
 & & V & \xleftarrow{j_{V^*}} & V^*
 \end{array} \tag{17}$$

where the varieties Z^*, Z_X^*, Γ^* together with the maps $j_{Z^*}, j_{Z_X^*}, j_{\Gamma^*}$ and δ, ϵ, ζ are induced by the base change j_{V^*} . Thus all squares in the diagram above are cartesian.

We now specify to the case $G = (\mathbb{C}^*)^s$. We let G act on S and V by

$$\begin{aligned}
 G \times S &\longrightarrow S, \\
 (g_1, \dots, g_s, y_1, \dots, y_s) &\mapsto (g_1 y_1, \dots, g_s y_s), \\
 G \times V &\longrightarrow V, \\
 (g_1, \dots, g_s, \lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_t) &\mapsto (\lambda_0, \underline{g}^{-b_1} \lambda_1, \dots, \underline{g}^{-b_t} \lambda_t).
 \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

We also define the following G -action on $\mathbb{P}(V')$:

$$\begin{aligned}
 G \times \mathbb{P}(V') &\longrightarrow \mathbb{P}(V') \\
 (g_1, \dots, g_s, (\mu_0 : \dots : \mu_t)) &\mapsto (\mu_0 : \underline{g}^{b_1} \mu_1 : \dots : \underline{g}^{b_t} \mu_t).
 \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

This makes map $g = i \circ j : S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(V')$ G -equivariant. There is a natural action of G on $\mathbb{P}(V') \times V$ resp. $S \times V$ which leaves the subvarieties $Z = \{\sum_{i=0}^t \lambda_i \mu_i = 0\}$ resp. $\Gamma = \{\lambda_0 + \sum_{i=1}^t \lambda_i \underline{y}^{b_i}\}$ invariant. It is now easy to see, using the induced actions on Γ resp. Z , that the maps $\pi_1^Z, \pi_Z^Z, \pi_1^S$ as well as η and θ are G -equivariant.

Notice that G leaves V^* invariant and acts freely on it, but this shows that G acts also freely on Z^*, Z_X^* and Γ^* . Therefore also the maps δ, ϵ, ζ are G -equivariant. Notice that the action of G on $\mathbb{P}(V')$ as defined in formula (19) is not free, there are orbits of dimension strictly smaller dimension than $s = \dim(G)$. Because we have $\mathbb{Z}B = \mathbb{Z}^s$, there exist matrices $N_1 \in Gl(s \times s, \mathbb{Z})$ and $N_2 \in Gl(t \times t, \mathbb{Z})$ such that

$$B = N_1 \cdot (I_s \mid 0_{s \times r}) \cdot N_2,$$

where $r := t - s$. Define matrices

$$L := N_2^{-1} \cdot \left(\frac{0_{s \times r}}{I_r} \right), \quad M := (0_{r \times s} \mid I_r) \cdot N_2, \quad C := N_2^{-1} \cdot \left(\frac{I_s}{0_{r \times s}} \right) \cdot N_1^{-1}, \quad D := (C \cdot B)^t,$$

whose entries we denote by l_{ij}, m_{ji}, c_{ik} and d_{il} , respectively. Then $M \cdot L = I_r$, $B \cdot C = I_s$, $B \cdot L = 0$, $M \cdot C = 0$ and

$$C \cdot B + L \cdot M = I_t. \tag{20}$$

Consider the following map, where $F := (\mathbb{C}^*)^s$:

$$T_P : \mathbb{P}(V') \times \mathbb{C} \times F \times \mathcal{KM} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}(V') \times V^*$$

$$((\mu_0 : \dots : \mu_t), \lambda_0, f_1, \dots, f_s, q_1, \dots, q_r) \mapsto ((\mu_0 : \underline{f}^{-b_1} \mu_1 : \dots : \underline{f}^{-b_t} \mu_t), \lambda_0, \underline{f}^{b_1} \cdot \underline{q}^{m_1}, \dots, \underline{f}^{b_t} \cdot \underline{q}^{m_t})$$

with $\underline{f}^{b_i} = \prod_{k=1}^s f_k^{b_{ki}}$, $\underline{q}^{m_i} = \prod_{j=1}^r q_j^{m_{ji}}$ and inverse

$$T_P^{-1} : \mathbb{P}(V') \times V^* \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}(V') \times \mathbb{C} \times F \times \mathcal{KM}$$

$$((\mu_0 : \dots : \mu_t), \lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_t) \mapsto ((\mu_0 : \lambda^{d_1} \mu_1 : \dots : \lambda^{d_t} \mu_t), \lambda_0, \underline{\lambda}^{c_1}, \dots, \underline{\lambda}^{c_s}, \underline{\lambda}^{l_1}, \dots, \underline{\lambda}^{l_r})$$

with $\underline{\lambda}^{c_k} := \prod_{i=1}^t \lambda_i^{c_{ik}}$, $\underline{\lambda}^{l_j} = \prod_{i=1}^t \lambda_i^{l_{ij}}$ and $\lambda^{d_l} := \prod_{i=1}^t \lambda_i^{d_{il}} = \prod_{i=1}^t \lambda_i^{\sum_k c_{ik} b_{kl}}$.

Recall the following G -action on $\mathbb{P}(V') \times V^*$

$$G \times (\mathbb{P}(V') \times V^*) \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}(V') \times V^*$$

$$(g_1, \dots, g_s, (\mu_0 : \dots : \mu_t), \lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_t) \mapsto ((\mu_0 : \underline{g}^{b_1} \mu_1 : \dots : \underline{g}^{b_t} \mu_t), \lambda_0, \underline{g}^{-b_1} \lambda_1, \dots, \underline{g}^{-b_t} \lambda_t).$$

Consider the following G -action on $\mathbb{P}(V') \times \mathbb{C} \times F \times \mathcal{KM}$

$$G \times (\mathbb{P}(V') \times \mathbb{C} \times F \times \mathcal{KM}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}(V') \times \mathbb{C} \times F \times \mathcal{KM}$$

$$(g_1, \dots, g_s, (\mu_0 : \dots : \mu_t), \lambda_0, f_1, \dots, f_s, q_1, \dots, q_r) \mapsto ((\mu_0 : \mu_1 : \dots : \mu_t), \lambda_0, g_1^{-1} f_1, \dots, g_s^{-1} f_s, q_1, \dots, q_r)$$

It is easy to see that T_P resp. T_P^{-1} is G -equivariant with respect to the G -actions above.

Consider the map

$$T_S : S \times \mathbb{C} \times F \times \mathcal{KM} \longrightarrow S \times V^*$$

$$(y_1, \dots, y_s, \lambda_0, f_1, \dots, f_s, q_1, \dots, q_r) \mapsto (f_1^{-1} y_1, \dots, f_s^{-1} y_s, \lambda_0, \underline{f}^{b_1} \cdot \underline{q}^{m_1}, \dots, \underline{f}^{b_t} \cdot \underline{q}^{m_t})$$

and its inverse

$$T_S^{-1} : S \times V^* \longrightarrow S \times \mathbb{C} \times F \times \mathcal{KM}$$

$$(y_1, \dots, y_s, \lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_t) \mapsto (\underline{\lambda}^{c_1} y_1, \dots, \underline{\lambda}^{c_s} y_s, \lambda_0, \underline{\lambda}^{c_1}, \dots, \underline{\lambda}^{c_s}, \underline{\lambda}^{l_1}, \dots, \underline{\lambda}^{l_r})$$

where one has to use (20).

Recall the G -action on $S \times V^*$

$$G \times (S \times V^*) \longrightarrow S \times V^*$$

$$(g_1, \dots, g_s, \lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_t) \mapsto (g_1 y_1, \dots, g_s y_s, \lambda_0, \underline{g}^{-b_1} \lambda_1, \dots, \underline{g}^{-b_t} \lambda_t)$$

and consider the following G -action on $S \times \mathbb{C} \times F \times \mathcal{KM}$

$$G \times (S \times \mathbb{C} \times F \times \mathcal{KM}) \longrightarrow S \times \mathbb{C} \times F \times \mathcal{KM}$$

$$(g_1, \dots, g_s, y_1, \dots, y_s, \lambda_0, f_1, \dots, f_s, q_1, \dots, q_r) \mapsto (y_1, \dots, y_s, \lambda_0, g_1^{-1} f_1, \dots, g_s^{-1} f_s, q_1, \dots, q_r)$$

It is again easy to see that T_S resp. T_S^{-1} is G -equivariant with respect to the G -actions above.

The subvarieties Z^* resp. Γ^* are then given by $\lambda_0 \mu_0 + \sum_{i=1}^t \mu_i \cdot \underline{q}^{m_i} = 0$ resp. $\lambda_0 + \sum_{i=1}^t \underline{y}^{b_i} \cdot \underline{q}^{m_i} = 0$.

Finally consider the maps

$$T : \mathbb{C} \times F \times \mathcal{KM} \longrightarrow V^*$$

$$(\lambda_0, f_1, \dots, f_s, q_1, \dots, q_r) \mapsto (\lambda_0, \underline{f}^{b_1} \cdot \underline{q}^{m_1}, \dots, \underline{f}^{b_t} \cdot \underline{q}^{m_t})$$

$$T^{-1} : V^* \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \times F \times \mathcal{KM}$$

$$(\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_t) \mapsto (\lambda_0, \underline{\lambda}^{c_1}, \dots, \underline{\lambda}^{c_s}, \underline{\lambda}^{l_1}, \dots, \underline{\lambda}^{l_r})$$

which are G -equivariant with respect to the G -action on V^* and the following G -action on $\mathbb{C} \times F \times \mathcal{KM}$

$$G \times (\mathbb{C} \times F \times \mathcal{KM}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \times F \times \mathcal{KM}$$

$$(g_1, \dots, g_s, \lambda_0, f_1, \dots, f_s, q_1, \dots, q_r) \mapsto (\lambda_0, g_1^{-1} f_1, \dots, g_s^{-1} f_s, q_1, \dots, q_r).$$

The G -equivariant isomorphisms above show that geometric quotients of V^* , Z^* and Γ^* by G exist and are given by $\mathbb{C} \times \mathcal{KM}$,

$$\mathcal{Z} := \{\lambda_0 \mu_0 + \sum_{i=1}^t \underline{q}^{m_i} \mu_i = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}(V') \times \mathbb{C} \times \mathcal{KM}$$

and

$$\mathcal{G} := \{\lambda_0 + \sum_{i=1}^t \underline{q}^{m_i} y_{\underline{b}_i} = 0\} \subset S \times \mathbb{C} \times \mathcal{KM},$$

respectively. We denote the corresponding quotient maps by $\pi_G^{V^*}$, $\pi_G^{Z^*}$ and $\pi_G^{\Gamma^*}$.

Notice that we have a natural section $i_G^{V^*}$ to $\pi_G^{V^*}$, which is induced by the inclusion

$$\mathbb{C} \times \mathcal{KM} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \times F \times \mathcal{KM}$$

$$(\lambda_0, q_1, \dots, q_r) \mapsto (\lambda_0, 1, \dots, 1, q_1, \dots, q_r)$$

and the isomorphism above. This gives also rise to sections $i_G^{Z^*}$ and $i_G^{\Gamma^*}$ of $\pi_G^{Z^*}$ resp. $\pi_G^{\Gamma^*}$. Consider the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} S & \xleftarrow{\pi_1^S} & \Gamma & \xleftarrow{j_{\Gamma^*}} & \Gamma^* & \xleftarrow{i_G^{\Gamma^*}} & \mathcal{G} \\ \downarrow j & & \downarrow \theta & & \downarrow \zeta & \swarrow \pi_G^{\Gamma^*} & \downarrow \gamma \\ X & \xleftarrow{j_{Z_X^*}} & Z_X & \xleftarrow{j_{Z_X^*}} & Z_X^* & \xleftarrow{i_G^{Z_X^*}} & \mathcal{Z}_X \\ \downarrow i & & \downarrow \eta & & \downarrow \epsilon & \swarrow \pi_G^{Z_X^*} & \downarrow \beta \\ \mathbb{P}(V') & \xleftarrow{\pi_1^Z} & Z & \xleftarrow{j_{Z^*}} & Z^* & \xleftarrow{i_G^{Z^*}} & \mathcal{Z} \\ & & \downarrow \pi_Z^2 & & \downarrow \delta & \swarrow \pi_G^{Z^*} & \downarrow \alpha \\ & & V & \xleftarrow{j_{V^*}} & V^* & \xleftarrow{i_G^{V^*}} & \mathbb{C} \times \mathcal{KM} \\ & & & & & \swarrow \pi_G^{V^*} & \\ & & & & & & \end{array} \quad (21)$$

Notice also that all squares are cartesian.

Proposition 2.20. *Let $i_G^{Z^*} : \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow Z^*$ resp. $i_G^{V^*} : \mathbb{C} \times \mathcal{KM} \rightarrow V^*$ the sections constructed above.*

1. *The \mathcal{D}_{Z^*} -modules*

$$(\epsilon \circ \zeta)_+ \mathcal{O}_{\Gamma^*}, \quad (\epsilon \circ \zeta)_+ \mathcal{O}_{\Gamma^*} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{M}^{IC}(Z_X^*)$$

are quasi- G -equivariant and non-characteristic with respect to $i_G^{Z^}$.*

2. *The \mathcal{D}_{V^*} -modules*

$$\mathcal{H}^0(\varphi_{B,+} \mathcal{O}_{S \times W^*}) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{H}^0(\varphi_{B,+} \mathcal{O}_{S \times W^*})$$

are quasi- G -equivariant and non-characteristic with respect to $i_G^{V^}$.*

3. *We have*

$$(i_G^{Z^*})^+ \mathcal{M}^{IC}(Z_X^*) \simeq \mathcal{M}^{IC}(\mathcal{Z}_X)$$

In particular we have

$$\alpha_+ \mathcal{M}^{IC}(\mathcal{Z}_X) \simeq i_{\mathcal{KM}}^+ \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M}^{IC}(X)) \quad (22)$$

where $i_{\mathcal{KM}} := j_{V^} \circ i_G^{V^*}$ is non-characteristic with respect to $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M}^{IC}(X))$.*

Proof. 1. First notice that because the map $(i \circ j) : S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(V')$ is affine and this property is preserved by base change, the map $(\epsilon \circ \zeta)$ is also affine. Thus the direct image as well as the proper direct image of \mathcal{O}_{Γ^*} is a single \mathcal{D}_{Z^*} -module. The closure of Γ^* in Z^* is Z_X^* , therefore we have

$$\mathcal{M}^{IC}(Z_X^*) = \text{im}((\epsilon \circ \zeta)_+ \mathcal{O}_{\Gamma^*} \rightarrow (\epsilon \circ \zeta)_+ \mathcal{O}_{\Gamma^*}) \in \text{Mod}_{rh}(\mathcal{D}_{Z^*}). \quad (23)$$

To show the first claim, it is enough by Lemma 2.19 to show that the corresponding \mathcal{D} -modules are quasi- G -equivariant. First recall that $\Gamma^* \subset S \times V^*$ and denote by $\iota : \Gamma^* \rightarrow S$ the restriction of the projection to the first factor. Notice that ι is G -equivariant and $\mathcal{O}_{\Gamma^*} \simeq \iota^+ \mathcal{O}_S$. Therefore \mathcal{O}_{Γ^*} is a quasi- G -equivariant \mathcal{D} -module. Because ϵ, ζ is G -equivariant we see that $(\epsilon \circ \zeta)_+ \mathcal{O}_{\Gamma^*}$ and $(\epsilon \circ \zeta)_+ \mathcal{O}_{\Gamma^*}$ are quasi- G -equivariant. Furthermore, because of Equation (23) and the fact that $\text{Mod}(G, \mathcal{D}_{Z^*})$ is an abelian category the \mathcal{D} -module $\mathcal{M}^{IC}(Z_X^*)$ is quasi- G -equivariant.

2. For the second point, consider the action of G on $W^* = (\mathbb{C}^*)^t$ which is given by

$$\begin{aligned} G \times W^* &\longrightarrow W^* \\ (g_1, \dots, g_s, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_t) &\mapsto (\underline{g}^{-b_1} \lambda_1, \dots, \underline{g}^{-b_t} \lambda_t) \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

This action together with the action (18) induces a G -action on $S \times W^*$. It is easy to see that $\varphi_{B|S \times W^*}$ is G -equivariant. Thus the \mathcal{D}_{V^*} -modules $\mathcal{H}^0(\varphi_{B,+} \mathcal{O}_{S \times W^*})$ and $\mathcal{H}^0(\varphi_{B,+} \mathcal{O}_{S \times W^*})$ are quasi- G -equivariant. The fact that $i_G^{V^*}$ is non-characteristic with respect to these \mathcal{D}_{V^*} -modules follows now again from Lemma 2.19.

3. To show the third claim, consider the following isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}^{IC}(Z_X) &\simeq \text{im}((\beta \circ \gamma)_+ \mathcal{O}_G \rightarrow (\beta \circ \gamma)_+ \mathcal{O}_G) \\ &\simeq \text{im}((\beta \circ \gamma)_+ (i_G^{\Gamma^*})^+ \mathcal{O}_{\Gamma^*} \rightarrow (\beta \circ \gamma)_+ (i_G^{\Gamma^*})^+ \mathcal{O}_{\Gamma^*}) \\ &\simeq \text{im}((i_G^{Z^*})^+ (\epsilon \circ \zeta)_+ \mathcal{O}_{\Gamma^*} \rightarrow (i_G^{Z^*})^+ (\epsilon \circ \zeta)_+ \mathcal{O}_{\Gamma^*}) \\ &\simeq (i_G^{Z^*})^+ \text{im}((\epsilon \circ \zeta)_+ \mathcal{O}_{\Gamma^*} \rightarrow (\epsilon \circ \zeta)_+ \mathcal{O}_{\Gamma^*}) \\ &\simeq (i_G^{Z^*})^+ \mathcal{M}^{IC}(Z_X^*) \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

where the second isomorphism follows from $(i_G^{\Gamma^*})^+ \mathcal{O}_{\Gamma^*} \simeq \mathcal{O}_G$, the fact that \mathcal{O}_{Γ^*} is non-characteristic for $i_G^{\Gamma^*}$ and [HTT08, Theorem 2.7.1(ii)]. The third isomorphism follows by base change and the fourth isomorphism follows from the fact that $i_G^{Z^*}$ is non-characteristic with respect to $(\epsilon \circ \zeta)_+ \mathcal{O}_{\Gamma^*}$ and $(\epsilon \circ \zeta)_+ \mathcal{O}_{\Gamma^*}$.

For the last claim consider the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} Z & \xleftarrow{j_{Z^*}} & Z^* & \xleftarrow{i_G^{Z^*}} & Z \\ \pi_Z^2 \downarrow & & \downarrow \delta & & \downarrow \alpha \\ V & \xleftarrow{j_{V^*}} & V^* & \xleftarrow{i_G^{V^*}} & \mathbb{C} \times \mathcal{KM} \end{array}$$

We have the following isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_+ \mathcal{M}^{IC}(Z_X) &\simeq \alpha_+ (i_G^{Z^*})^+ \mathcal{M}^{IC}(Z_X^*) \\ &\simeq \alpha_+ (i_G^{Z^*})^+ j_{Z^*}^+ \mathcal{M}^{IC}(Z_X) \\ &\simeq (i_G^{V^*})^+ j_{V^*}^+ \pi_{2+}^Z \mathcal{M}^{IC}(Z_X) \\ &\simeq i_{\mathcal{KM}}^+ \pi_{2+}^Z \mathcal{M}^{IC}(Z_X) \\ &\simeq i_{\mathcal{KM}}^+ \pi_{2+}^Z (\pi_1^Z)^+ \mathcal{M}^{IC}(X) \\ &\simeq i_{\mathcal{KM}}^+ \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M}^{IC}(X)) \end{aligned}$$

The non-characteristic property of $i_{K\mathcal{M}} = j_{V^*} \circ i_G^{V^*}$ follows from Lemma 2.19 and the fact that $j_{V^*}^+ \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M}^{IC}(X))$ is quasi- G -equivariant. \square

3 Fourier transformation and lattices

In this section we apply the Fourier transformation functor FL_W to the various \mathcal{D} -modules considered in section 2. For the families of Laurent polynomials resp. compactifications thereof that appear in mirror symmetry, we obtain \mathcal{D} -modules that can eventually be matched with the differential systems defined by quantum cohomology. They have in general irregular singularities, and this is reflected in the fact that although the modules considered in section 2 were monodromic on V , they do not have necessarily that property with respect to the vector bundle $V = \mathbb{C}_{\lambda_0} \times W \rightarrow W$. Hence the functor FL_W will in general not preserve regularity.

In the second part of this section, we study a lattice in the Fourier transformation of the Gauß-Manin system of the family of Laurent polynomials φ_B . It is given by a so-called twisted de Rham complex, however, in order to obtain a good hypergeometric description of it, we have to introduce a certain intermediate compactification of φ_B and replace this de Rham complex by a logarithmic version. Moreover, the parameters of the family φ_B have to be restricted to a Zariski open set excluding certain (but not all) singularities at infinity. Then we can show the necessary finiteness and freeness of the lattice. It will later correspond to the twisted quantum \mathcal{D} -module (see section 4), seen as a family of algebraic vector bundles over \mathbb{C}_z (not only over \mathbb{C}_z^*) with connection operator which is meromorphic along $\{z = 0\}$.

3.1 Localized Fourier-Laplace Transform

We discuss here a partial localized Fourier-Laplace transform of the Gauß-Manin systems of φ_B and of the \mathcal{D} -module $\mathcal{M}^{IC}(X^\circ, \mathcal{L})$.

Consider the product decomposition $V = \mathbb{C}_{\lambda_0} \times W$, where W is the hyperplane given by $\lambda_0 = 0$. We interpret V as a rank one bundle with base W and consider the Fourier-Laplace transformation with respect to the base W as in definition 2.4, where we denote the coordinate on the dual fiber by τ . Set $z = 1/\tau$ and denote by $j_\tau : \mathbb{C}_\tau^* \times W \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}_\tau \times W$ and $j_z : \mathbb{C}_\tau^* \times W \hookrightarrow \hat{V} := \mathbb{C}_z \times W = \mathbb{P}_\tau^1 \setminus \{\tau = 0\} \times W$ the canonical embeddings. Let \mathcal{N} be a \mathcal{D}_V -module, the partial, localized Fourier-Laplace transformation is defined by

$$\mathrm{FL}_W^{loc}(\mathcal{N}) := j_{z+j_\tau^+} \mathrm{FL}_W(\mathcal{N}).$$

The localized Fourier-Laplace transformations of the Gauß-Manin systems are denoted by

$$\mathcal{G}^+ := \mathrm{FL}_W^{loc}(\mathcal{H}^0(\varphi_{B,+} \mathcal{O}_{S \times W})), \quad (26)$$

$$\mathcal{G}^\dagger := \mathrm{FL}_W^{loc}(\mathcal{H}^0(\varphi_{B,\dagger} \mathcal{O}_{S \times W})). \quad (27)$$

We also consider the partial, localized Fourier-Laplace transform of the \mathcal{D} -modules $\mathcal{M}_B^{\tilde{\beta}}$. The following notation will be useful.

Definition 3.1. Let $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_B^{(\beta_0, \beta)}$ be the $D_{\hat{V}}$ -module $D_{\hat{V}}[z^{-1}]/I$, where I is the left ideal generated by the operators $\hat{\square}_L$, $\hat{E}_k - \beta_k z$ and $\hat{E} - \beta_0 z$, which are defined by

$$\hat{\square}_L := \prod_{i: l_i < 0} (z \cdot \partial_{\lambda_i})^{-l_i} - \prod_{i: l_i > 0} (z \cdot \partial_{\lambda_i})^{l_i}$$

$$\hat{E} := z^2 \partial_z + \sum_{i=1}^t z \lambda_i \partial_{\lambda_i}$$

$$\hat{E}_k := \sum_{i=1}^t b_{ki} z \lambda_i \partial_{\lambda_i}$$

We denote the corresponding $\mathcal{D}_{\hat{V}}$ -module by $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_B^{(\beta_0, \beta)}$.

Lemma 3.2. We have the following isomorphism

$$\mathrm{FL}_W^{loc}(\mathcal{M}_B^{\tilde{\beta}}) \simeq \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_B^{(\beta_0+1, \beta)}.$$

for every $\tilde{\beta} = (\beta_0, \beta) \in \mathbb{Z}^{s+1}$.

Proof. This is an easy calculation, using the substitution

$$\lambda_0 \rightarrow -\partial_\tau = z^2 \partial_z \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_{\lambda_0} \rightarrow \tau = 1/z$$

and the fact that $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_B^{(\beta_0, \beta)}$ is localized along $z = 0$. \square

Notice that in the lemma above we used the subscript \tilde{B} for the GKZ-system on the left hand side and the subscript B for its localized Fourier-Laplace transform on the right hand side. This notation takes into account the fact that the properties of the system $\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{B}}^{\tilde{\beta}}$ are governed by the geometry of the semi-group $\mathbb{N}\tilde{B}$, whereas the properties of its localized Fourier-Laplace transform $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_B^{(\beta_0, \beta)}$ depend on the geometry of $\mathbb{N}B$. This explains the different sets of allowed parameters in Proposition 3.3 resp. Theorem 3.6 in contrast to Theorem 2.10 resp. Theorem 2.14 and Proposition 2.15.

The following proposition gives an isomorphism between the localized partial Fourier-Laplace transform of the Gauß-Manin systems \mathcal{G}^+ and \mathcal{G}^\dagger and the hypergeometric systems $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_B^{(\beta_0, \beta)}$ introduced above.

Proposition 3.3. *There exists an $\delta_B \in \mathbb{N}B$ such that we have an isomorphism*

$$\mathcal{G}^+ \simeq \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_B^{(\beta_0, \beta)}$$

for every $\beta_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\beta \in \delta_B + (\mathbb{R}_+ B \cap \mathbb{Z}^s)$. If $\mathbb{N}B$ is saturated, then δ_B can be taken to be $\underline{0} \in \mathbb{N}B$ (in particular, the statement holds for $(\beta_0, \beta) = (\beta_0, \underline{0}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{1+s}$).

Furthermore, we have an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{G}^\dagger \simeq \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_B^{(\beta'_0, -\beta')}$$

for every $\beta'_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\beta' \in (\mathbb{R}_+ B)^\circ \cap \mathbb{Z}^s$.

Proof. We construct the isomorphisms by applying the Fourier-Laplace transform FL_W to the exact sequences in Theorem 2.10. First notice that the first and last term in the exact sequences are free \mathcal{O}_V -modules, thus their Fourier-Laplace transform has support on $\tau = 0$, i.e. their localized Fourier-Laplace transform is 0. Thus there is some $\delta_{\tilde{B}} \in \mathbb{N}\tilde{B}$ such that we have the following isomorphisms

$$\mathcal{G}^+ = \text{FL}_W^{\text{loc}}(\mathcal{H}^0(\varphi_{B,+} \mathcal{O}_{S \times W})) \simeq \text{FL}_W^{\text{loc}}(\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{B}}^{\tilde{\beta}})$$

and

$$\mathcal{G}^\dagger = \text{FL}_W^{\text{loc}}(\mathcal{H}^0(\varphi_{B,\dagger} \mathcal{O}_{S \times W})) \simeq \text{FL}_W^{\text{loc}}(\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{B}}^{-\tilde{\beta}'})$$

for any $\tilde{\beta} \in \delta_{\tilde{B}} + (\mathbb{R}_+ \tilde{B} \cap \mathbb{Z}^{s+1})$ and any $\tilde{\beta}' \in (\mathbb{R}_+ \tilde{B})^\circ \cap \mathbb{Z}^{s+1}$. Write $\delta_{\tilde{B}} = (\delta_0, \delta_B)$ with $\delta_B \in \mathbb{Z}^s$. Now given any $(\beta_0, \beta) \in \mathbb{Z} \times (\delta_B + (\mathbb{R}_+ B \cap \mathbb{Z}^s))$ resp. $(\beta'_0, \beta') \in \mathbb{Z} \times ((\mathbb{R}_+ B)^\circ \cap \mathbb{Z}^s)$ we can find a $\gamma_0, \gamma'_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $(\gamma_0, \beta) \in \delta_{\tilde{B}} + (\mathbb{R}_+ \tilde{B} \cap \mathbb{Z}^{s+1})$ resp. $(\gamma'_0, \beta') \in (\mathbb{R}_+ \tilde{B})^\circ \cap \mathbb{Z}^{s+1}$. It remains to show that there are isomorphism

$$\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_B^{(\beta_0, \beta)} \simeq \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_B^{(\gamma_0, \beta)} \tag{28}$$

for $(\beta_0, \beta) \in \mathbb{Z} \times (\delta_B + (\mathbb{R}_+ B \cap \mathbb{Z}^s))$ and $(\gamma_0, \beta) \in \delta_{\tilde{B}} + (\mathbb{R}_+ \tilde{B} \cap \mathbb{Z}^{s+1})$ resp.

$$\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_B^{(\beta'_0, -\beta')} \simeq \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_B^{(-\gamma'_0, -\beta')} \tag{29}$$

for $(\beta'_0, \beta') \in \mathbb{Z} \times ((\mathbb{R}_+ B)^\circ \cap \mathbb{Z}^s)$ and $(-\gamma'_0, -\beta') \in ((\mathbb{R}_+ \tilde{B})^\circ \cap \mathbb{Z}^{s+1})$. Notice that $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_B^{(\beta_0, \beta)}$ is localized along $z = 0$ for all $(\beta_0, \beta) \in \mathbb{Z}^{s+1}$ by Lemma (3.2). Therefore the morphism given by right multiplication with z

$$\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_B^{(\beta_0, \beta)} \xrightarrow{\cdot z} \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_B^{(\beta_0 - 1, \beta)} \tag{30}$$

is an isomorphism, which shows (28) and (29).

Concerning the last statement, suppose that $\mathbb{N}B$ is saturated. Let $\beta \in \mathbb{N}B = (\mathbb{R}_+ B \cap \mathbb{Z}^s)$ and $\beta_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ arbitrary. By [Rei12, Lemma 1.17] we have $\beta \notin s\text{Res}(B)$, where $s\text{Res}(B) \subset \mathbb{C}^s$ is the set of strongly resonant values (cf. [SW09, Definition 3.4]). Using [Rei12, lemma 1.19] there exists a $\gamma_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $(\gamma_0, \beta) \notin s\text{Res}(\tilde{B})$. Now we argue as above, i.e. by [Rei12, Theorem 2.7] we have $\mathcal{G}^+ = \text{FL}_W^{\text{loc}}(\mathcal{H}^0(\varphi_{B,+} \mathcal{O}_{S \times W})) \simeq \text{FL}_W^{\text{loc}}(\mathcal{M}_B^{(\gamma_0, \beta)})$ which in turn is isomorphic to $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_B^{(\beta_0, \beta)}$. \square

If the semigroup $\mathbb{N}B$ is saturated, we will compute the isomorphism above explicitly for $(\beta_0, \beta) = (0, \underline{0})$. For this we will need a direct description of the localized, partial Fourier-Laplace transformed Gauß-Manin system \mathcal{G}^+ .

Lemma 3.4. *Write $\varphi = (F, \pi)$, where $F : S \times W \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $(y, \underline{\lambda}) \mapsto -\sum_{i=1}^t \lambda_i y^{\underline{b}_i}$ and $\pi : S \times W \rightarrow W$ is the projection. Recall from formula (26) that we denote by $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}^+$ the localized Fourier-Laplace transformation of the Gauß-Manin system of the morphism φ . Write $G^+ := H^0(\widehat{V}, \mathcal{G}^+)$ for its module of global sections. Then there is an isomorphism of $D_{\widehat{V}}$ -modules*

$$G^+ \cong H^0 \left(\Omega_{S \times W/W}^{\bullet+s}[z^{\pm}], d - z^{-1} \cdot d_y F \wedge \right),$$

where d is the differential in the relative de Rham complex $\Omega_{S \times W/W}^{\bullet}$. The structure of a $\mathcal{D}_{\widehat{V}}$ -module on the right hand side is defined as follows

$$\partial_z(\omega \cdot z^i) = i \cdot \omega \cdot z^{i-1} + F \cdot \omega \cdot z^{i-2},$$

$$\partial_{\lambda_i}(\omega \cdot z^i) := \partial_{\lambda_i}(\omega) \cdot z^i - \partial_{\lambda_i} F \cdot \omega \cdot z^{i-1} = \partial_{\lambda_i}(\omega) \cdot z^i + \underline{y}^{\underline{b}_i} \cdot \omega \cdot z^{i-1},$$

where $\omega \in \Omega_{S \times W/W}^r$.

Proof. The expression for the module G^+ as well as the formulas for the $\mathcal{D}_{\widehat{V}}$ -structure are an immediate consequence of the definition of the direct image functor. See, e.g. [Rei12, equations 2.0.18, 2.0.19], from which the desired formulas can be easily obtained. \square

Using the description of G^+ via relative differential forms, we find a distinguished element, which is (the class of) the volume form on S , that is

$$\omega_0 := \frac{dy_1}{y_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{dy_s}{y_s}.$$

In the next lemma we compute the image of ω_0 under the isomorphisms in Proposition 3.3 under the assumption of normality of $\mathbb{N}B$.

Lemma 3.5. *Let $\mathbb{N}B$ be a saturated semigroup, then the isomorphism from Proposition 3.3*

$$\Phi : \mathcal{G}^+ \xrightarrow{\sim} \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_B^{(0, \underline{0})},$$

maps ω_0 to 1.

Proof. Recall from the proof of Proposition 3.3, that there exists a $\gamma_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $(\gamma_0, \underline{0}) \notin sRes(\widetilde{B})$ (notice that here we only assume that $\mathbb{N}B$ is saturated which does not imply that $\mathbb{N}\widetilde{B}$ is saturated). Denote by

$$\psi_{(\gamma_0, \underline{0})} : \Gamma(V, \mathcal{H}^0(\varphi_{B,+} \mathcal{O}_{S \times W})) \rightarrow M_B^{(\gamma_0, \underline{0})}$$

the morphism from Theorem 2.10. We first compute the image of ω_0 under the morphism $\psi_{(\gamma_0, \underline{0})}$ using the description of $\mathcal{H}^0(\varphi_{B,+} \mathcal{O}_{S \times W})$ by relative differential forms (see e.g. [Rei12, Equation 2.0.17]). We will use the following two facts of loc. cit. Proposition 2.8 whose proofs extend directly to our slightly more general situation (there it was assumed that $\mathbb{N}\widetilde{B}$ is saturated). Namely first, that there exists a non-zero morphism $M_B^{(-1, \underline{0})} \rightarrow \Gamma(V, \mathcal{H}^0(\varphi_{B,+} \mathcal{O}_{S \times W}))$ which sends 1 to ω_0 and second that $\psi_{(\gamma_0, \underline{0})}(\omega_0) \neq 0$. Concatenating this morphism with $\psi_{(\gamma_0, \underline{0})}$ gives a non-zero morphism $M_B^{(-1, \underline{0})} \rightarrow M_B^{(\gamma_0, \underline{0})}$, where $1 \in M_B^{(-1, \underline{0})}$ is sent to the image of ω_0 under $\psi_{(\gamma_0, \underline{0})}$. By [Rei12, Proposition 1.24] this morphism is uniquely given by right multiplication with $\partial_{\lambda_0}^{\gamma_0+1}$ (up to a non-zero constant). Applying now the partial localized Fourier-Laplace transform to the morphism $\psi_{(\gamma_0, \underline{0})}$, we see that $\psi_{(\gamma_0, \underline{0})}(\omega_0) = z^{-\gamma_0-1}$. Using the isomorphism $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_B^{(\gamma'_0, \underline{0})} \xrightarrow{\sim} \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_B^{(\gamma'_0-1, \underline{0})}$, which holds for any $\gamma'_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$, shows the claim. \square

By Proposition 2.14, we can now give a concrete description of the partial, localized Fourier-Laplace transform $\mathcal{G}^{IC} := \mathrm{FL}_W^{loc}(\mathcal{M}^{IC}(X^\circ, \mathcal{L}))$ of the intersection cohomology \mathcal{D} -module $\mathcal{M}^{IC}(X^\circ, \mathcal{L})$.

Theorem 3.6. *Let $\beta \in \delta_B + (\mathbb{R}_+ B \cap \mathbb{Z}^s)$, $\beta' \in (\mathbb{R}_+ B)^\circ \cap \mathbb{Z}^s$ and $\beta_0, \beta'_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$, then we have the following isomorphisms*

$$\mathcal{G}^{IC} \simeq \mathrm{im} \left(\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_B^{(\beta'_0, -\beta')} \xrightarrow{\cdot z^{\beta'_0 - \beta_0} \partial^{\beta + \beta'}} \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_B^{(\beta_0, \beta)} \right),$$

resp.

$$\mathcal{G}^{IC} \simeq \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_B^{(\beta'_0, -\beta')} / \widehat{\Gamma}_\partial(\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_B^{(\beta'_0, -\beta')}),$$

where $\widehat{\Gamma}_\partial(\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_B^{(\beta'_0, -\beta')})(U) := \{m \in \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_B^{(\beta'_0, -\beta')}(U) \mid \exists n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ with } (\partial^{\beta + \beta'})^n \cdot m = 0\}$. Furthermore, if $\mathbb{N}B$ is saturated, then δ_B can be taken to be $\underline{0} \in \mathbb{N}B$ (so that, similarly to proposition 3.3, the statement holds true for $(\beta_0, \beta) = (\beta_0, \underline{0}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{1+s}$).

Proof. Using the isomorphism

$$\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_B^{(\beta_0, \beta)} \xrightarrow{\cdot z} \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_B^{(\beta_0 - 1, \beta)} \quad (31)$$

which holds for every $(\beta_0, \beta) \in \mathbb{Z}^{s+1}$, we can assume that $(\beta_0 + 1, \beta) \in \delta_{\widetilde{B}} + (\mathbb{R}_+ \widetilde{B} \cap \mathbb{Z}^{s+1})$ resp. $(\beta'_0 + 1, \beta') \in (\mathbb{R}_+ \widetilde{B})^\circ \cap \mathbb{Z}^{s+1}$. Then the first isomorphism follows by applying the functor FL_W^{loc} to the isomorphism in Theorem 2.14 and lemma 3.2.

For the second isomorphism we can assume again that $(\beta'_0 + 1, \beta') \in (\mathbb{R}_+ \widetilde{B})^\circ \cap \mathbb{Z}^{s+1}$. Now the desired statement is obtained by applying FL_W^{loc} to the second isomorphism in Proposition 2.15 and the fact that $\widehat{\Gamma}_\partial(\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_B^{(\beta'_0, -\beta')})$ is stable under left multiplication with z .

Now assume that $\mathbb{N}B$ is saturated and let $\beta \in \mathbb{N}B$. Arguing as in the last part of the proof of Proposition 3.3 we can find a $\gamma_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $(\gamma_0, \beta) \notin s\mathrm{Res}(\widetilde{\beta})$. By [SW09, Corollary 3.7] we have an isomorphism $\mathrm{FL}(h_+ \mathcal{O}_T) \simeq \mathcal{M}_{\widetilde{B}}^{(\gamma_0, \beta)}$. Now the proof of Theorem 2.14 shows that

$$\mathcal{M}^{IC}(X^\circ, \mathcal{L}) \simeq \mathrm{im}(\mathcal{M}_{\widetilde{B}}^{-\widetilde{\beta}'} \cdot \partial^{(\gamma_0, \beta) + \widetilde{\beta}'} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\widetilde{B}}^{(\gamma_0, \beta)}).$$

Now applying the functor F_W^{loc} and using the isomorphism (31) shows the claim in the saturated case. \square

3.2 Tameness and Lattices

In this section we define a natural lattice in the Fourier-Laplace transformed Gauß-Manin system \mathcal{G}^+ outside some bad locus where the Laurent polynomial acquires singularities at infinity. For this we need to study the characteristic variety of the Gauß-Manin system of φ_B and the corresponding GKZ system $\mathcal{M}_{\widetilde{B}}^{\widetilde{\beta}}$. Throughout this section we assume that $\mathbb{N}B$ is a saturated semigroup. Recall the embedding of the torus S in the projective space from formula (4)

$$S \xrightarrow{j} X \xrightarrow{i} \mathbb{P}(V').$$

The projective variety X serves as a convenient ambient space to compactify fibers of the family of Laurent polynomials φ_B . Let X^{aff} be the restriction of X to the affine chart of $\mathbb{P}(V')$ given by $\mu_0 = 1$. The affine variety X^{aff} is therefore the closure of the map

$$\begin{aligned} g_B : S &\longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^t \\ (y_1, \dots, y_s) &\mapsto (\underline{y}^{b_1}, \dots, \underline{y}^{b_t}). \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

Hence X^{aff} is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{N}B])$. Consider the following diagram, which is a refinement of a part of diagram (17):

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \Gamma & \xrightarrow{\theta_2} & Z_{X^{aff}} & \xrightarrow{\theta_1} & Z_X & \xrightarrow{\eta} & Z & \xrightarrow{\pi_2^Z} & V \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_1^Z & & \\ S & \xrightarrow{j_2} & X^{aff} & \xrightarrow{j_1} & X & \xrightarrow{i} & \mathbb{P}(V') & & \end{array} \quad (33)$$

where j_1 and j_2 are the canonical inclusions and the three squares are cartesian. Recall that $Z \subset \mathbb{P}(V') \times V$ was given by the incidence relation $\sum_{i=0}^t \lambda_i \mu_i = 0$ and the composed map $g = i \circ j = i \circ j_1 \circ j_2$ was defined by formula (3). Thus Γ resp. $Z_{X^{aff}}$ is the subvariety of $S \times V = S \times \mathbb{C}_{\lambda_0} \times W$ resp. $X^{aff} \times V$ given by the equation $\lambda_0 + \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i \underline{y}^{b_i} = 0$. It follows from the definition that Γ is the graph of φ_B . Therefore the maps

$$\pi_{Z_X} := \pi_2^Z \circ \eta : Z_X \longrightarrow V$$

resp.

$$\pi_{Z_{X^{aff}}} := \pi_2^Z \circ \eta \circ \theta_1 : Z_X \longrightarrow V$$

provide natural (partial) compactifications of the family of Laurent polynomials φ_B . Putting $H_{\tilde{\lambda}} := \{\sum_{i=0}^t \lambda_i \mu_i = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}(V')$ for any $\tilde{\lambda} \in V$, we see that the fiber $\pi_{Z_X}^{-1}(\tilde{\lambda})$ resp. $\pi_{Z_{X^{aff}}}^{-1}(\tilde{\lambda})$ is given by $X \cap H_{\tilde{\lambda}}$ resp. $\{\lambda_0 + \sum_{i=1}^t \lambda_i \underline{y}^{b_i} = 0\} \subset X^{aff}$.

Recall that the toric variety X has a natural stratification by torus orbits $X^0(\Gamma)$, which are in one-to-one correspondence with the faces Γ of the polytope Q , which is the convex hull of the elements $\{\underline{b}_0 := \underline{0}, \underline{b}_1, \dots, \underline{b}_t\}$. Notice that the stratification $\mathcal{S} := \{X^0(\Gamma)\}$ is a Whitney stratification of X (see e.g. [Dim92, Proposition 1.14]).

By [GKZ08, Chapter 5, Prop 1.9] the orbit $X^0(\Gamma) \simeq (\mathbb{C}^*)^{\dim(\Gamma)}$ is the image of the map

$$\begin{aligned} g_\Gamma : S &\longrightarrow \mathbb{P}(V') \\ (y_1, \dots, y_s) &\mapsto (\epsilon_0 1 : \epsilon_1 \underline{y}^{b_1} : \dots : \epsilon_t \underline{y}^{b_t}), \end{aligned}$$

where $\epsilon_i = 0$ if $\underline{b}_i \notin \Gamma$ and $\epsilon_i = 1$ if $\underline{b}_i \in \Gamma$. It is easy to see that

$$X^{aff} = \bigcup_{\Gamma \mid 0 \in \Gamma} X^0(\Gamma)$$

and this induces a Whitney stratification of X^{aff} .

The preimage of $X^0(\Gamma) \cap H_{\tilde{\lambda}}$ under g_Γ is given by

$$\{(y_1, \dots, y_s) \in S \mid \sum_{\underline{b}_i \in \Gamma} \lambda_i \underline{y}^{b_i} = 0\}.$$

It follows from [GKZ08, Chapter 5.D] that the morphism $p_\Gamma : S \longrightarrow X^0(\Gamma) \simeq (\mathbb{C}^*)^{\dim(\Gamma)}$ is a trivial fibration with fiber being isomorphic to $(\mathbb{C}^*)^{d-\dim(\Gamma)}$.

Denote by $S_\Gamma^{crit, \tilde{\lambda}}$ the set

$$\left\{ (y_1, \dots, y_s) \in S \mid \sum_{\underline{b}_i \in \Gamma} \lambda_i \underline{y}^{b_i} = 0; \quad y_k \partial_{y_k} \left(\sum_{\underline{b}_i \in \Gamma} \lambda_i \underline{y}^{b_i} \right) = 0 \quad \text{for all } k \in \{1, \dots, s\} \right\}. \quad (34)$$

Then its image under p_Γ is exactly the singular set $\text{sing}(X^0(\Gamma) \cap H_{\tilde{\lambda}})$ of $X^0(\Gamma) \cap H_{\tilde{\lambda}}$. This motivates the following definition.

Definition 3.7. Let $\tilde{\lambda} \in V$

1. The fiber $\pi_{Z_X}^{-1}(\tilde{\lambda})$ has stratified singularities in $X^0(\Gamma)$ if $X^0(\Gamma) \cap H_{\tilde{\lambda}}$ is singular, i.e. $S_\Gamma^{crit, \tilde{\lambda}} \neq \emptyset$.
2. The set

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_B &:= \{\tilde{\lambda} \in V \mid S_\Gamma^{crit, \tilde{\lambda}} \neq \emptyset\} \\ &= \{\tilde{\lambda} \in V \mid \varphi_B^{-1}(\tilde{\lambda}) \text{ is singular}\} \end{aligned}$$

is called the discriminant of φ_B .

3. The fiber $\varphi_B^{-1}(\tilde{\Delta})$ has **singularities at infinity** if there exists a proper face Γ of the Newton polyhedron Q so that $S_\Gamma^{\text{crit}, \tilde{\Delta}} \neq \emptyset$. The set

$$\Delta_B^\infty := \{\tilde{\Delta} \in V \mid \exists \Gamma \neq Q \text{ so that } S_\Gamma^{\text{crit}, \tilde{\Delta}} \neq \emptyset\}$$

is called the non-tame locus of φ_B .

4. The fiber $\varphi_B^{-1}(\tilde{\Delta})$ has **bad singularities at infinity** if there exists a proper face Γ of the Newton polyhedron Q not containing the origin such that $S_\Gamma^{\text{crit}, \tilde{\Delta}} \neq \emptyset$. The set

$$\Delta_B^{\text{bad}} := \{\tilde{\Delta} \in V \mid \exists \Gamma \neq Q, 0 \notin \Gamma \text{ so that } S_\Gamma^{\text{crit}, \tilde{\Delta}} \neq \emptyset\} \subset \Delta_B^\infty$$

is called the bad locus of φ_B .

Remark 3.8. Notice that Δ_B^{bad} is independent of λ_0 . We denote its projection to W by W^{bad} . Let $W^* = W \setminus \{\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_t = 0\}$ and define

$$W^\circ := W^* \setminus W^{\text{bad}},$$

which we call the set of good parameters for φ_B .

Recall that X^{aff} is isomorphic to $\text{Spec}(R_B)$ with $R_B := \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{N}B]$. Let $\underline{\Delta} \in W$ and set $f_{\underline{\Delta}}(\bullet) := \varphi_B(\bullet, \underline{\Delta})$. Notice that the Laurent polynomials $f_{\underline{\Delta}}$ and $\partial f_{\underline{\Delta}}/\partial y_k$ for $k = 1, \dots, s$, which were defined on S before are actually elements of R_B and can thus naturally be considered as functions on X^{aff} .

Lemma 3.9. Let $\underline{\Delta} \in W^\circ$ be a good parameter, then

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(R_B/(\partial f_{\underline{\Delta}}/\partial y_k)_{k=1, \dots, s}) = \text{vol}(Q),$$

where the volume of a hypercube $[0, 1]^s \subset \mathbb{R}^s$ is normalized to $s!$. Moreover, we have

$$\text{supp}(R_B/(\partial f_{\underline{\Delta}}/\partial y_k)_{k=1, \dots, s}) = \bigcup_{\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{C}} \text{sing}_S(\pi_{Z_X}^{-1}(\lambda_0, \underline{\Delta})),$$

where we see $\pi_{Z_X}^{-1}(\lambda_0, \underline{\Delta})$ as a subset of $X \subset \mathbb{P}(V')$ and where $\text{sing}_S(\pi_{Z_X}^{-1}(\lambda_0, \underline{\Delta}))$ denotes the stratified singular locus with respect to the stratification \mathcal{S} of X by torus orbits defined above.

Proof. For the first claim consider the following increasing filtration on R_B . Let as above Q be the convex hull of $\underline{b}_1, \dots, \underline{b}_t$ and 0 in \mathbb{R}^s . Let $u \in \mathbb{N}B$ then the weight of \underline{y}^u is defined by $\inf\{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+ \mid u \in \lambda \cdot Q\}$. It is easy to see that there is an integer e so that all weights lie in $e^{-1}\mathbb{N}$. Denote by $R_B^{\frac{k}{e}}$ the elements in R_B with weight $\leq k/e$. Let $gr R_B$ the graduated ring with respect to this filtration. By [Ado94, Equation 5.12] we have

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} gr(R_B)/(\overline{\partial f_{\underline{\Delta}}/\partial y_k})_{k=1, \dots, s} = \text{vol}(Q),$$

where $\overline{\partial f_{\underline{\Delta}}/\partial y_k}$ is the image of $\partial f_{\underline{\Delta}}/\partial y_k$ in $gr(R_B)$. It remains to show that

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} gr(R_B)/(\overline{\partial f_{\underline{\Delta}}/\partial y_k})_{k=1, \dots, s} = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} R_B/(\partial f_{\underline{\Delta}}/\partial y_k)_{k=1, \dots, s}.$$

The proof of this equality is an easy adaptation of the proof of [Ado94, Theorem 5.4].

For the proof of the second statement we notice first that

$$\text{sing}_S(\pi_{Z_X}^{-1}(\lambda_0, \underline{\Delta})) = \bigcup_{\Gamma \mid 0 \in \Gamma} \text{sing}(X^0(\Gamma) \cap H_{(\lambda_0, \underline{\Delta})})$$

because the fiber over $(\lambda_0, \underline{\Delta})$ has no bad singularities at infinity.

Define the following r hyperplanes $H_{\underline{\Delta}}^k$ for $k \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ and $\underline{\Delta} \in W^\circ$:

$$H_{\underline{\Delta}}^k := \{(\mu_0 : \dots : \mu_t) \in \mathbb{P}(V') \mid \sum_{i=1}^t b_{ki} \lambda_i \mu_i = 0\}.$$

We have $\text{sing}(X^0(\Gamma) \cap H_{(\lambda_0, \underline{\lambda})}) = X^0(\Gamma) \cap H_{(\lambda_0, \underline{\lambda})} \cap (\bigcap_{k=1}^s H_{\underline{\lambda}}^k)$ by equation (34) and therefore

$$\text{sing}_S(\pi_{Z_X}^{-1}(\lambda_0, \underline{\lambda})) = X^{\text{aff}} \cap H_{(\lambda_0, \underline{\lambda})} \cap (\bigcap_{k=1}^s H_{\underline{\lambda}}^k).$$

Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \bigcup_{\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{C}} (X^{\text{aff}} \cap H_{(\lambda_0, \underline{\lambda})} \cap (\bigcap_{k=1}^s H_{\underline{\lambda}}^k)) &= \bigcup_{\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{C}} \text{supp}(R_B/R_B(f_{\underline{\lambda}} - \lambda_0) + R_B(\partial f_{\underline{\lambda}}/\partial y_k)_{k=1, \dots, s}) \\ &= \text{supp}(R_B/R_B(\partial f_{\underline{\lambda}}/\partial y_k)_{k=1, \dots, s}), \end{aligned}$$

which shows the claim. \square

Let \tilde{B} be the $(s+1) \times (t+1)$ -matrix as introduced before definition 2.8. Let \tilde{Q} be the convex hull of $\tilde{\underline{b}}_0, \dots, \tilde{\underline{b}}_t$ in \mathbb{R}^{s+1} . Notice that $\tilde{Q} \subset \{1\} \times \mathbb{R}^s$ and therefore no face $\tilde{\Gamma}$ of \tilde{Q} contains the origin. Adolphson characterized the characteristic variety $\text{char}(\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{B}}^{\tilde{\beta}})$ of the GKZ system $\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{B}}^{\tilde{\beta}}$ as follows. Let $T^*V \simeq V \times V'$ be the holomorphic cotangent bundle with coordinates $(\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_t, \mu_0, \dots, \mu_t)$. Define the following Laurent polynomials on $(\mathbb{C}^*)^{s+1}$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{f}_{\tilde{\Delta}}(\underline{y}) &:= \tilde{f}_{\tilde{\Delta}, \tilde{Q}}(\underline{y}) := \sum_{i=0}^t \lambda_i \underline{y}^{\tilde{\underline{b}}_i}, \\ \tilde{f}_{\tilde{\Delta}, \tilde{\Gamma}}(\underline{y}) &:= \sum_{\tilde{\underline{b}}_i \in \tilde{\Gamma}} \lambda_i \underline{y}^{\tilde{\underline{b}}_i}, \end{aligned}$$

where we define $\underline{y}^{\tilde{\underline{b}}_i} := \prod_{k=0}^r y_k^{\tilde{b}_{ki}}$.

Lemma 3.10 ([Ado94] Lemma 3.2, Lemma 3.3).

1. For each $(\tilde{\underline{\lambda}}^{(0)}, \tilde{\underline{\mu}}^{(0)}) \in \text{char}(\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{A}}^{\tilde{\beta}})$ there exists a (possibly empty) face $\tilde{\Gamma}$ such that $\tilde{\mu}_j^{(0)} \neq 0$ if and only if $\tilde{\underline{b}}_j \in \tilde{\Gamma}$.
2. If $\tilde{\underline{\lambda}}^{(0)}$ is a singular point of $\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{B}}^{\tilde{\beta}}$ and $\tilde{\Gamma}$ the corresponding (non-empty) face, then the Laurent polynomials $\partial \tilde{f}_{\tilde{\Delta}^{(0)}, \tilde{\Gamma}}/\partial y_0, \dots, \partial \tilde{f}_{\tilde{\Delta}^{(0)}, \tilde{\Gamma}}/\partial y_s$ have a common zero in $(\mathbb{C}^*)^{s+1}$.

We can use this result in the next lemma to compute the singular locus of the \mathcal{D} -modules we are interested in.

Lemma 3.11. The singular locus of $\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{B}}^{\tilde{\beta}}$ as well as the singular locus of the modules $\mathcal{H}^0(\varphi_{B+} \mathcal{O}_{S \times W})$ resp. $\mathcal{H}^0(\varphi_{B+} \mathcal{O}_{S \times W})$ is given by

$$\Delta_S := \Delta_B \cup \Delta_B^\infty.$$

Proof. Notice that the polytope $\tilde{Q} \subset \{1\} \times \mathbb{R}^s$ is just the shifted polytope $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^s$ defined above. One easily sees that the Laurent polynomials $\partial \tilde{f}_{\tilde{\Delta}^{(0)}, \tilde{Q}}/\partial y_0, \dots, \partial \tilde{f}_{\tilde{\Delta}^{(0)}, \tilde{Q}}/\partial y_s$ have a common zero in $(\mathbb{C}^*)^{s+1}$ if and only if $\varphi_B^{-1}(\tilde{\underline{\lambda}}^{(0)})$ is singular, i.e. the set of $\tilde{\underline{\lambda}}^{(0)}$'s which satisfy this condition is exactly the discriminant Δ_B of φ_B . If there exists a proper face $\tilde{\Gamma}$ of \tilde{Q} such that the Laurent polynomials $\partial \tilde{f}_{\tilde{\Delta}^{(0)}, \tilde{\Gamma}}/\partial y_0, \dots, \partial \tilde{f}_{\tilde{\Delta}^{(0)}, \tilde{\Gamma}}/\partial y_s$ have a common zero in $(\mathbb{C}^*)^{s+1}$, then then fiber $\varphi_B^{-1}(\tilde{\underline{\lambda}}^{(0)})$ has a singularity at infinity, i.e. its compactification has a singularity in $X^0(\Gamma)$, where Γ is the corresponding face of Q . \square

Lemma 3.12. The restriction of the discriminant Δ_S to $\mathbb{C} \times W^\circ \subset V$ is finite over $W^\circ \subset W$.

Proof. We will first show quasi-finiteness of the map $p : \Delta_{S|\mathbb{C} \times W^\circ} \rightarrow W^\circ$. First notice that we have $\Delta_{S|\mathbb{C} \times W^\circ} = (\Delta_S \setminus \Delta_B^{\text{bad}})_{|\mathbb{C} \times W^\circ}$. Fix some $\underline{\lambda} \in W^\circ$. We have to show that $\Delta_{S|\mathbb{C} \times \{\underline{\lambda}\}}$ is a finite set. By the definition of Δ_S it is enough to show that $\text{sing}_S(\pi_{Z_X}^{-1}(\lambda_0, \underline{\lambda}))$ is a finite set, but this is Lemma 3.9.

To prove finiteness of the map $p : \Delta_S|_{\mathbb{C} \times W^\circ} \rightarrow W^\circ$ it remains to show that it is proper. Let K be any compact subset of W° . Suppose that $p^{-1}(K)$ is not compact, then it must be unbounded in $V \simeq \mathbb{C}^{t+1}$ for the standard metric. Hence there is a sequence $(\lambda_0^{(i)}, \underline{\lambda}^{(i)}) \in p^{-1}(K)$ with $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} |\lambda_0^{(i)}| = \infty$, as K is closed and bounded in $W^\circ \subset W = \mathbb{C}^t$.

In order to construct a contradiction, we use the partial compactification of the family φ_B from above. Recall the spaces $Z := \{\sum_{i=0}^t \lambda_i \cdot \mu_i = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}(V') \times V$ and $Z_X := (X \times V) \cap Z$. Introduce the spaces $Z_k := \{\sum_{i=1}^t b_{ki} \lambda_i \mu_i = 0\}$ for $k \in \{1, \dots, t\}$. Then $Z_X \cap (\bigcap_{k=1}^d Z_k)$ is the stratified critical locus $\text{crit}_S(\pi_{Z_X})$ of the family π_{Z_X} , where we denote by abuse of notation by \mathcal{S} also the stratification on Z_X induced from the torus stratification on X used above.

Because the projection from the stratified critical locus $\text{crit}_S(\pi_{Z_X})$ of π_{Z_X} to Δ_S is onto, there is a sequence $((\mu_0^{(i)} : \underline{\mu}^{(i)}), (\lambda_0^{(i)}, \underline{\lambda}^{(i)})) \in X^{\text{aff}} \times p^{-1}(K)$ projecting under $\pi_{Z_X}|_{X^{\text{aff}} \times p^{-1}(K)}$ to $(\lambda_0^{(i)}, \underline{\lambda}^{(i)})$ (Notice that we consider here X^{aff} as a subset of $\mathbb{P}(V')$ under the embedding $i \circ j_1$). Consider the first component of the sequence $((\mu_0^{(i)} : \underline{\mu}^{(i)}), (\lambda_0^{(i)}, \underline{\lambda}^{(i)}))$, then this is a sequence $(\mu_0^{(i)} : \underline{\mu}^{(i)})$ in X which converges (after possibly passing to a subsequence) to a limit $(0 : \mu_1^{\text{lim}} : \dots : \mu_t^{\text{lim}})$ (this is forced by the incidence relation $\sum_{i=0}^t \lambda_i \mu_i$). In other words this limit lies in $X \setminus X^{\text{aff}}$ by the definition of X^{aff} before equation (32). But because $(X \times V) \cap Z \cap \bigcap_{k=1}^d Z_k = Z_X \cap \bigcap_{k=1}^d Z_k$ is closed, the point $((0 : \mu_1^{\text{lim}} : \dots : \mu_t^{\text{lim}}), (\lambda_0^{\text{lim}}, \underline{\lambda}^{\text{lim}}))$ lies in $((X \setminus X^{\text{aff}}) \times p^{-1}(K)) \cap Z \cap \bigcap_{k=1}^d Z_k$, i.e. $\pi_{Z_X}^{-1}(\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (\lambda_0^{(i)}, \underline{\lambda}^{(i)}))$ has a bad singularity at infinity, which is a contradiction by the definition of W° . \square

We can now prove the following regularity property of $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_B^{(\beta_0, \beta)}$, which is essentially the same proof as in [RS10, Lemma 4.4].

Lemma 3.13. *Consider $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_B^{(\beta_0, \beta)}$ as a $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \overline{W}}$ -module, where \overline{W} is a smooth projective compactification of W . Then $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_B^{(\beta_0, \beta)}$ is regular outside $(\{z = 0\} \times W) \cup (\mathbb{P}^1 \times (\underline{W} \setminus W^\circ))$ and smooth on $\mathbb{C}_z^* \times W^\circ$.*

Proof. It suffices to show that any $\underline{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_t) \in W^\circ$ has a small analytic neighborhood $W_{\underline{\lambda}}^\circ \subset W^{\circ \text{an}}$ such that the partial analytization $\mathcal{O}_{W_{\underline{\lambda}}^\circ}^{\text{an}}[\tau, \tau^{-1}] \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_z^* \times W^\circ}} \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_B^{(\beta_0, \beta)}$ is regular on $\mathbb{C}_\tau \times W_{\underline{\lambda}}^\circ$ (but not at $\tau = \infty$). This is precisely the statement of [DS03, Theorem 1.11 (1)], taking into account the regularity of $\mathcal{M}_B^{\tilde{\beta}}$ (c.f. [Hot98, section 6]), the fact that the singular locus of $\mathcal{M}_B^{\tilde{\beta}}$ coincides with Δ_S (see Lemma 3.11) as well as the last lemma (notice that the non-characteristic assumption in [DS03, Theorem 1.11 (1)] is satisfied, see, e.g., [Pha79, page 281]). \square

The next step is to study several natural lattices in $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_B^{(\beta_0, \beta)}$. They are defined in terms of \mathcal{R} -modules, see the end of subsection 2.1.

Definition 3.14. 1. Consider the left ideal $\mathcal{I} := \mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{C}_z \times W^*}(\widehat{\square}_L)_{L \in \mathbb{L}} + \mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{C}_z \times W^*}(\widehat{E}_k - z \cdot \beta_k)_{k=1, \dots, r} + \mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{C}_z \times W^*}(\widehat{E} - z \cdot \beta_0)$ in $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{C}_z \times W^*}$ and write ${}_0\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_B^{(\beta_0, \beta)}$ for the cyclic \mathcal{R} -module $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{C}_z \times W^*}/\mathcal{I}$. Here the operators $\widehat{\square}_L$, \widehat{E}_k and \widehat{E} are those from definition 3.1.

2. Consider the open inclusions $W^\circ \subset W^* \subset W$ and define the $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}_z \times W^\circ}$ -module

$${}^\circ\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_B^{(\beta_0, \beta)} := \left(\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_B^{(\beta_0, \beta)} \right)_{|\mathbb{C}_z \times W^\circ}$$

and the $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{C}_z \times W^\circ}$ -module

$${}_0{}^\circ\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_B^{(\beta_0, \beta)} := \left({}_0\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_B^{(\beta_0, \beta)} \right)_{|\mathbb{C}_z \times W^\circ}.$$

Remark 3.15.

1. We have $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}_z \times W^*} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{C}_z \times W^*}} {}_0\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_B^{(\beta_0, \beta)} = \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_B^{(\beta_0, \beta)}|_{\mathbb{C}_z \times W^*}$.
2. The restriction of ${}_0\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_B^{(\beta_0, \beta)}$ to $\mathbb{C}_z^* \times W^*$ equals the restriction of $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_B^{(\beta_0, \beta)}$ to $\mathbb{C}_z^* \times W^*$.
3. $\text{For}_{z^2 \partial_z}({}_0\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_B^{(\beta_0, \beta)}) = \mathcal{R}'/\mathcal{I}'$, where \mathcal{I}' is given by

$$\mathcal{I}' := \mathcal{R}'(\widehat{\square}_L)_{L \in \mathbb{L}} + \mathcal{R}'(\widehat{E}_k - z \cdot \beta_k)_{k=1, \dots, r}.$$

Lemma 3.16. *The quotient ${}_0\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_B^{(\beta_0, \beta)}/z \cdot {}_0\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_B^{(\beta_0, \beta)}$ is the sheaf of commutative \mathcal{O}_{W^*} -algebras associated to*

$$\frac{\mathbb{C}[\lambda_1^\pm, \dots, \lambda_t^\pm, \kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_t]}{(\prod_{l_i < 0} \kappa_i^{-l_i} - \prod_{l_i > 0} \kappa_i^{l_i})_{\underline{l} \in \mathbb{L}} + (\sum_{i=1}^t b_{ki} \lambda_i \kappa_i)_{k=1, \dots, s}} \simeq \frac{\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{N}B][\lambda_1^\pm, \dots, \lambda_t^\pm]}{y_k \partial f_{\underline{\lambda}} / \partial y_k} \quad (35)$$

where $y_k \partial f_{\underline{\lambda}} / \partial y_k = \sum_{i=1}^t b_{ki} \lambda_i y_k^{b_i}$.

Proof. Let κ_i be the class of $z \partial \lambda_i$. Because the commutator $[\kappa_i, \lambda_i]$ is zero we see that ${}_0\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_B^{(\beta_0, \beta)}/z \cdot {}_0\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_B^{(\beta_0, \beta)}$ is a commutative algebra and isomorphic to the module on the left hand side of equation (35). To show the isomorphism (35), consider the $\mathbb{C}[\lambda_1^\pm, \dots, \lambda_t^\pm]$ -linear morphism

$$\begin{aligned} \psi : \mathbb{C}[\lambda_1^\pm, \dots, \lambda_t^\pm, \kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_t] &\longrightarrow \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{N}B][[\lambda_1^\pm, \dots, \lambda_t^\pm]] \\ \kappa_i &\mapsto y_k^{b_i} \end{aligned}$$

which is surjective by the definition of $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{N}B]$. The kernel of this map is equal to $(\prod_{l_i < 0} \kappa_i^{-l_i} - \prod_{l_i > 0} \kappa_i^{l_i})_{\underline{l} \in \mathbb{L}}$ by [MS05, Theorem 7.3]. Finally notice that $\psi(\sum_{i=1}^t b_{ki} \lambda_i \kappa_i) = y_k \partial f_{\underline{\lambda}} / \partial y_k$, which shows the claim. \square

We need the following result saying that the GKZ-system \mathcal{M}_B^β is isomorphic to the restriction of the Fourier-Laplace transformed GKZ system $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_B^{(\beta_0, \beta)}$.

Lemma 3.17. *Let $i_1 : \{1\} \times W \longrightarrow \hat{V} = \mathbb{C}_z \times W$ be the canonical inclusion. Then*

$$\mathcal{H}^0 \left(i_1^+ \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_B^{(\beta_0, \beta)} \right) \simeq \mathcal{M}_B^\beta.$$

Proof. During the proof we will work with modules of global sections rather with the \mathcal{D} -modules itself. Recall that the left ideal defining the quotient $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_B^{(\beta_0, \beta)}$ is generated by the operators $\widehat{\square}_{\underline{l}}$, $\widehat{E}_k - \beta_k z$ and $\widehat{E} - \beta_0 z$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\square}_{\underline{l}} &:= \prod_{i: l_i < 0} (z \cdot \partial_{\lambda_i})^{-l_i} - \prod_{i: l_i > 0} (z \cdot \partial_{\lambda_i})^{l_i} \\ \widehat{E} &:= z^2 \partial_z + \sum_{i=1}^t z \lambda_i \partial_{\lambda_i} \\ \widehat{E}_k &:= \sum_{i=1}^t b_{ki} z \lambda_i \partial_{\lambda_i} \end{aligned}$$

The presence of $z^{-2}(\widehat{E}_0 - \beta_0 z)$ in this ideal show that have the an isomorphism of $\mathbb{C}[z^\pm, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n] \langle \partial_{\lambda_1}, \dots, \partial_{\lambda_n} \rangle$ -modules

$$\widehat{M} \simeq \mathbb{C}[z^\pm, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n] \langle \partial_{\lambda_1}, \dots, \partial_{\lambda_n} \rangle / \mathbb{C}[z^\pm, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n] \langle \partial_{\lambda_1}, \dots, \partial_{\lambda_n} \rangle \widehat{I} \quad (36)$$

where the left $\mathbb{C}[z^\pm, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n] \langle \partial_{\lambda_1}, \dots, \partial_{\lambda_n} \rangle$ -ideal \widehat{I} is generated by $\widehat{\square}_{\underline{l} \in \mathbb{L}}$ and $\widehat{E}_k - \beta_k$ for $k \in \{1, \dots, d\}$. The D_W -module corresponding to $\mathcal{H}^0 \left(i_1^+ \widehat{\mathcal{M}} \right)$ is given by $\widehat{M} / (z - 1) \widehat{M}$. Using the isomorphism (36) one easily sees that

$$\widehat{M} / (z - 1) \widehat{M} \simeq M_B^\beta,$$

which shows the claim. \square

Proposition 3.18. *The $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_z \times W^\circ}$ -module ${}_0\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_B^{(\beta_0, \beta)}$ is locally-free of rank $\text{vol}(Q)$.*

Proof. Notice that it is sufficient to show that ${}_0\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_B^{(\beta_0, \beta)}$ is $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C} \times W^\circ}$ -coherent. Namely, ${}_0\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_B^{(\beta_0, \beta)}/z \cdot {}_0\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_B^{(\beta_0, \beta)}$ is \mathcal{O}_{W° -locally free of rank $\text{vol}(Q)$ by Lemma 3.9. Moreover, the restriction of ${}_0\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_B^{(\beta_0, \beta)}$ to $\mathbb{C}_z^* \times W^\circ$ is a locally-free $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_z^* \times W^\circ}$ -module by Lemma 3.13. Its restriction to $\{1\} \times W^\circ$ is isomorphic to the restriction of \mathcal{M}_B^β to W° by Lemma 3.17 which is locally free of rank $\text{vol}(Q)$. Now we use the fact that a coherent \mathcal{O} -module which has everywhere the same rank is locally-free.

It is actually sufficient to show the coherence of $\mathcal{N} := \text{For}_{z^2 \partial_z}({}_0\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_B^{(\beta_0, \beta)})$, as this is the same as ${}_0\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_B^{(\beta_0, \beta)}$ when considered as an $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_z \times W^\circ}$ -module. Let us denote by F_\bullet the natural filtration on $\mathcal{R}'_{\mathbb{C}_z \times W^\circ}$ defined by

$$F_k \mathcal{R}'_{\mathbb{C}_z \times W^\circ} := \left\{ P \in \mathcal{R}'_{\mathbb{C}_z \times W^\circ} \mid P = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} g_\alpha(z, \Delta) (z \partial_{\lambda_1})^{\alpha_1} \cdots (z \partial_{\lambda_t})^{\alpha_t} \right\}.$$

This filtration induces a filtration F_\bullet on \mathcal{N} which satisfies $F_k \mathcal{R}'_{\mathbb{C}_z \times W^\circ} \cdot F_l \mathcal{N} = F_{k+l} \mathcal{N}$. Obviously, for any k , $F_k \mathcal{N}$ is $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_z \times W^\circ}$ -coherent, so that it suffices to show that the filtration F_\bullet becomes eventually stationary. Let $P = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} g_\alpha(z, \Delta) (z \partial_{\lambda_1})^{\alpha_1} \cdots (z \partial_{\lambda_t})^{\alpha_t}$ then its symbol is defined as

$$\sigma_k(P) := \sum_{|\alpha| = k} g_\alpha(z, \Delta) (\kappa_1)^{\alpha_1} \cdots (\kappa_t)^{\alpha_t} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_z \times W^\circ}[\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_t]$$

which is a function on $\mathbb{C}_z \times T^*W^\circ$ with fiber variables $\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_t$. Let \mathcal{I} be the radical ideal of the ideal generated by the symbols of $\widehat{\square}_{l \in \mathbb{L}}$ and $\widehat{E}_k - z \cdot \beta_k$ for $k = 1, \dots, t$. Then the vanishing locus of \mathcal{I} is the $\mathcal{R}'_{\mathbb{C}_z \times W^\circ}$ -characteristic variety of \mathcal{N} . Notice that \mathcal{N} is $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_z \times W^\circ}$ -coherent if and only if its $\mathcal{R}'_{\mathbb{C}_z \times W^\circ}$ -characteristic variety is a subset of $\mathbb{C}_z \times T_{W^\circ}^*W^\circ$. The proof of this fact is completely parallel to the \mathcal{D} -module case (see e.g. [Pha79, Proposition 10.3]).

To compute the $\mathcal{R}'_{\mathbb{C}_z \times W^\circ}$ -characteristic variety, notice that the symbols of $\widehat{\square}_{l \in \mathbb{L}}$ and $\widehat{E}_k - z \cdot \beta_k$ are independent of z . Thus it is enough to compute its restriction to $\{1\} \times W^\circ$. Now notice that the generators of the ideal corresponding to the GKZ-system \mathcal{M}_B^β have exactly the same symbols as the operators above. Thus it is enough to show that the restriction of the GKZ-system \mathcal{M}_B^β to W° is \mathcal{O}_{W° -coherent. But this follows from [Ado94, Lemma 3.2 and 3.3] and the definition of W° (see Definition 3.7 and Lemma 3.11). \square

Corollary 3.19. *The natural map ${}_0\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_B^{(\beta_0, \beta)} \rightarrow {}_0\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_B^{(\beta_0, \beta)}$ which is induced by the inclusion $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{C}_z \times W^*} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}_z \times W^*}$ is injective.*

Proof. Recall that $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}_z \times W^*} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} {}_0\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_B^{(\beta_0, \beta)} \simeq \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_B^{(\beta_0, \beta)}|_{\mathbb{C}_z \times W^*}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}_z \times W^*} \simeq R[z^\pm]$. Thus the kernel of ${}_0\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_B^{(\beta_0, \beta)} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_B^{(\beta_0, \beta)}$ has z -torsion. On the open set $\mathbb{C}_z \times W^\circ \subset \mathbb{C}_z \times W^*$ the module ${}_0\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_B^{(\beta_0, \beta)}|_{\mathbb{C}_z \times W^\circ} = \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_B^{(\beta_0, \beta)}|_{\mathbb{C}_z \times W^\circ}$ is $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_z \times W^\circ}$ -locally free. In particular it has no z -torsion, but this shows the claim. \square

The next step is to describe the image of ${}_0\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_B^{(0, \emptyset)}$ in \mathcal{G}^+ . In order to do this, consider once again the affine toric variety $X^{\text{aff}} = \text{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[\text{NB}])$, which contains the torus $g_B(S) \cong S$ as an open subset (see formula (32)). Denote by D the complement of S in X^{aff} . We will consider X^{aff} as a log scheme in the sense of logarithmic geometry (see, e.g., [Gro11]). More precisely, we endow X^{aff} with divisorial log structure induced by D and W^* with the trivial log structure. We consider the relative log de Rham complex $\Omega_{X^{\text{aff}} \times W^*/W^*}^\bullet(\log D)$ ([Gro11, section 3.3]). We have isomorphisms $\Omega_{X^{\text{aff}} \times W^*/W^*}^k(\log D) \cong \mathcal{O}_{X^{\text{aff}} \times W^*} \otimes \bigwedge^k \mathbb{Z}^r$.

Proposition 3.20. *Let NB be a saturated semigroup. There exists the following $R_{\mathbb{C}_z \times W^\circ}$ -linear isomorphism*

$$H^0 \left(\Omega_{X^{\text{aff}} \times W^\circ/W^\circ}^{\bullet+s}(\log D)[z], zd - d_y F \wedge \right) \cong {}_0\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_B^{(0, \emptyset)}$$

which maps ω_0 to 1.

Proof. We first define the $R_{\mathbb{C}_z \times W}$ -linear morphism

$$\psi : {}_0\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_B^{(0, \emptyset)} \longrightarrow H^0 \left(\Omega_{X^{\text{aff}} \times W^*/W^*}^{\bullet+s}(\log D)[z], zd - d_y F \wedge \right) \\ 1 \mapsto \omega_0$$

which is well-defined by 3.4. Let

$$\omega = \sum_{\alpha, \gamma, \delta} c_{\alpha \gamma \delta} \lambda_1^{\gamma_1} \cdots \lambda_t^{\gamma_t} z^\delta \underline{y}^{\alpha_1 \cdot b_1} \cdots \underline{y}^{\alpha_t \cdot b_t} \omega_0$$

be a general element in $\Omega_{X^{\text{aff}} \times W^* / W^*}^s(\log D)[z]$ with $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^t$, $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^t$ and $\delta \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$\sum_{\alpha, \gamma, \delta} c_{\alpha \gamma \delta} \lambda_1^{\gamma_1} \dots \lambda_t^{\gamma_t} z^{\delta} (z \partial_{\lambda_1})^{\alpha_1} \dots (z \partial_{\lambda_t})^{\alpha_t}$$

is a preimage, which shows that the map ψ is surjective. Notice that the restricted map

$$\circ \psi : \widehat{\circ M_B^{(0, \mathbb{Q})}} \longrightarrow H^0(\Omega_{X^{\text{aff}} \times W^{\circ} / W^{\circ}}^{\bullet+s}(\log D)[z], zd - d_y F \wedge)$$

is also surjective. Consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widehat{\circ M_B^{(0, \mathbb{Q})}} & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H^0(\Omega_{S \times W^{\circ} / W^{\circ}}^{\bullet+s}[z^{\pm}], zd - d_y F \wedge) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \widehat{\circ M_B^{(0, \mathbb{Q})}} & \xrightarrow{\circ \psi} & H^0(\Omega_{X^{\text{aff}} \times W^{\circ} / W^{\circ}}^{\bullet+s}(\log D)[z], zd - d_y F \wedge) \end{array}$$

where the upper horizontal map is an isomorphism by Proposition 3.3 and Lemma 3.4, the left vertical map is injective by Corollary 3.19 and the right vertical map is induced by the morphism

$$\Omega_{X^{\text{aff}} \times W^{\circ} / W^{\circ}}^s(\log D)[z] \longrightarrow \Omega_{X^{\text{aff}} \times W^{\circ} / W^{\circ}}^s(*D)[z^{\pm}] = \Omega_{S \times W^{\circ} / W^{\circ}}^s[z^{\pm}].$$

But this shows that $\circ \psi$ is also injective, which shows the claim. Notice that as a by-product, we also obtain that the morphism

$$H^0(\Omega_{X^{\text{aff}} \times W^{\circ} / W^{\circ}}^{\bullet+s}(\log D)[z], zd - d_y F \wedge) \longrightarrow H^0(\Omega_{S \times W^{\circ} / W^{\circ}}^{\bullet+s}[z^{\pm}], zd - d_y F \wedge)$$

is injective. □

4 Quantum cohomology of toric complete intersections

We recall in this section some more or less well known notations and results concerning so-called twisted Gromov-Witten invariants on the one hand, and basic constructions from toric geometry for smooth complete intersections in toric varieties on the other hand. The recent paper [MM11] can serve as a reference for both topics, however, we found it useful to collect here the material we need later in condensed form.

4.1 Twisted and reduced quantum \mathcal{D} -modules

A smooth complete intersection inside a smooth projective variety can be described as the zero locus of a generic section of a split vector bundle on that variety. Associated to such a bundle are the **twisted Gromov-Witten invariants**, which we describe first. They give rise to the twisted quantum product, and to the twisted quantum- \mathcal{D} -module. From this one can derive (basically by dividing by the kernel of the multiplication by the first Chern classes of the factors of the vector bundle) the **reduced quantum \mathcal{D} -module**, which corresponds, according to [MM11], to the ambient part of the quantum cohomology of the subvariety. We also discuss this reduced module here, and we define pairings (coming from the Poicaré pairing on the ambient variety) on both the twisted and the reduced quantum \mathcal{D} -module.

Let X be a smooth projective n -dimensional variety. Let $\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_c$ be line bundles on X which are globally generated and define $\mathcal{E} := \bigoplus_{i=1}^s \mathcal{L}_s$. We want to repeat the construction of the so-called twisted quantum \mathcal{D} -module $\text{QDM}(X, \mathcal{E})$ and the reduced quantum \mathcal{D} -module $\overline{\text{QDM}}(X, \mathcal{E})$. A nice exposition can be found in [MM11, Chapter 2.5].

For $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and $d \in H_2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ we denote by $\mathcal{M}_{0,l,d}$ the moduli space of stable maps of degree d from curves of genus 0 with l marked points to X . Denote by $e_i : \mathcal{M}_{0,l,d} \longrightarrow X$ the evaluation at the i marked point

for $i \in \{1, \dots, l\}$ and denote by $\pi : \mathcal{M}_{0,l+1,d} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{0,l,d}$ the map which forgets the last marked point. Let $\mathcal{E}_{0,l,d}$ be the locally free sheaf $R^0\pi_+e_{l+1}^*\mathcal{E}$ and let $\mathcal{E}_{0,l,d}(l)$ be the kernel of the surjective morphism $\mathcal{E}_{0,l,d} \longrightarrow e_{n+1}^*\mathcal{E}$ which evaluates a section at the l -marked point. For $i \in \{1, \dots, l\}$ denote by \mathcal{N}_i the line bundle on $\mathcal{M}_{0,l,d}$ whose fiber at a point $(C, x_1, \dots, x_l, f : C \rightarrow X)$ is the cotangent space $T^*C_{x_i}$. Put $\phi_i := c_1(\mathcal{N}_i) \in H^2(\mathcal{M}_{0,l,d})$.

Definition 4.1. Let $l \in \mathbb{N}$, $(m_1, \dots, m_l \in \mathbb{N}^l)$, $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_l \in H^{2*}(X)$ and $d \in H_2(X, \mathbb{Z})$. The l -th twisted Gromov-Witten invariant with descendants is denoted by

$$\langle \tau_{m_1}(\gamma_1), \dots, \tau_{m_{l-1}}(\gamma_{l-1}), \widetilde{\tau_{m_l}(\gamma_l)} \rangle_{0,l,d} := \int_{[\mathcal{M}_{0,l,d}]^{vir}} c_{top}(\mathcal{E}_{0,l,d}(l)) \prod_{i=1}^l \phi_i^{m_i} e_i^* \gamma_i,$$

where $[\mathcal{M}_{0,l,d}]^{vir}$ is the virtual fundamental class of $\mathcal{M}_{0,l,d}$.

Let (T_0, T_1, \dots, T_h) be a homogeneous basis of $H^{2*}(X)$ such that $T_0 = 1$ and T_1, \dots, T_r is a basis of $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ modulo torsion which lies in the Kähler cone. Let T be the torus $H^2(X, \mathbb{C})/2\pi i H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$. Then the basis T_1, \dots, T_r of $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ gives rise to coordinates $q = (q_1, \dots, q_r)$ on T .

Definition 4.2. Let $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_2 \in H^{2*}(X, \mathbb{C})$ and $q \in T$. The twisted small quantum product is defined by

$$\gamma_1 \bullet_q^{tw} \gamma_2 := \sum_{a=1}^h \sum_{d \in H_2(X, \mathbb{Z})} q^d \langle \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \tilde{T}_a \rangle_{0,3,d} T^a.$$

Let $\bar{T} = \mathbb{C}^r$ be a partial compactification of T with respect to the coordinates q_1, \dots, q_r . In the following we assume that there exists an open subset \bar{U} of \bar{T} such that the twisted quantum product is convergent. By [MM11, Proposition 2.14] the twisted quantum product is associative, commutative and has T_0 as a unit.

In analogy to the untwisted case one defines a trivial vector bundle F on $H^0(X, \mathbb{C}) \times U \times \mathbb{C}$ with fiber $H^{2*}(X, \mathbb{C})$ together with a flat meromorphic connection

$$\nabla_{\partial_{t_0}} := \partial_{t_0} + \frac{1}{z} T_0 \bullet_q^{tw}, \quad \nabla_{q_a \partial_{q_a}} := q_a \partial_{q_a} + \frac{1}{z} T_a \bullet_q^{tw}, \quad \nabla_{z \partial_z} := z \partial_z - \frac{1}{z} E \bullet_q^{tw} + \mu$$

where μ is the diagonal morphism defined by $\mu(T_A) := \frac{1}{2}(deg(T_A) - (\dim_{\mathbb{C}} X - rk\mathcal{E}))T_A$ and $E := t_0 T_o + c_1(\mathcal{T}_X \otimes \mathcal{E}^\vee)$ is the so-called Euler field.

Define a twisted pairing on $H^{2*}(X)$ by:

$$(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)^{tw} := \int_X \gamma_1 \cup \gamma_2 \cup c_{top}(\mathcal{E}) \quad \text{for } \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in H^{2*}(X).$$

This pairing is degenerate with kernel equal to $\ker m_{c_{top}}$, where $m_{c_{top}}$ is defined by

$$m_{c_{top}} : H^{2*}(X) \longrightarrow H^{2*}(X) \\ \alpha \mapsto c_{top}(\mathcal{E}) \cup \alpha$$

and satisfies the Frobenius relation:

$$(\gamma_1 \bullet_q^{tw} \gamma_2, \gamma_3)^{tw} = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \bullet_q^{tw} \gamma_3)^{tw} \quad \text{for } \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3 \in H^{2*}(X).$$

Denote by \mathcal{F} the sheaf of global sections of F and define an involution ι by

$$\iota : H^0(X) \times \mathbb{C}_z \times U \longrightarrow H^0(X) \times \mathbb{C}_z \times U \\ (t_0, z, q) \mapsto (t_0, -z, q).$$

We define a ∇ -flat sesquilinear pairing

$$S : \iota^*(\mathcal{F}) \times \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O} \\ (s_1, s_2) \mapsto S(s_1, s_2)(t_0, z, q) = (s_1(t_0, -z, q), s_2(t_0, z, q))^{tw}$$

We call $\overline{H^{2*}(X)} := H^{2*}(X)/\ker m_{c_{top}}$ the reduced cohomology ring of (X, \mathcal{E}) . For $\gamma \in H^{2*}(X)$ denote by $\overline{\gamma}$ its class in $\overline{H^{2*}(X)}$. The pairing $(\cdot, \cdot)^{tw}$ gives rise to a pairing $(\cdot, \cdot)^{red}$ on $\overline{H^{2*}(X)}$ by

$$(\overline{\gamma}_1, \overline{\gamma}_2)^{red} := (\gamma_1, \gamma_2)^{tw} \quad \text{for } \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in H^{2*}(X).$$

Because the kernel of $(\cdot, \cdot)^{tw}$ is $\ker m_{c_{top}}$ this pairing is well-defined and non-degenerate. Denote by \overline{F} the trivial bundle on $H^0(X) \times \mathbb{C}_z \times U$ with fiber $\overline{H^{2*}(X)}$. The pairing S induces a pairing \overline{S} on \overline{F} by

$$\overline{S}(\overline{s}_1, \overline{s}_2) := S(s_1, s_2),$$

which is non-degenerate.

Notice that $\overline{H^{2*}(X)}$ is naturally graded because $m_{c_{top}}$ is a graded morphism. Let $(\phi_0, \dots, \phi_{s'})$ be a homogeneous basis of $\overline{H^{2*}(X)}$ and denote by $(\phi^0, \dots, \phi^{s'})$ its dual basis w.r.t. $(\cdot, \cdot)^{red}$. The reduced Gromov-Witten invariants are defined by

$$\langle \overline{\gamma}_1, \dots, \overline{\gamma}_n \rangle_{0,l,d}^{red} := \langle \gamma_1, \dots, c_{top}(\mathcal{E}) \widetilde{\gamma_n} \rangle_{0,l,d}$$

and the reduced quantum product is

$$\overline{\gamma}_1 \bullet_q^{red} \overline{\gamma}_2 := \sum_{a=0}^{s'} \sum_{d \in H_2(X, \mathbb{Z})} q^d \langle \overline{\gamma}_1, \overline{\gamma}_2, \phi_a \rangle_{0,3,d}^{red} \phi^a.$$

where the restriction is compatible with the multiplication, i.e.

$$\overline{\gamma_1 \bullet_q^{tw} \gamma_2} = \overline{\gamma}_1 \bullet_q^{red} \overline{\gamma}_2.$$

The bundle \overline{F} carries the following connection:

$$\overline{\nabla}_{\partial_{t_0}} := \partial_{t_0} + \frac{1}{z} \overline{T}_0 \bullet_q^{red}, \quad \overline{\nabla}_{q_a \partial_{q_a}} + \frac{1}{z} \overline{T}_a \bullet_q^{red}, \quad \overline{\nabla}_{z \partial_z} := z \partial_z - \frac{1}{z} \overline{E} \bullet_q^{red} + \overline{\mu},$$

where $\overline{\mu}$ is the diagonal morphism defined by $\overline{\mu}(\phi_a) := \frac{1}{2}(\deg(\phi_a) - (\dim_{\mathbb{C}} X - rk \mathcal{E}))\phi_a$ and $\overline{E} := t_0 \overline{T}_0 + c_1(\overline{\mathcal{T}}_x \otimes \mathcal{E}^\vee)$. One can show that $\overline{\nabla}$ is flat and \overline{S} is $\overline{\nabla}$ -flat.

Definition 4.3. Consider the above situation of a smooth projective variety X and globally generated line bundles $\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_c$.

1. The triple (F, ∇, S) is called the twisted quantum \mathcal{D} -module $\text{QDM}(X, \mathcal{E})$.
2. The triple $(\overline{F}, \overline{\nabla}, \overline{S})$ is called the reduced quantum \mathcal{D} -module $\overline{\text{QDM}}(X, \mathcal{E})$.

4.2 Toric geometry of complete intersection subvarieties

In this subsection we consider the case where the variety X from above is toric. We recall some well-known results on the toric description of the total space of the bundle \mathcal{E} resp. its dual, on Picard groups, Kähler cones etc. All this is needed in section 6 below.

Let, as usual, N be a free abelian group of rank n for which we choose once and for all a basis which identifies it with \mathbb{Z}^n . Let Σ be a complete smooth fan in $N_{\mathbb{R}} := N \otimes \mathbb{R}$ and X_{Σ} the associated toric variety, which is compact and smooth. We recall the toric description of the Kähler resp. the nef cone of Σ . Let $\Sigma(1) = \{\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \underline{a}_1, \dots, \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \underline{a}_m\}$ be the rays of Σ , where $\underline{a}_i \in N \cong \mathbb{Z}^n$ are the primitive integral generators of the rays of Σ . Then we have an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}_A \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{\Sigma(1)} = \mathbb{Z}^m \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow 0 \quad (37)$$

where the morphism $\mathbb{Z}^m \rightarrow N$ is given by the matrix (henceforth called A) having the vectors $\underline{a}_1, \dots, \underline{a}_m$ as columns. \mathbb{L}_A is the module of relations between these vectors. We also consider the dual sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow (\mathbb{Z}^{\Sigma(1)})^\vee = \mathbb{Z}^m \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}_A^\vee \longrightarrow 0$$

where $M := N^\vee$ is the dual lattice. It is well known that as X_Σ is smooth and compact, we have

$$H^2(X_\Sigma, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \text{Pic}(X_\Sigma) \cong \mathbb{L}_A^\vee,$$

moreover, the group $(\mathbb{Z}^{\Sigma(1)})^\vee$ is the free abelian group generated by the torus invariant divisors on X_Σ . We denote these generators by D_1, \dots, D_m . Its images in \mathbb{L}_A^\vee (called \overline{D}_i) are thus the cohomology classes which are Poincaré dual to these divisors, and they generate the Picard group.

Any element in $(\mathbb{Z}^{\Sigma(1)})^\vee \otimes \mathbb{R}$ can be considered as a function on $N_\mathbb{R}$ (actually on the support of Σ , but this equals $N_\mathbb{R}$ by completeness), which is linear on each cone of Σ , these are called piecewise linear functions from now on and abbreviated by $\text{PL}(\Sigma)$. Inside $(\mathbb{Z}^{\Sigma(1)})^\vee \otimes \mathbb{R}$ we have the cone of convex functions, which are those functions $\psi \in \text{PL}(\Sigma)$ having the property that for any cone $\sigma \in \Sigma$ and for any $n \in N_\mathbb{R}$, we have $\psi(n) \leq \psi_\sigma(n)$, where ψ_σ is the extension to a linear function on all of $N_\mathbb{R}$ of the restriction $\psi|_\sigma$. The interior of the cone of convex functions are those which are strictly convex, that is, those such that the above inequality is strict. Notice that any linear function on N is piecewise linear and this inclusion is precisely given by $M_\mathbb{R} \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{Z}^{\Sigma(1)})^\vee \otimes \mathbb{R}$. We define the nef cone \mathcal{K}_Σ of Σ to be the image under the projection $(\mathbb{Z}^{\Sigma(1)})^\vee \otimes \mathbb{R} \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{L}_A^\vee \otimes \mathbb{R}$. Its interior is the Kähler cone \mathcal{K}_Σ° of Σ . We assume that \mathcal{K}_Σ° is non-empty, which amounts to say that X_Σ is projective. Let us recall the following description of the cone \mathcal{K}_Σ , the proof of this fact can be found, e.g., in [CK99, section 3.4.2].

Lemma 4.4. *For any cone $\sigma \in \Sigma$, put*

$$J_\sigma := \{i \in \{1, \dots, m\} \mid \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \overline{D}_i \notin \sigma\}$$

and define

$$\check{\sigma} := \sum_{i \in J_\sigma} \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \overline{D}_i \subset (\mathbb{L}_A^\vee)_\mathbb{R}.$$

We call $\check{\sigma}$ the anticone associated to σ . Then we have $\mathcal{K}_\Sigma = \bigcap_{\sigma \in \Sigma} \check{\sigma} \subset (\mathbb{L}_A^\vee)_\mathbb{R}$.

We proceed by considering the toric analogue of the situation from subsection 4.1. More precisely, let $\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_c$ be line bundles on X_Σ . We suppose that the following two properties hold

Assumption 4.5.

1. *For all $j = 1, \dots, c$, the line bundle \mathcal{L}_j is nef. Notice that according to [Ful93, Section 3.4], on a toric variety, \mathcal{L}_j is nef iff it is globally generated.*
2. *Let $-K_{X_\Sigma}$ be the anti-canonical divisor of X_Σ . Then we assume that $-K_{X_\Sigma} - \sum_{j=1}^c c_1(\mathcal{L}_j)$ is nef.*

Put $\mathcal{E} := \bigoplus_{j=1}^c \mathcal{L}_j$ and consider the dual bundle $\mathcal{E}^\vee := \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{X_\Sigma}}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{O}_{X_\Sigma})$. We have the following fact.

Definition-Lemma 4.6. *The total space $\mathbb{V}(\mathcal{E}^\vee) := \text{Spec}_{\mathcal{O}_{X_\Sigma}}(\text{Sym}_{\mathcal{O}_{X_\Sigma}}(\mathcal{E}))$ of \mathcal{E}^\vee , is a smooth toric variety defined by a fan Σ' which is described in the following way. First we define the set of rays $\Sigma'(1)$: For this, we choose divisors $D_{m+j} = \sum_{i=1}^m d_{ji} D_i$ with $d_{ji} \geq 0$ and $\mathcal{O}(D_{m+j}) = \mathcal{L}_j$. This choice is possible due to lemma 4.4 as all \mathcal{L}_j are nef. Write $\underline{d}_i := (d_{1i}, \dots, d_{ci}) \in \mathbb{Z}^c$ and put $\underline{a}'_i := (\underline{a}_i, \underline{d}_i) \in N' := N \times \mathbb{Z}^c \cong \mathbb{Z}^{n+c}$. Moreover, letting e_{m+1}, \dots, e_{m+c} be the last c standard generators of \mathbb{Z}^{m+c} , we put $\underline{a}'_{m+j} := e_{m+j}$. Then we let $\Sigma'(1) := \{\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \underline{a}'_1, \dots, \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \underline{a}'_{m+c}\}$ and we group, as before, the column vectors $\underline{a}'_1, \dots, \underline{a}'_{m+c}$ in a matrix $A' \in \text{Mat}((n+c) \times (m+c), \mathbb{Z})$. This means that*

$$A' = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} A & 0_{m,c} \\ \hline (d_{ji}) & \text{Id}_c \end{array} \right). \quad (38)$$

The fan Σ' is now defined as follows: For any set of vectors $\underline{b}_1, \dots, \underline{b}_r \in \mathbb{R}^k$ define $\langle \underline{b}_1, \dots, \underline{b}_r \rangle := \sum_{j=1}^r \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \underline{b}_j$. Then we put

$$\Sigma' := \{ \langle \underline{a}'_{i_1}, \dots, \underline{a}'_{i_k}, \underline{e}_{j_1}, \dots, \underline{e}_{j_t} \rangle \subset N'_\mathbb{R} \mid \langle \underline{a}_{i_1}, \dots, \underline{a}_{i_k} \rangle \in \Sigma(k), \{j_1, \dots, j_t\} \subset \{m+1, \dots, m+c\} \}.$$

In other words, considering the canonical projection $\pi : N'_\mathbb{R} \rightarrow N_\mathbb{R}$ which forgets the last c components, we have that $\sigma' \in \Sigma'$ iff $\pi(\sigma') \in \Sigma$.

In the following proposition, we list some rather obvious properties of the cohomology (resp. its toric description) of the space $\mathbb{V}(\mathcal{E}^\vee)$.

Proposition 4.7. *Let X_Σ , $\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_c$ and the sum \mathcal{E} resp. its dual \mathcal{E}^\vee be as above.*

1. *The projection map $p : \mathbb{V}(\mathcal{E}^\vee) \rightarrow X_\Sigma$ induces an isomorphism $p^* : H^*(X_\Sigma, \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^*(\mathbb{V}(\mathcal{E}^\vee), \mathbb{Z})$.*
2. *Consider the analogue of sequence (37) for the matrix A' , that is, the sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}_{A'} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{\Sigma'(1)} = \mathbb{Z}^{m+c} \longrightarrow N' \longrightarrow 0 \quad (39)$$

then we have an isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{L}_A &\longrightarrow \mathbb{L}_{A'} \\ \underline{l} = (l_1, \dots, l_m) &\longmapsto \underline{l}' := (l_1, \dots, l_m, l_{m+1}, \dots, l_{m+c}). \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

where $l_{m+j} := -\sum_{i=1}^m l_i d_{ji} = -\langle c_1(\mathcal{L}_j), \underline{l} \rangle$ for all $j = 1, \dots, c$, and where $\langle -, - \rangle$ is the non-degenerate intersection product between $\mathbb{L} \cong H_2(X_\Sigma, \mathbb{Z})$ and $\text{Pic}(X_\Sigma)$. Notice that in the definition of this isomorphism we consider \mathbb{L}_A resp. $\mathbb{L}_{A'}$ as embedded into \mathbb{Z}^m resp. \mathbb{Z}^{m+c} .

3. *The scalar extension $H^2(X_\Sigma, \mathbb{R}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^2(\mathbb{V}(\mathcal{E}^\vee), \mathbb{R})$ of the isomorphism p^* from above identifies the Kähler cones (resp. the nef cones) $\mathcal{K}_{X_\Sigma}^\circ$ and $\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{V}(\mathcal{E}^\vee)}^\circ$ (resp. \mathcal{K}_{X_Σ} and $\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{V}(\mathcal{E}^\vee)}$).*
4. *The manifold $\mathbb{V}(\mathcal{E}^\vee)$ is nef. Moreover, if $s \in \Gamma(X_\Sigma, \mathcal{E})$ is generic, and $Y := s^{-1}(0)$ is the zero locus of this section, then also Y is smooth and also nef.*

Proof. The first point follows from the fact that $\mathbb{V}(\mathcal{E}^\vee)$ and X_Σ are homotopy equivalent. The second point follows from a direct calculation. For the third point notice that the isomorphism p^* restricted to $H^2(X_\Sigma)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} p^* : H^2(X_\Sigma) &\simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^m \mathbb{Z} D_i / \left(\sum_{i=1}^m a_{ki} D_i \right)_{k=1, \dots, n} \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m+c} \mathbb{Z} D'_i / \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m+c} a'_{ki} D'_i \right)_{k=1, \dots, n+c} \simeq H^2(\mathbb{V}(\mathcal{E}^\vee)) \\ \sum_{i=1}^m d_i D_i &\mapsto \sum_{i=1}^m d_i D'_i \end{aligned}$$

We first prove $p^*(\mathcal{K}_{X_\Sigma}) \subset \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{V}(\mathcal{E}^\vee)}$. Let $D = \sum_{i=1}^m d_i D_i$ be a divisor in X_Σ with $\overline{D} \in \mathcal{K}_{X_\Sigma}$. Then ψ_D^Σ is given on a maximal cone $\sigma \in \Sigma(n)$ by $u_\sigma^\Sigma \in M \simeq \mathbb{Z}^n$ which is defined by $\langle u_\sigma^\Sigma, \underline{a}_i \rangle = -d_i$ for $\underline{a}_i \in \sigma$. The PL-function ψ_D^Σ is convex if and only if for all $\sigma \in \Sigma(n)$ the following inequalities hold $\langle u_\sigma^\Sigma, \underline{a}_i \rangle \geq -d_i$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. Now consider the corresponding PL-function $\psi_{p^*(D)}^{\Sigma'}$ for $p^*(D)$. Let $\sigma' \in \Sigma'(n+c)$ be a maximal cone in Σ' with $\sigma' = \langle \underline{a}'_{i_1}, \dots, \underline{a}'_{i_n}, \underline{e}_{m+1}, \dots, \underline{e}_{m+c} \rangle$, where $\{i_1, \dots, i_n\} \subset \{1, \dots, m\}$. Then $u_{\sigma'}^{\Sigma'} \in M' \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{n+c}$ is defined by

$$\langle u_{\sigma'}^{\Sigma'}, \underline{a}'_i \rangle = -d_i \quad \text{for } i \in \{i_1, \dots, i_n\}$$

and

$$\langle u_{\sigma'}^{\Sigma'}, \underline{e}_i \rangle = 0 \quad \text{for } i \in \{m+1, \dots, m+c\}. \quad (41)$$

But because of equation (41) we have

$$\langle u_{\sigma'}^{\Sigma'}, \underline{a}'_i \rangle = \langle u_\sigma^\Sigma, \underline{a}_i \rangle \geq -d_i \quad \text{for } i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$$

which shows that $\psi_{p^*(D)}^{\Sigma'}$ is convex, i.e. $p^*(\overline{D}) \in \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{V}(\mathcal{E}^\vee)}$. Now assume $\overline{D}' \in \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{V}(\mathcal{E}^\vee)}$. Because p^* is an isomorphism, we can assume that D' has a presentation $\sum_{i=1}^{m+c} d'_i D'_i$ in which $d'_{m+j} = 0$ for $j \in \{1, \dots, c\}$, i.e. $\overline{D}' = p^*(\overline{D})$ with $D = \sum_{i=1}^m d'_i D_i$. Let $\sigma \in \Sigma(n)$ and $\sigma' \in \Sigma(n+c)$ maximal cones with $\pi(\sigma') = \sigma$. Because of the presentation of D' we have $\langle u_{\sigma'}^{\Sigma'}, \underline{e}_i \rangle = 0$ for $i \in \{m+1, \dots, m+c\}$. Therefore we have

$$\langle u_\sigma^\Sigma, \underline{a}_i \rangle = \langle u_{\sigma'}^{\Sigma'}, \underline{a}'_i \rangle \geq -d_i,$$

which shows that ψ_D^Σ is convex, i.e. $\overline{D} \in \mathcal{K}_{X_\Sigma}$. The statement for the open parts follows from the fact that p^* is a homeomorphism.

For the fourth point recall that $V(\mathcal{E}^\vee)$ is nef, i.e. has a nef anticanonical divisor, if the class of the divisor

$$-K_{V(\mathcal{E}^\vee)} = \sum_{i=1}^m D'_i + \sum_{j=1}^c D'_{m+j}$$

lies in $\mathcal{K}_{V(\mathcal{E}^\vee)}$. Because of 3. it is enough to show that $(p^*)^{-1}(-K_{V(\mathcal{E}^\vee)})$ lies in \mathcal{K}_{X_Σ} . But we have

$$(p^*)^{-1}(-\overline{K}_{V(\mathcal{E}^\vee)}) = \sum_{i=1}^m \overline{D}_i - \sum_{j=1}^c \sum_{i=1}^m d_{ji} \overline{D}_i = -\overline{K}_{X_\Sigma} - \sum_{j=1}^c c_1(\mathcal{L}_j)$$

and the term on the right hand side lies in \mathcal{K}_{X_Σ} by Assumption 4.5 2. Let $s \in \Gamma(X_\Sigma, \mathcal{E})$ be a generic section, then one can show that $Y = s^{-1}(0)$ is smooth by repeatedly applying Bertini's theorem. The nefness of Y is obtained by repeatedly applying the adjunction formula and Assumption 4.5 2. \square

We finish this section by the following remark, which will not be explicitly used in the sequel, but which helps to understand the geometry of the torus embedding considered in the beginning of section 2. More precisely, let $S := \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}^{n+c}]$ and denote again by $g : S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{m+c}$ the map defined by $(y_1, \dots, y_{m+c}) \mapsto (1 : \underline{y}^{\underline{a}'_1} : \dots : \underline{y}^{\underline{a}'_{m+c}})$. In section 2 we considered the factorization $g : S \xrightarrow{j} X \xrightarrow{i} \mathbb{P}^{m+c}$ (with $X := \overline{\text{Im}}(g)$) where j is an open embedding and i is a closed embedding. However, we will also need to consider some other factorization, namely, we write $g = g^{(2)} \circ g^{(1)}$, where $g^{(1)} : S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m \times (\mathbb{C}^*)^c$ sends \underline{y} to $(\underline{y}^{\underline{a}'_i})_{i=1, \dots, m+c}$ and $g^{(2)}$ is the composition of the obvious open embedding $\mathbb{C}^m \times (\mathbb{C}^*)^c \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{m+c}$ with the obvious closed embedding $\mathbb{C}^{m+c} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{m+c}$. Now we have the following fact.

Proposition 4.8. *The morphism $g^{(1)}$ is a closed embedding. Hence, we have*

$$X \setminus \text{Im}(g) \subset \{\mu_0 \cdot \mu_{m+1} \cdot \dots \cdot \mu_{m+c} = 0\},$$

where we use $(\mu_0 : \mu_1 : \dots : \mu_{m+c})$ as homogenous coordinates on \mathbb{P}^{m+c} and μ_1, \dots, μ_m as coordinates on \mathbb{C}^{m+c} (resp. on $(\mathbb{C}^*)^{m+c}$, $\mathbb{C}^m \times (\mathbb{C}^*)^c$ etc).

Proof. It suffices obviously to show the first statement. We will use a method similar to the proof of [RS10, proposition 2.1]. First notice that the embedding $\alpha : S \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{C}^*)^{m+c}$ sending \underline{y} to $(\underline{y}^{\underline{a}'_i})_{i=1, \dots, m+c}$ is obviously closed, so that it suffices to show that $\overline{\text{im}}(g^{(1)}) \cap (\mathbb{C}^m \setminus (\mathbb{C}^*)^m) \times (\mathbb{C}^*)^c = \emptyset$. Recall that $\overline{\text{im}}(g^{(1)})$ is the closed subvariety of $\mathbb{C}^m \times (\mathbb{C}^*)^c$ defined by the binomial equations

$$\prod_{i: l'_i > 0} \mu_i^{l'_i} - \prod_{i: l'_i < 0} \mu_i^{-l'_i}$$

for any $l' \in \mathbb{L}_{A'}$ (these equations form the so-called toric ideal of A'). It was shown in loc.cit. that due to the compactness of X_Σ , there is some \underline{l} lying in $\mathbb{L}_A \cap \mathbb{Z}_{>0}^m$. Hence, the image \underline{l}' of \underline{l} under the isomorphism (40) lies in $\mathbb{Z}_{>0}^m \times \mathbb{Z}_{<0}^c$, as for the coefficient d_{ji} appearing in formula (40) are non-negative and moreover, for fixed j , not all d_{ji} can be zero. It follows that the toric ideal of A' contains an equation

$$\prod_{i=1}^m \mu_i^{l'_i} - \prod_{i=m+1}^{m+c} \mu_i^{-l'_i}, \quad (42)$$

where none of the exponents is zero. Now suppose that there is a point $x = (x_1, \dots, x_m, x_{m+1}, \dots, x_{m+c}) \in \overline{\text{Im}}(\alpha) \cap (\mathbb{C}^m \setminus (\mathbb{C}^*)^m) \times (\mathbb{C}^*)^c$, that is, we have $x_i = 0$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, then as equation (42) vanishes on x , we must have some $j \in \{1, \dots, c\}$ with $x_{m+j} = 0$, which contradicts the assumption that $x \in (\mathbb{C}^m \setminus (\mathbb{C}^*)^m) \times (\mathbb{C}^*)^c$. Hence the intersection $\overline{\text{Im}}(\alpha) \cap (\mathbb{C}^m \setminus (\mathbb{C}^*)^m) \times (\mathbb{C}^*)^c$ is indeed empty and the composition of α with the obvious open embedding $(\mathbb{C}^*)^{m+c} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^m \times (\mathbb{C}^*)^{m+c}$ is itself a closed embedding. \square

Remark: The GKZ-systems (see definition 2.8) associated to the matrix A' is not necessary regular, as the vectors $\underline{a}'_1, \dots, \underline{a}'_{m+c}$ do not necessarily lie on an affine hyperplane in \mathbb{Z}^{m+c} (see [Hot98] for this regularity criterion). The situation is similar to that considered in our earlier paper [RS10], and for the same reasons as in loc.cit., we will work with the extended matrix $A'' \in \text{Mat}((1+n+c) \times (1+m+c), \mathbb{Z})$ with columns $\underline{a}''_0, \underline{a}''_1, \dots, \underline{a}''_{m+c}$, where $\underline{a}''_i := (1, \underline{a}'_i)$ and $\underline{a}''_0 := (1, \underline{0}, \underline{0})$. In particular we have $\underline{a}''_i = (1, \underline{e}_i) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+c+1}$ for $i = m+1, \dots, m+c$ where \underline{e}_i is the i th standard vector in \mathbb{C}^{m+c} . We write $\mathbb{L}_{A''}$ for the module of relations between the columns of A'' , obviously we have an isomorphism $\mathbb{L}_{A'} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{A''}$ sending $\underline{l} = (l_1, \dots, l_{m+c})$ to $(-\sum_{i=1}^{m+c} l_i, \underline{l})$. As a matter of notation, we will often write the parameter of the GKZ-systems defined by the matrix A'' , which are vectors in \mathbb{C}^{1+m+c} by definition, as $(\alpha, \underline{\beta}, \underline{\gamma}) \in \mathbb{C}^{1+m+c}$, where $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, $\underline{\beta} \in \mathbb{C}^m$ and $\underline{\gamma} \in \mathbb{C}^c$.

5 Euler-Koszul homology and duality of GKZ-systems

In this section, we show a duality result for the GKZ-systems associated to the toric situation just described. We will explain how to calculate the holonomic dual of the system $\mathcal{M}_{A''}^\beta$ for some specific β , this is used to get a more precise description of the various \mathcal{D} -module considered in sections 2 and 3. The methods used here are close to our earlier paper [RS10], but due to non-compactness of the toric varieties involved, the proofs are more complicated.

Proposition 5.1. *Let X_Σ , $\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_c$ as in section 4. Let A' be the matrix from in definition 4.6 (i.e. with columns the primitive integral generator of the fan of $\mathbb{V}(\mathcal{E}^\vee)$) and A'' its extension considered at the end of section 4. Then we have*

1. *The semigroup $\mathbb{N}A''$ is normal and the map*

$$\Psi : \mathbb{N}A'' \longrightarrow (\mathbb{N}A'')^\circ$$

$$\underline{m} \longmapsto \underline{m} + \underline{a}''_0 + \underline{a}''_{m+1} + \dots + \underline{a}''_{m+c},$$

is an isomorphism of semigroups. Hence the semigroup ring $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{N}A'']$ is normal, Cohen-Macaulay and Gorenstein.

2. *The semigroup $\mathbb{N}A'$ is also normal and Cohen-Macaulay. We have that*

$$(\mathbb{N}A')^\circ = \underline{a}'_{m+1} + \dots + \underline{a}'_{m+c} + \mathbb{N}A'$$

that is, any $\beta \in \sum_{j=1}^c \underline{a}'_{m+j} + \mathbb{N}A' \subset \mathbb{Z}^{n+c}$ lies in $(\mathbb{N}A')^\circ$.

Proof. We will give a proof of the first statement, the second one is then an easy consequence and will be discussed at the end.

The first part of the argument is parallel to the proof of [RS10, Proposition 2.1 (2)]. Namely, for any finite set $\underline{b}_1, \dots, \underline{b}_r \in \mathbb{R}^k$, put $C(\{\underline{b}_1, \dots, \underline{b}_r\}) := \sum_{j=1}^r \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \underline{b}_j$ and let $\text{Conv}(\{\underline{b}_1, \dots, \underline{b}_r\}) := \{\sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_j \underline{b}_j \mid \lambda_j \geq 0, \sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_j = 1\}$ be the convex hull of $\underline{b}_1, \dots, \underline{b}_r$. As a piece of notation, given a matrix $B \in \text{M}(k \times r, \mathbb{R})$ with columns $\underline{b}_1, \dots, \underline{b}_r$, write $C(B) := C(\{\underline{b}_1, \dots, \underline{b}_r\})$ and $\text{Conv}(B) := \text{Conv}(\{\underline{b}_1, \dots, \underline{b}_r\})$. Recall that for any cone $\langle \underline{a}'_{i_1}, \dots, \underline{a}'_{i_{n+c}} \rangle \in \Sigma'(n+c)$, we use the convention that $\underline{a}'_{i_k} = (\underline{a}_{i_k}, \underline{d}_{i_k})$ for $k = 1, \dots, n$ and $i_{n+l} = m+l$ for $l = 1, \dots, c$, that is, $\underline{a}'_{i_k} = \underline{e}_{m+c}$. In particular, we have that $\underline{a}''_{i_k} = (1, \underline{a}_{i_k}, \underline{d}_{i_k}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+c+1}$ for $k = 1, \dots, n$ and $\underline{a}''_{i_{n+l}} = (1, \underline{e}_{m+l}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+c+1}$.

We have

$$C(A'') = C(\{\underline{a}''_0, \underline{a}''_1, \dots, \underline{a}''_{m+c}\}) \stackrel{!}{=} \bigcup_{\substack{\underline{x}' \in \text{Conv}(\underline{0}, \underline{a}'_1, \dots, \underline{a}'_{m+c}) \\ \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}}} \lambda \cdot (1, \underline{x}')$$

From the the condition that $-K_{X_\Sigma} - \sum_{j=1}^c c_1(\mathcal{L}_j)$ is nef we deduce that $-K_{\mathbb{V}(\mathcal{E}^\vee)}$ is nef, and then it follows as in [RS10, Proposition 2.1(2)] using the toric characterization of nefness from [Wi02, page 268] that

$$\text{Conv}(\underline{0}, \underline{a}'_1, \dots, \underline{a}'_{m+c}) = \bigcup_{\langle \underline{a}_{i_1}, \dots, \underline{a}_{i_n} \rangle \in \Sigma(n)} \text{Conv}(\underline{0}, \underline{a}'_{i_1}, \dots, \underline{a}'_{i_n}, \underline{e}'_{m+1}, \dots, \underline{e}'_{m+c}).$$

Namely, the nef condition is equivalent to the fact that the right hand side of this equation defines a convex set, and then it is necessarily equal to the left hand side. Hence

$$C(A'') = \bigcup_{\langle \underline{a}_{i_1}, \dots, \underline{a}_{i_n} \rangle \in \Sigma(n)} C(\underline{a}_0'', \underline{a}_{i_1}'', \dots, \underline{a}_{i_{n+c}}'') \quad (43)$$

This shows the normality of $\mathbb{N}A''$: Given any vector $\underline{x}'' = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_m, x_{m+1}, \dots, x_{m+c}) \in C(A'') \cap N''$, then there is a maximal cone $\langle \underline{a}_{i_1}, \dots, \underline{a}_{i_n} \rangle$ in $\Sigma(n)$ such that $\underline{x}'' \in C(\underline{a}_0'', \underline{a}_{i_1}'', \dots, \underline{a}_{i_{n+c}}'')$. Hence we have an equation

$$\underline{x}'' = \lambda_0 \underline{a}_0'' + \sum_{k=1}^{n+c} \lambda_k \underline{a}_{i_k}'' \quad (44)$$

with $\lambda_k \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ for $k = 0, 1, \dots, n+c$ and $\lambda_k = 0$ for all $k \in \{1, \dots, m\} \setminus \{i_1, \dots, i_n\}$. We know that $(\underline{a}_{i_1}', \dots, \underline{a}_{i_{n+c}}') = (\underline{a}_{i_1}', \dots, \underline{a}_{i_n}', \underline{e}_{m+1}, \dots, \underline{e}_{m+c})$ is a \mathbb{Z} -basis of N' as $\langle \underline{a}_{i_1}', \dots, \underline{a}_{i_{n+c}}' \rangle$ is a smooth $n+c$ -dimensional cone in Σ' . It follows that $\underline{a}_0'', \underline{a}_{i_1}'', \dots, \underline{a}_{i_{n+c}}''$ is a \mathbb{Z} -basis of N'' , hence $\lambda_k \in \mathbb{N}$ for $k = 0, 1, \dots, n+c$, and $\underline{x}'' \in \mathbb{N}A''$, which is the defining property of normality of $\mathbb{N}A''$. As usual it follows that $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{N}A'']$ is Cohen-Macaulay by Hochster's theorem ([Hoc72, Theorem 1]).

It remains to show the second statement concerning the characterization of the interior points of $\mathbb{N}A''$. We will actually show the following

Claim: Let $\underline{x}'' \in \mathbb{N}A''$. Consider the representation (44) of \underline{x}'' as an element of $C(\underline{a}_0'', \underline{a}_{i_1}'', \dots, \underline{a}_{i_{n+c}}'')$, that is, an equation $\underline{x}'' = \sum_{i=0}^{m+c} \lambda_i \underline{a}_i'' \in \mathbb{N}A''$, where $\lambda_k = 0$ if $k \in \{1, \dots, m\} \setminus \{i_1, \dots, i_n\}$. Then \underline{x}'' lies in $(\mathbb{N}A'')^\circ$ iff $\lambda_i > 0$ for $i \in \{0, m+1, \dots, m+c\} = \{0, i_{n+1}, \dots, i_{n+c}\}$.

Notice that a representation as in the claim is unique, if there are two maximal cones of $\Sigma(n)$ such that \underline{x}'' is contained in both of the cones generated by the corresponding column vectors of A'' , then it lies on a common boundary, and the two expressions (44) are equal.

The claim implies that the map Ψ from the proposition is well-defined and surjective, and it is obviously injective. In order to show the claim, notice that

$$(\mathbb{N}A'')^\circ = (C(A'') \setminus \partial C(A'')) \cap N'' = (C(A'') \cap N'') \setminus (\partial C(A'') \cap N'') = \mathbb{N}A'' \setminus (\partial C(A'') \cap N''),$$

so that we have to show that the points in $\partial C(A'') \cap N''$ are precisely those from $\mathbb{N}A''$ where in the above representation (44) there is at least one index $i \in \{0, m+1, \dots, m+c\}$ with $\lambda_i = 0$. From Formula (43) we deduce that

$$\partial C(A'') \subset \bigcup_{\langle \underline{a}_{i_1}, \dots, \underline{a}_{i_n} \rangle \in \Sigma_A(n)} \partial C(\underline{a}_0'', \underline{a}_{i_1}'', \dots, \underline{a}_{i_n}'', \underline{a}_{m+1}'', \dots, \underline{a}_{m+c}'').$$

More precisely, for each $\langle \underline{a}_{i_1}, \dots, \underline{a}_{i_n} \rangle \in \Sigma(n)$ the cone $C(\underline{a}_0'', \underline{a}_{i_1}'', \dots, \underline{a}_{i_n}'', \underline{a}_{m+1}'', \dots, \underline{a}_{m+c}'')$ has two types of facets: those that are facets of $\partial C(\underline{a}_0'', \underline{a}_{i_1}'', \dots, \underline{a}_{m+c}'')$ (call them “outer boundary”) and those which are not (“inner boundary”). The union (over all n -dimensional cones of Σ) of the outer boundaries is the set $\partial C(\underline{a}_0'', \underline{a}_{i_1}'', \dots, \underline{a}_{i_{n+c}}'')$ we are interested in. Moreover, for any subset $\{i_1, \dots, i_k\}$ of $\{1, \dots, m+c\}$ we have that

$$\partial C(\underline{a}_0'', \underline{a}_{i_1}'', \dots, \underline{a}_{i_k}'') = \bigcup_{\substack{\underline{x}' \in \partial \text{Conv}(\underline{0}, \underline{a}_{i_1}', \dots, \underline{a}_{i_k}') \\ \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}}} \lambda \cdot (1, \underline{x}'). \quad (45)$$

In particular,

$$\partial C(A'') = \bigcup_{\substack{\underline{x}' \in \partial \text{Conv}(\underline{0}, \underline{a}_1', \dots, \underline{a}_m', \underline{e}_{m+1}, \dots, \underline{e}_{m+c}) \\ \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}}} \lambda \cdot (1, \underline{x}')$$

and we have

$$\partial \text{Conv}(\underline{0}, \underline{a}_1', \dots, \underline{a}_m', \underline{e}_{m+1}, \dots, \underline{e}_{m+c}) \subset \bigcup_{\langle \underline{a}_{i_1}, \dots, \underline{a}_{i_n} \rangle \in \Sigma(n)} \partial \text{Conv}(\underline{0}, \underline{a}_{i_1}', \dots, \underline{a}_{i_n}', \underline{e}_{m+1}, \dots, \underline{e}_{m+c}).$$

with a description of $\partial \text{Conv}(\underline{0}, \underline{a}'_1, \dots, \underline{a}'_m, \underline{e}_{m+1}, \dots, \underline{e}_{m+c})$ similar to the one above as the union of the “outer boundaries” of all $\partial \text{Conv}(\underline{0}, \underline{a}'_1, \dots, \underline{a}'_n, \underline{e}_{m+1}, \dots, \underline{e}_{m+c})$. We deduce from the fact that Σ' is smooth (simplicial is actually sufficient) that we have the following decomposition

$$\begin{aligned} \partial \text{Conv}(\underline{0}, \underline{a}'_1, \dots, \underline{a}'_{n+c}) &= \partial \text{Conv}(\underline{0}, \underline{a}'_1, \dots, \underline{a}'_n, \underline{e}_{m+1}, \dots, \underline{e}_{m+c}) \\ &\stackrel{!}{=} \text{Conv}(\underline{0}, \underline{a}'_1, \dots, \underline{a}'_n, \underline{e}_{m+1}, \dots, \underline{e}_{m+c}) \cup \bigcup_{k=1}^n \text{Conv}(\underline{0}, \underline{a}'_1, \dots, \widehat{\underline{a}}'_{i_k}, \dots, \underline{a}'_n, \underline{e}_{m+1}, \dots, \underline{e}_{m+c}) \\ &\quad \cup \bigcup_{l=1}^c \text{Conv}(\underline{0}, \underline{a}'_1, \dots, \underline{a}'_n, \underline{e}_{m+1}, \dots, \widehat{\underline{e}}_{m+l}, \dots, \underline{e}_{m+c}) \end{aligned}$$

The facet $\text{Conv}(\underline{0}, \underline{a}'_1, \dots, \widehat{\underline{a}}'_{i_k}, \dots, \underline{a}'_n, \underline{e}_{m+1}, \dots, \underline{e}_{m+c})$ is an inner boundary, i.e., it is not contained in $\partial \text{Conv}(\underline{0}, \underline{a}'_1, \dots, \underline{a}'_n, \underline{e}_{m+1}, \dots, \underline{e}_{m+c})$. This is a consequence of the completeness of Σ , namely, there is some other cone $\langle \underline{a}_{j_1}, \dots, \underline{a}_{j_n} \rangle \in \Sigma$ having $\langle \underline{a}_{i_1}, \dots, \widehat{\underline{a}}_{i_k}, \dots, \underline{a}_{i_n} \rangle$ as a facet, and then similarly the cone $\text{Conv}(\underline{0}, \underline{a}'_1, \dots, \widehat{\underline{a}}'_{i_k}, \dots, \underline{a}'_n, \underline{e}_{m+1}, \dots, \underline{e}_{m+c})$ is a facet of both $\text{Conv}(\underline{0}, \underline{a}'_1, \dots, \underline{a}'_n, \underline{e}_{m+1}, \dots, \underline{e}_{m+c})$ and $\text{Conv}(\underline{0}, \underline{a}'_1, \dots, \underline{a}'_{j_1}, \dots, \underline{a}'_{j_n}, \underline{e}_{m+1}, \dots, \underline{e}_{m+c})$, hence it is not contained in $\partial \text{Conv}(\underline{0}, \underline{a}'_1, \dots, \underline{a}'_{m+c})$. However, both $\text{Conv}(\underline{0}, \underline{a}'_1, \dots, \underline{a}'_n, \underline{e}_{m+1}, \dots, \underline{e}_{m+c})$ and $\text{Conv}(\underline{0}, \underline{a}'_1, \dots, \underline{a}'_n, \underline{e}_{m+1}, \dots, \widehat{\underline{e}}_{m+l}, \dots, \underline{e}_{m+c})$ (for $l = 1, \dots, c$) are facets of $\text{Conv}(\underline{0}, \underline{a}'_1, \dots, \underline{a}'_{m+c})$, i.e., they are outer boundaries. We conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial \text{Conv}(\underline{0}, \underline{a}'_1, \dots, \underline{a}'_{m+c}) &= \bigcup_{\langle \underline{a}_{i_1}, \dots, \underline{a}_{i_n} \rangle \in \Sigma(n)} \left[\text{Conv}(\underline{a}'_1, \dots, \underline{a}'_n, \underline{e}_{m+1}, \dots, \underline{e}_{m+c}) \cup \right. \\ &\quad \left. \bigcup_{l=1}^c \text{Conv}(\underline{0}, \underline{a}'_1, \dots, \underline{a}'_n, \underline{e}_{m+1}, \dots, \widehat{\underline{e}}_{m+l}, \dots, \underline{e}_{m+c}) \right] \end{aligned}$$

Using Equation (45), this gives

$$\begin{aligned} \partial C(A'') &= \partial C(\underline{a}''_0, \underline{a}''_1, \dots, \underline{a}''_{m+c}) \stackrel{!}{=} \bigcup_{\langle \underline{a}_{i_1}, \dots, \underline{a}_{i_n} \rangle \in \Sigma(n)} \left[C(\underline{a}''_1, \dots, \underline{a}''_n, \underline{a}''_{m+1}, \dots, \underline{a}''_{m+c}) \cup \right. \\ &\quad \left. \bigcup_{l=1}^c C(\underline{a}''_0, \underline{a}''_1, \dots, \underline{a}''_n, \underline{a}''_{m+1}, \dots, \widehat{\underline{a}}''_{m+l}, \dots, \underline{a}''_{m+c}) \right] \end{aligned}$$

Now we see that for any point $\partial C(A'') \cap N$, either the coefficient λ_0 or the coefficient λ_{m+l} for some $l \in \{1, \dots, c\}$ in the representation (44) is necessarily zero. This shows the claim, and proves that the map Ψ is an isomorphism. Finally, it follows from standard arguments about semigroup rings (see, e.g. [BH93, corollary 6.3.8]) that $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{N}A'']$ is Gorenstein.

We now show the second statement. First we deduce from the above arguments that we also have $C(A') \cap N' = \mathbb{N}A'$, that is, that $\mathbb{N}A'$ is normal. Namely, consider the projection $p : N''_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow N'_{\mathbb{R}}$ forgetting the first component. Applying p to both sides of equation (43) it follows that

$$C(A') = \bigcup_{\langle \underline{a}_{i_1}, \dots, \underline{a}_{i_n} \rangle \in \Sigma(n)} C(\underline{a}'_{i_1}, \dots, \underline{a}'_{i_{n+c}}).$$

On the other hand, the smoothness of the fan Σ' gives that $C(\underline{a}'_{i_1}, \dots, \underline{a}'_{i_{n+c}}) \cap N' = \sum_{j=1}^{n+c} \mathbb{N}\underline{a}'_{i_j}$ for any $\underline{a}_{i_1}, \dots, \underline{a}_{i_{n+c}}$ such that $\langle \underline{a}_{i_1}, \dots, \underline{a}_{i_n} \rangle \in \Sigma(n)$. In conclusion, we obtain that $C(A') \cap N' = \mathbb{N}A'$, that is, $\mathbb{N}A'$ is normal. In particular, we have $(\mathbb{N}A')^\circ = (C(A') \setminus \partial C(A')) \cap N'$. From the definition of the matrix A'' we see that $p(C(A'')) = C(A')$. We claim that

$$p(C(A'') \setminus \partial C(A'')) = C(A') \setminus \partial C(A'). \quad (46)$$

This can be seen as follows: For any point $\underline{x}'' \in C(A'') \setminus \partial C(A'')$, there exists a small ε such that

$$B_\varepsilon^{|\cdot|}(\underline{x}'') \subset C(A''), \quad (47)$$

where $|\cdot|^{\max}$ is the maximum norm on $N''_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{R}^{m+s+1}$ and $B_{\varepsilon}^{|\cdot|^{\max}}(\underline{x}'')$ is the open $n+c+1$ -dimensional hypercube with “radius” ε . Put $\underline{x}' := p(\underline{x}'')$, then we have $p(B_{\varepsilon}^{|\cdot|^{\max}}(\underline{x}'')) = B_{\varepsilon}^{|\cdot|^{\max}}(\underline{x}')$ and equation (47) shows that this $n+c$ -dimensional hypercube is contained in $C(A')$. Hence $\underline{x}' \in C(A') \setminus \partial C(A')$ so that $p(C(A'') \setminus \partial C(A'')) \subset C(A') \setminus \partial C(A')$. For the inverse inclusion, take $\underline{x}' \in C(A') \setminus \partial C(A')$, then again there is an $n+c$ -dimensional hypercube $B_{\varepsilon}^{|\cdot|^{\max}}(\underline{x}')$ contained in $C(A')$. By construction, the cone

$$C\left(\left\{(1, \underline{x}') \in \{1\} \times N'_{\mathbb{R}} \mid \underline{x}' \in B_{\varepsilon}^{|\cdot|^{\max}}(\underline{x}')\right\}\right)$$

over this hypercube is contained in $C(A'')$. Then there must be some $\varepsilon' \leq \varepsilon$ such that

$$B_{\varepsilon'}^{|\cdot|^{\max}}(\underline{x}'') \subset C\left(\left\{(1, \underline{x}') \in \{1\} \times N'_{\mathbb{R}} \mid \underline{x}' \in B_{\varepsilon}^{|\cdot|^{\max}}(\underline{x}')\right\}\right) \subset C(A''),$$

where $\underline{x}'' := (1, \underline{x}')$, so that finally $\underline{x}'' \in C(A'') \setminus \partial C(A'')$ and the equality (46) holds.

On the other hand, the definition of the matrix A'' shows that

$$p(C(A'') \setminus \partial C(A'')) = p(\underline{a}''_0 + \underline{a}''_{m+1} + \dots + \underline{a}''_{m+c} + C(A'')) = \underline{a}'_{m+1} + \dots + \underline{a}'_{m+c} + C(A')$$

so that we obtain

$$(\mathbb{N}A')^{\circ} = \sum_{j=1}^c \underline{a}'_j + \mathbb{N}A'$$

as required. □

As a consequence, we obtain the following duality result for those GKZ systems that we will be interested in the sequel.

Theorem 5.2. *Let A'' as above, that is, suppose that its columns $(\underline{a}''_0, \underline{a}''_1, \dots, \underline{a}''_{m+c})$ are of the form $\underline{a}''_i = (1, \underline{a}'_i)$ where $\underline{a}''_0 = (1, \underline{0})$ and where \underline{a}'_i ($i = 1, \dots, m+c$) are the integral primitive generator of the fan of $\mathbb{V}(\mathcal{E}^{\vee})$. For $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^{1+m+c}$, consider the GKZ-system $\mathcal{M}_{A''}^{\beta}$ as in definition 2.7.*

1. *There is an isomorphism*

$$\mathbb{D}(\mathcal{M}_{A''}^{(0, \underline{0}, \underline{0})}) \cong \mathcal{M}_{A''}^{-(c+1, \underline{0}, \underline{1})} = \mathcal{M}_{A''}^{-\underline{a}''_0 - \sum_{i=1}^c \underline{a}''_{m+i}},$$

and we call the map

$$\phi : \mathcal{M}_{A''}^{-(c+1, \underline{0}, \underline{1})} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{A''}^{(0, \underline{0}, \underline{0})}$$

induced by right multiplication by $\partial_0 \cdot \partial_{m+1} \cdot \dots \cdot \partial_{m+c}$ the duality morphism. For any $\beta_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$, we obtain an induced morphism

$$\widehat{\phi} : \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{A'}^{(\beta_0, \underline{0}, -1)} \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{A'}^{(\beta_0+c, \underline{0}, \underline{0})}$$

given by right multiplication with $\partial_{m+1} \cdot \dots \cdot \partial_{m+c}$ (see 3.1 for the definition of the modules $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}^{\beta}$). The case $\beta_0 = -2c$ will be particularly important, and we will also call the map

$$\widehat{\phi} : \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{A'}^{-(2c, \underline{0}, \underline{1})} \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{A'}^{(-c, \underline{0}, \underline{0})}$$

the duality morphism.

2. *Consider the natural good filtration $F_{\bullet} \mathcal{M}_{A''}^{\beta}$ induced by the order filtration on \mathcal{D} . Let $\mathbb{D}(\mathcal{M}_{A''}^{\beta}, F_{\bullet})$ be the dual filtered module in the sense of [Sai88, section 2.4], i.e., $\mathbb{D}(\mathcal{M}_{A''}^{\beta}, F_{\bullet}) = (\mathbb{D} \mathcal{M}_{A''}^{\beta}, F_{\bullet}^{\mathbb{D}})$ where $F_{\bullet}^{\mathbb{D}}(\mathbb{D} \mathcal{M}_{A''}^{\beta})$ is the filtration dual to $F_{\bullet} \mathcal{M}_{A''}^{\beta}$. Then we have*

$$\mathbb{D}\left(\mathcal{M}_{A''}^{-\underline{a}''_0 - \sum_{i=1}^c \underline{a}''_{m+i}}, F_{\bullet}\right) \cong (\mathcal{M}_{A''}^{(0, \underline{0}, \underline{0})}, F_{\bullet+n-(m+c+1)}).$$

- Proof.* 1. The proof is parallel to [Wal07, Proposition 4.1] or [RS10, Theorem 2.15 and Proposition 2.18], so that we only sketch it here, referring to loc.cit. for details. First one has to define the so-called Euler-Koszul complex resp. co-complex (see [MMW05]). Its global sections complex $K_\bullet(T, E - \beta)$ is a complex of free $D_V \otimes_R T$ -modules where $R = \mathbb{C}[\partial_0, \partial_1, \dots, \partial_{m+c}]$ and where T is a so-called *toric* R -module. A particular case is $T = \mathbb{C}[\text{NA}']$. Notice that the terms of $K_\bullet(T, E - \beta)$ are not free over D_V . However, for $T = \mathbb{C}[\text{NA}']$, this complex is a resolution by left D_V -modules of the modules $M_{A''}^\beta$. The differentials of $K_\bullet(T, E - \beta)$ are defined by the operators E and Z_k entering in the definition of $M_{A''}^\beta$. From a resolution of the toric ring $\mathbb{C}[\text{NA}']$ by free $\mathbb{C}[\partial_0, \partial_1, \dots, \partial_{m+c}]$ -modules one can also construct a resolution of $M_{A''}^{(0, \underline{0}, \underline{0})}$ by free D_V -modules. Applying $\text{Hom}_{D_V}(-, D_V)$ yields basically the same complex, but where the parameters in the differentials are changed, and where the toric module is now the canonical module of the ring $\mathbb{C}[\text{NA}']$. Now from the Gorenstein property of $\mathbb{C}[\text{NA}']$ with the precise description of the interior ideal from proposition 5.1 we obtain the desired result by taking the cohomology of the two complexes, that is, we can show the identification of the holonomic dual of $\mathcal{M}_{A''}^{(0, \underline{0}, \underline{0})}$ with $\mathcal{M}_{A''}^{-(c+1, \underline{0}, \underline{1})}$.
2. The proof is literally the same as in [RS10, proposition 2.19, 2.] with the indices shifted appropriately. □

As a consequence, we can make more specific statements on the parameter vectors of the various GKZ-systems occurring in the results of the previous sections.

Corollary 5.3. *Consider the situation in section 2 where the matrix B is A' , i.e., given by the primitive integral generators of the fan of $\mathbb{V}(\mathcal{E}^\vee)$, in particular, both $\text{NB} = \text{NA}'$ and $\text{NB} = \text{NA}''$ are normal semigroups. Then*

1. *The statements of Theorem 2.10, Theorem 2.14 and of Proposition 2.15 hold true for $\tilde{\beta} = (0, \underline{0}, \underline{0}), \tilde{\beta}' = (c+1, \underline{0}, \underline{1}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{1+n+c}$.*
2. *The statements of Proposition 3.3 and of Theorem 3.6 hold true for $\beta = (\underline{0}, \underline{0}), \beta' = (\underline{0}, \underline{1}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+c}$ and for any $\beta_0, \beta'_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

6 Mirror correspondences

In this section we combine the results obtained so far with the GKZ-type description of the ambient resp. reduced quantum \mathcal{D} -modules from [MM11] for the toric case. We obtain a mirror statement which identifies them with \mathcal{D} -modules constructed from our Landau-Ginzburg models. The results from section 2 will be applied for the case where the matrix B (used for the construction of GKZ-systems and of families of Laurent polynomials) is given by A' (see definition 4.6) the columns of which are the primitive integral generators of the fan of the total bundle $\mathbb{V}(\mathcal{E}^\vee)$. Recall also (remark at the end of section 4) that we denote by A'' the matrix constructed from A' by adding 1 as an extra component to all columns and by adding $(1, \underline{0})$ as extra column. Hence, if B is equal to A' , then the matrix \tilde{B} used in section 2 is exactly the matrix A'' . Recall also that the parameter of the GKZ-systems of the matrix A'' is written as $(\alpha, \underline{\gamma}, \underline{\delta}) \in \mathbb{C}^{1+m+c}$ with $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, $\underline{\gamma} \in \mathbb{C}^m$ and $\underline{\delta} \in \mathbb{C}^c$.

The starting point for our discussion here is the duality morphism from the last section. We need to consider a slight variation of it, which is defined only outside the boundary $\lambda_i = 0$ and only on the tame locus defined in subsection 3.2. Recall that $V = \mathbb{C}_{\lambda_0} \times W$, and that the bad parameter locus of the family $\varphi_{A'}$ was called $W^{bad} \subset W$. The complement of this locus outside the boundary $\lambda_i = 0$ was called W° , that is, $W^\circ := W^* \setminus W^{bad}$.

Definition-Lemma 6.1. *For any $\beta = (\beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m, \beta_{m+1}, \dots, \beta_{n+c}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{1+n+c}$, consider the GKZ-system $\mathcal{M}_{A''}^\beta$, as well as Fourier-Laplace transformed version $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{A''}^\beta$, as introduced in definition 3.1. Let j denote the inclusion $W^* \hookrightarrow W$. Then we put ${}^*\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{A'}^\beta := (\text{id}_{\mathbb{C}_z} \times j)^+ \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{A''}^\beta$, resp. ${}_0\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{A'}^\beta := (\text{id}_{\mathbb{C}_z} \times j)^* \left({}_0\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{A''}^\beta \right)$.*

Moreover, we define the modules ${}^*\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_{A'}^\beta$ as the cyclic quotients of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}_z \times W^*}[z^{-1}]$ by the left ideal generated by $\widetilde{\square}_{\underline{l}}$ for $\underline{l} \in \mathbb{L}_{A'}$ and $\widehat{E}_k - z\beta_k$ for $k = 0, \dots, n+c$, where

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{\square}_{\underline{l}} &:= \prod_{i \in \{1, \dots, m\}: l_i > 0} \lambda_i^{l_i} \partial_i^{l_i} \prod_{i \in \{m+1, \dots, m+c\}: l_i > 0} \prod_{\nu=1}^{l_i} (\lambda_i \partial_i - \nu) \\ &\quad - z^{\overline{l}} \cdot \prod_{i \in \{1, \dots, m\}: l_i < 0} \lambda_i^{-l_i} \partial_i^{-l_i} \prod_{i \in \{m+1, \dots, m+c\}: l_i < 0} \prod_{\nu=1}^{-l_i} (\lambda_i \partial_i - \nu) \\ \widehat{E}_0 &:= z^2 \partial_z + \sum_{i=1}^{m+c} \lambda_i \cdot z \partial_i \\ \widehat{E}_k &:= \sum_{i=1}^{m+c} a'_{ik} \lambda_i \cdot z \partial_i \quad \forall k = 1, \dots, n+c\end{aligned}$$

Consider the morphism

$$\Psi : {}^*\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_{A'}^{(0, \underline{0}, \underline{0})} \longrightarrow {}^*\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{A'}^{-(2c, \underline{0}, \underline{1})} \quad (48)$$

given by right multiplication with $z^c \cdot \prod_{i=m+1}^{m+c} \lambda_i$. As it is obviously invertible, the two modules ${}^*\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_{A'}^{(0, \underline{0}, \underline{0})}$ and ${}^*\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{A'}^{-(2c, \underline{0}, \underline{1})}$ are isomorphic. We define $\widetilde{\phi}$ to be the composition $\widetilde{\phi} := \widehat{\phi} \circ \Psi$, where $\widehat{\phi}$ is the duality morphism from Theorem 5.2. In concrete terms, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{\phi} : {}^*\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_{A'}^{(0, \underline{0}, \underline{0})} &\longrightarrow {}^*\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{A'}^{(-c, \underline{0}, \underline{0})} \\ m &\longmapsto \phi(m \cdot z^c \cdot \lambda_{m+1} \cdot \dots \cdot \lambda_{m+c}) = m \cdot (z \lambda_{m+1} \partial_{m+1}) \cdot \dots \cdot (z \lambda_{m+c} \partial_{m+c}).\end{aligned}$$

In view of corollary 5.3, 2., we obtain

$$\mathrm{im}(\widetilde{\phi}) \cong j^+ \widehat{\mathcal{M}}^{IC}(X^\circ, \mathcal{L}) \quad (49)$$

For any $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^{1+n+c}$, consider the $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{C}_z \times W^*}$ -subalgebra of

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}_z \times W^*}[z^{-1}] / \left((\widetilde{\square}_{\underline{l}})_{\underline{l} \in \mathbb{L}_{A'}}, (\widehat{E}_k - z\beta_k)_{k=0, \dots, n+c} \right)$$

generated by the element [1] and denote its restriction to $\mathbb{C}_z \times W^\circ$ by ${}^0\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_{A'}^\beta$. Similarly to corollary 3.19, we have

$${}^0\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_{A'}^\beta = \left[\frac{\mathbb{C}[z, \lambda_1^\pm, \dots, \lambda_{m+c}^\pm] \langle z^2 \partial_z, z \partial_{\lambda_1}, \dots, z \partial_{\lambda_{m+c}} \rangle}{\left((\widetilde{\square}_{\underline{l}})_{\underline{l} \in \mathbb{L}_{A'}}, (\widehat{E}_k - z \cdot \beta_k)_{k=0, \dots, n+c} \right)} \right]_{|\mathbb{C}_z \times W^\circ}$$

As in [RS10, section 3], we proceed by studying the restriction of the modules ${}^*\mathcal{M}_{A''}^\beta$, ${}^*\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{A''}^\beta$ and ${}^*\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_{A''}^\beta$ to the Kähler moduli space of $\mathbb{V}(\mathcal{E}^\vee)$ as described in the second part of section 4 (see Lemma 4.4 and Proposition 4.7). The following construction has some overlap with the considerations in subsection 2.4 on which we comment later.

We apply $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(-, \mathbb{C}^*)$ to the exact sequence (39) to obtain the following exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow (\mathbb{C}^*)^{n+c} \longrightarrow (\mathbb{C}^*)^{m+c} \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}_{A'}^\vee \otimes \mathbb{C}^* \longrightarrow 1 \quad (50)$$

We will identify the middle torus with $\mathrm{Spec} \mathbb{C}[\lambda_1^\pm, \dots, \lambda_{m+c}^\pm]$, this space was called W^* in section 2. Chose a basis (p_1, \dots, p_r) of $\mathbb{L}_{A'}^\vee$ with the following properties

1. $p_a \in \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{V}(\mathcal{E}^\vee)} = \mathcal{K}_{X_{\Sigma_2}}$ for all $a = 1, \dots, r$,
2. $\sum_{i=1}^{m+c} \overline{D}_i \in \sum_{a=1}^r \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} p_a$.

Using the basis $(p_a)_{a=1, \dots, r}$, we identify $\mathbb{L}_{A'}^\vee \otimes \mathbb{C}^*$ with $(\mathbb{C}^*)^r$ and obtain coordinates q_1, \dots, q_r on this space. We will write \mathcal{KM} for this space and call it complexified Kähler moduli space. Notice that the choice of coordinates is considered as part of the data of \mathcal{KM} , that is, we really have $\mathcal{KM} = (\mathbb{C}^*)^r$ and not only $\mathcal{KM} = \mathbb{L}_{A'}^\vee \otimes \mathbb{C}^*$.

Consider the embedding $\mathbb{L}_{A'} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{m+c}$, which is given by a matrix $L \in \text{Mat}((m+c) \times (n+c), \mathbb{Z})$ with respect to the basis p_a^\vee of $\mathbb{L}_{A'}$ and the natural basis of \mathbb{Z}^{m+c} . Chose a section $\mathbb{Z}^{m+c} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{A'}$ of this inclusion, which is given by a matrix $M \in \text{Mat}(r \times (m+c), \mathbb{Z})$. This defines a section on the dual lattices, i.e. a section $\mathbb{L}_{A'}^\vee \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{m+c}$ of the projection $\mathbb{Z}^{m+c} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{A'}^\vee$, and a closed embedding $g' : \mathcal{KM} = (\mathbb{C}^*)^r \hookrightarrow W^*$. We will need to consider a slight twist of this morphism, which is given by composing it with the involution of $\iota : W^* \rightarrow W^*$ given by $\iota(\lambda_i) := (-1)^{\varepsilon(i)}(i)$ with $\varepsilon(i) = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$ and $\varepsilon(i) = 1$ for $i = m+1, \dots, m+c$. Denote by $g : \mathcal{KM} = (\mathbb{C}^*)^r \hookrightarrow W^*$ the composition $g' \circ \iota$. By abuse of notation, we will also write g for the the composition with the canonical open embedding $W^* \hookrightarrow W = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{m+c}]$.

We will further restrict our objects of study to that part of the complexified Kähler moduli space that map to the set of good parameters in $W = \mathbb{C}^{m+c}$ as discussed in subsection 3.2. Hence we put $\mathcal{KM}^\circ := g^{-1}(W^\circ) \subset \mathcal{KM}$, and (again by abuse of notation) we write g for both of the composed embeddings $\mathcal{KM}^\circ \hookrightarrow W^\circ$ and $\mathcal{KM}^\circ \hookrightarrow W^\circ \hookrightarrow W$.

We can now define the main object of study of this paper. We are going to use the constructions of the subsections 2.4 and 3.2, in particular, the diagrams (17), (21) and (33). We consider the composed morphism $\alpha \circ \beta : \mathcal{Z}_X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_{\lambda_0} \times \mathcal{KM}$ as defined by diagram (21). Let $\mathcal{Z}_X^\circ := (\alpha \circ \beta)^{-1}(\mathbb{C}_{\lambda_0} \times \mathcal{KM}^\circ) \subset \mathcal{Z}_X$ be the subspace which is parameterized by the good parameter locus \mathcal{KM}° inside \mathcal{KM} .

For future reference, let us collect the relevant morphisms once again in a diagram, in which the spaces \mathcal{Z}° , $\mathcal{Z}_{X^{\text{aff}}}^\circ$, \mathcal{Z}_X° and \mathcal{G}° are defined by the requirement that all squares are cartesian. For simplicity of the notation, we denote by α, β, γ_1 and γ_2 also the corresponding restrictions above $\mathbb{C}_{\lambda_0} \times \mathcal{KM}^\circ$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
S & \xleftarrow{\pi_1^S} & \Gamma \cong S \times W & \xleftarrow{\quad} & \Gamma^* \cong S \times W^* & \xleftarrow{\quad} & \mathcal{G} \cong S \times \mathcal{KM} & \xleftarrow{\quad} & \mathcal{G}^\circ \cong S \times \mathcal{KM}^\circ \\
\downarrow j_2 & & \downarrow \theta_2 & & \downarrow \zeta_2 & & \downarrow \gamma_2 & & \downarrow \gamma_2 \\
X^{\text{aff}} & \xleftarrow{\quad} & Z_{X^{\text{aff}}} \cong X^{\text{aff}} \times V & \xleftarrow{\quad} & Z_{X^{\text{aff}}}^* \cong X^{\text{aff}} \times V^* & \xleftarrow{\quad} & Z_{X^{\text{aff}}} \cong X^{\text{aff}} \times \mathcal{KM} & \xleftarrow{\quad} & Z_{X^{\text{aff}}}^\circ \cong X^{\text{aff}} \times \mathcal{KM}^\circ \\
\downarrow j_1 & & \downarrow \theta_1 & & \downarrow \zeta_1 & & \downarrow \gamma_1 & & \downarrow \gamma_1 \\
X & \xleftarrow{\quad} & Z_X & \xleftarrow{\quad} & Z_X^* & \xleftarrow{\quad} & Z_X & \xleftarrow{\quad} & Z_X^\circ \\
\downarrow i & & \downarrow \eta & & \downarrow \epsilon & & \downarrow \beta & & \downarrow \beta \\
\mathbb{P}(V') & \xleftarrow{\pi_1^Z} & Z & \xleftarrow{\quad} & Z^* & \xleftarrow{\quad} & Z & \xleftarrow{\quad} & Z^\circ \\
& & \downarrow \pi_2^Z & & \downarrow \delta & & \downarrow \alpha & & \downarrow \alpha \\
& & V & \xleftarrow{\quad} & V^* & \xleftarrow{\quad} & \mathbb{C}_{\lambda_0} \times \mathcal{KM} & \xleftarrow{\quad} & \mathbb{C}_{\lambda_0} \times \mathcal{KM}^\circ
\end{array}$$

$\text{id}_{\mathbb{C}_{\lambda_0}} \times g$

(51)

Definition 6.2. The *non-affine Landau-Ginzburg model* associated to $(X_\Sigma, \mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_c)$ is the morphism

$$\Pi : \mathcal{Z}_X^\circ \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}_{\lambda_0} \times \mathcal{KM}^\circ$$

which is by definition the restriction of the universal family of hyperplane sections of X , i.e. of the morphism $\pi_2^Z \circ \eta : Z_X \rightarrow V$ to the parameter space \mathcal{KM}° . We recall once again that X is defined as the closure of the embedding $g : S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(V')$ sending (y_1, \dots, y_{n+c}) to $(1 : \underline{y}^{\underline{a}'_1} : \dots : \underline{y}^{\underline{a}'_{m+c}})$.

We also consider the restrictions $\pi_1 = \alpha \circ \beta \circ \gamma_1 : \mathcal{Z}_{X^{\text{aff}}}^\circ \cong X^{\text{aff}} \times \mathcal{KM}^\circ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_{\lambda_0} \times \mathcal{KM}^\circ$ resp. $\pi_2 = \alpha \circ \beta \circ \gamma_1 \circ \gamma_2 : \mathcal{G}^\circ \cong S \times \mathcal{KM}^\circ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_{\lambda_0} \times \mathcal{KM}^\circ$. These are nothing but the family of Laurent polynomials

$$(\underline{y}, \underline{q}) \mapsto \left(- \sum_{i=1}^m \underline{q}^{\underline{m}_i} \cdot \underline{y}^{\underline{a}'_i} + \sum_{i=m+1}^{m+c} \underline{q}^{\underline{m}_i} \cdot \underline{y}^{\underline{a}'_i}, \underline{q} \right),$$

where the monomial $\underline{y}^{\underline{a}'_i}$ is seen as an element of $\mathcal{O}_{X^{\text{aff}}}$ in the first case and as an element of \mathcal{O}_S in the second case. Here \underline{m}_i is the i 'th column of the matrix $M \in \text{Mat}(r \times (m+c), \mathbb{Z})$ from above. Notice that the first component of π has been splitted in two sums with opposite signs of each summand due to the

action of the involution ι entering in the definition of the morphism $g : \mathcal{KM}^\circ \hookrightarrow W$. Both morphisms π_1 and π_2 are called the **affine Landau-Ginzburg model** of $(X_\Sigma, \mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_c)$.

As we will see later, the affine Landau-Ginzburg model is related to the twisted quantum \mathcal{D} -module $\text{QDM}(X_\Sigma, \mathcal{E})$ whereas the reduced quantum \mathcal{D} -module $\overline{\text{QDM}}(X_\Sigma, \mathcal{E})$ can be obtained from the non-affine Landau-Ginzburg model $\Pi : Z_X^\circ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_{\lambda_0} \times \mathcal{KM}^\circ$. The next results are parallel to [RS10, corollary 3.3. and corollary 3.4]. They show that the calculation of the Gauß-Manin system resp. the intersection cohomology \mathcal{D} -module from section 2 can be used to describe the corresponding objects for the morphism Π .

Lemma 6.3. *Consider, as in subsection 3.1, the localized partial Fourier-Laplace transformation, this time with base \mathcal{KM}° , that is, let $j_\tau : \mathbb{C}_\tau^* \times \mathcal{KM}^\circ \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}_\tau \times \mathcal{KM}^\circ$, $j_z : \mathbb{C}_\tau^* \times \mathcal{KM}^\circ \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}_z \times \mathcal{KM}^\circ$ and put $\text{FL}_{\mathcal{KM}^\circ}^{\text{loc}} := j_{z,+} j_\tau^+ \text{FL}_{\mathcal{KM}^\circ}$. Then we have*

1.

$$\text{FL}_{\mathcal{KM}^\circ}^{\text{loc}} (\mathcal{H}^0 \pi_{2+} \mathcal{O}_{S \times \mathcal{KM}^\circ}) \cong (\text{id}_{\mathbb{C}_z} \times g)^+ \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{A''}^{(-c, \underline{0}, \underline{0})}.$$

Similarly, the isomorphism

$$\text{FL}_{\mathcal{KM}^\circ}^{\text{loc}} (\mathcal{H}^0 \pi_{2+} \mathcal{O}_{S \times \mathcal{KM}^\circ}) \cong (\text{id}_{\mathbb{C}_z} \times g)^+ {}^* \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_{A''}^{(0, \underline{0}, \underline{0})}.$$

holds. Notice that the embedding $(\text{id}_{\mathbb{C}_z} \times g)$ is obviously non-characteristic for both of the modules $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{A''}^{(-c, \underline{0}, \underline{0})}$ and ${}^* \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_{A''}^{(0, \underline{0}, \underline{0})}$ as their singular locus is contained in $(\{0, \infty\} \times \mathcal{KM}^\circ) \cup (\mathbb{P}_z^1 \times (\mathbb{C}^r \setminus \mathcal{KM}^\circ))$. Hence, the complexes $(\text{id}_{\mathbb{C}_z} \times g)^+ \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{A''}^{(-c, \underline{0}, \underline{0})}$ and $(\text{id}_{\mathbb{C}_z} \times g)^+ {}^* \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_{A''}^{(0, \underline{0}, \underline{0})}$ have cohomology only in degree zero.

2. Let $\widetilde{F} : X^{\text{aff}} \times \mathcal{KM}^\circ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_{\lambda_0}$ be the first component of the morphism π_1 , then we have the following isomorphism of $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{C}_z \times \mathcal{KM}^\circ}$ -modules

$$H^{n+c}(\Omega_{X^{\text{aff}} \times \mathcal{KM}^\circ / \mathcal{KM}^\circ}^\bullet(\log D)[z], zd - d\widetilde{F}) \cong (\text{id}_{\mathbb{C}_z} \times g)^* \left({}^0 \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{A'}^{(-c, \underline{0}, \underline{0})} \right). \quad (52)$$

3. Denote by $(-)'$ the duality functor in the category of locally free $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_z \times \mathcal{KM}^\circ}$ -modules with meromorphic connection with poles along $\{0\} \times \mathcal{KM}^\circ$, that is, if (\mathcal{F}, ∇) is an object of this category, we put $(\mathcal{F}, \nabla)' := (\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_z \times \mathcal{KM}^\circ}}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_z \times \mathcal{KM}^\circ}), \nabla')$, where ∇' is the dual connection. Notice that the $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{C}_z \times \mathcal{KM}^\circ}$ -modules from isomorphism (52) are actually objects of this category. Notice also that the duality functor in the category of $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{C}_z \times \mathcal{KM}^\circ}$ -modules (i.e., the functor $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{C}_z \times \mathcal{KM}^\circ}}^{r+1}(-, \mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{C}_z \times \mathcal{KM}^\circ})$) restricts to $(-)'$ on the subcategory described above (this follows from [DS03, Lemma A.12]).

There is an isomorphism of $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{C}_z \times \mathcal{KM}^\circ}$ -modules

$$\left(H^{n+c}(\Omega_{X^{\text{aff}} \times \mathcal{KM}^\circ / \mathcal{KM}^\circ}^\bullet(\log D)[z], zd - d\widetilde{F}) \right)' \xrightarrow{\cong} (\text{id}_{\mathbb{C}_z} \times g)^* \left({}^0 \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_{A'}^{(0, \underline{0}, \underline{0})} \right)$$

Proof. 1. The proof of the first isomorphism is the same as [RS10, corollary 3.3]: Consider the cartesian diagram (which is part of the diagram (51))

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{G}^\circ \cong S \times \mathcal{KM}^\circ & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \Gamma \cong S \times W \\ \downarrow \pi_2 & & \downarrow \varphi \\ \mathbb{C}_{\lambda_0} \times \mathcal{KM}^\circ & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{\mathbb{C}_{\lambda_0}} \times g} & V = \mathbb{C}_{\lambda_0} \times W \end{array} \quad (53)$$

then the base change property (Theorem 2.1) and the commutation of FL^{loc} with inverse images shows that

$$\text{FL}_{\mathcal{KM}^\circ}^{\text{loc}} (\mathcal{H}^0 \pi_{2+} \mathcal{O}_{S \times \mathcal{KM}^\circ}) = (\text{id}_{\mathbb{C}_z} \times g)^+ \mathcal{G}^+,$$

where \mathcal{G}^+ is the $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}_{\lambda_0} \times W}$ -module introduced in subsection 3.1, and then one concludes using Proposition 3.3.

Concerning the second isomorphism, notice that the base change formula for diagram (53) also holds for proper direct images, at least in the case where the horizontal morphisms are non-characteristic embeddings for the modules in question. This is the case by Proposition 2.20, 2., so that we obtain

$$\mathrm{FL}_{\mathcal{KM}^\circ}^{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathcal{H}^0 \pi_{2\downarrow} \mathcal{O}_{S \times \mathcal{KM}^\circ}) \cong (\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{C}_z} \times g)^+ \mathrm{FL}_W^{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathcal{H}^0 \varphi_{B,\downarrow} \mathcal{O}_{S \times W}) = (\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{C}_z} \times g)^+ \mathcal{G}^\dagger,$$

The second part of corollary 5.3 (and the second part of Proposition 3.3) tells us that $\mathcal{G}^\dagger \cong \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{A'}^{-(c,0,1)}$. However, the isomorphism $\Psi : \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_{A'}^{(0,0,0)} \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{A'}^{-(2c,0,1)}$ given by right multiplication with $z^c \cdot \lambda_{m+1} \cdot \dots \cdot \lambda_{m+c}$ (see equation (48)) shows that

$$(\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{C}_z} \times g)^+ \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{A'}^{-(2c,0,1)} \cong (\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{C}_z} \times g)^+ \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_{A'}^{(0,0,0)}$$

so that finally we arrive at the desired equality

$$\mathrm{FL}_{\mathcal{KM}^\circ}^{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathcal{H}^0 \pi_{2\downarrow} \mathcal{O}_{S \times \mathcal{KM}^\circ}) \cong (\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{C}_z} \times g)^+ \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_{A'}^{(0,0,0)}$$

2. In order to show the statement, notice that by definition $H^{n+c}(\Omega_{X^{\mathrm{aff}} \times W^*/W^*}^\bullet(\log D)[z], zd - d\tilde{F})$ is the cokernel of

$$\Omega_{X^{\mathrm{aff}} \times W^*/W^*}^{n+c-1}(\log D)[z] \xrightarrow{zd - d\tilde{F}} \Omega_{X^{\mathrm{aff}} \times W^*/W^*}^{n+c}(\log D)[z],$$

that is, the cokernel of an $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_z \times W^*}$ -linear morphism between free (though not coherent) $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_z \times W^*}$ -modules. Hence tensoring with $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_z \times \mathcal{KM}^\circ}$ yields the exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{X^{\mathrm{aff}} \times \mathcal{KM}^\circ / \mathcal{KM}^\circ}^{n+c-1}(\log D)[z] &\xrightarrow{zd - d\tilde{F}} \Omega_{X^{\mathrm{aff}} \times \mathcal{KM}^\circ / \mathcal{KM}^\circ}^{n+c}(\log D)[z] \longrightarrow \\ \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_z \times \mathcal{KM}^\circ} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_z \times W^*}} H^{n+c}(\Omega_{X^{\mathrm{aff}} \times W^*/W^*}^\bullet(\log D)[z], zd - d\tilde{F}) &\longrightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

from which we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} H^{n+c}(\Omega_{X^{\mathrm{aff}} \times \mathcal{KM}^\circ / \mathcal{KM}^\circ}^\bullet(\log D)[z], zd - d\tilde{F}) &= \\ \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_z \times \mathcal{KM}^\circ} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_z \times W^*}} H^{n+c}(\Omega_{X^{\mathrm{aff}} \times W^*/W^*}^\bullet(\log D)[z], zd - d\tilde{F}). \end{aligned}$$

We know by Proposition 3.20 that

$$H^{n+c}(\Omega_{X^{\mathrm{aff}} \times W^*/W^*}^\bullet(\log D)[z], zd - d\tilde{F}) \cong {}_0\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{A'}^{(-c,0,0)}.$$

Hence the desired statement, i.e., Formula (52) follows.

3. Consider the filtration on $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}_z \times W}$ which extends the order filtration on \mathcal{D}_W and for which z has degree -1 and ∂_z has degree 2 . Denote by G_\bullet the induced filtrations on the modules $\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_{A'}^{(0,0,0)}$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{A'}^{(-c,0,0)}$, in particular, we have $G_0(\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_{A'}^{(0,0,0)}) = {}_0\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_{A'}^{(0,0,0)}$ and $G_0(\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{A'}^{(-c,0,0)}) = {}_0\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{A'}^{(-c,0,0)}$.

Similar to the proof of [RS10, Proposition 2.18, 3.], we consider the saturation of the filtration F_\bullet on $\mathcal{M}_{A''}^\beta$ by $\partial_{\lambda_0}^{-1}$. More precisely, we first notice that Lemma 3.2 can be reformulated by saying that for any $\beta' = (\beta'_0, \beta'_1, \dots, \beta'_{n+c}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{1+n+c}$, we have

$$\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{A'}^\beta = \mathrm{FL}_W(\mathcal{M}_{A''}^{\beta'}[\partial_{\lambda_0}^{-1}]).$$

where $\beta_0 = \beta'_0 + 1$ and $\beta_i = \beta'_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n+c$ and where we write $\mathcal{M}_{A''}^{\beta'}[\partial_{\lambda_0}^{-1}] := \mathcal{D}_V[\partial_{\lambda_0}^{-1}] \otimes_{\mathcal{D}_V} \mathcal{M}_{A''}^{\beta'}$. Now we consider the natural localization morphism $\widehat{\mathrm{loc}} : \mathcal{M}_{A''}^{\beta'} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{A''}^{\beta'}[\partial_{\lambda_0}^{-1}]$ and we put

$$F_k \mathcal{M}_{A''}^{\beta'}[\partial_{\lambda_0}^{-1}] := \sum_{j \geq 0} \partial_{\lambda_0}^{-j} F_{k+j} \mathcal{M}_{A''}^{\beta'}$$

As we have

$$F_k \mathcal{M}_{A''}^{\beta'}[\partial_{\lambda_0}^{-1}] = \mathrm{im}(\partial_{\lambda_0}^k \mathbb{C}[\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{m+c}] \langle \partial_{\lambda_0}^{-1}, \partial_{\lambda_0}^{-1} \partial_{\lambda_1}, \dots, \partial_{\lambda_0}^{-1} \partial_{\lambda_{m+c}} \rangle) \text{ in } \mathcal{M}_{A''}^{\beta'}[\partial_{\lambda_0}^{-1}]$$

the filtration induced by $F_k \mathcal{M}_{A''}^{\beta'}[\partial_{\lambda_0}^{-1}]$ on $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{A'}^{\beta}$ is precisely $G_k \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{A'}^{\beta}$. From [Sai89, formula 2.7.5] we conclude that

$$(G_l \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{A'}^{-(c,0,1)})' = \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_{C_z \times W}} \left(G_l \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{A'}^{-(c,0,1)}, \mathcal{O}_{C_z \times W} \right) \stackrel{!}{=} G_{l+(m+c+2)}^{\mathbb{D}} \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{A'}^{(1,0,0)},$$

where $G_{l+(m+c+2)}^{\mathbb{D}} \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{A'}^{(1,0,0)}$ is the filtration induced by the saturation of the filtration on $\mathcal{M}_{A''}^{(0,0,0)}$ dual to the order filtration F_{\bullet} on $\mathcal{M}_{A''}^{-(c+1,0,1)}$. Theorem 5.2, 2. implies that

$$G_{\bullet}^{\mathbb{D}} * \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{A'}^{(1,0,0)} = G_{\bullet+n-(m+c+1)} * \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{A'}^{(1,0,0)},$$

so that

$$(G_l \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{A'}^{-(c,0,1)})' = G_{l+n+1} * \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{A'}^{(1,0,0)},$$

Multiplying this equation by z^c , we obtain

$$(G_k \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{A'}^{-(2c,0,1)})' = G_{k+n+1} \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{A'}^{-(c-1,0,0)},$$

where $k = -c$. Moreover, we evidently have

$$\cdot z : G_{k+n+1} \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{A'}^{-(c-1,0,0)} \xrightarrow{\cong} G_{k+n} \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{A'}^{-(c,0,0)},$$

so that

$$(G_{\bullet} \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{A'}^{-(2c,0,1)})' \cong G_{\bullet+n} \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{A'}^{-(c,0,0)},$$

The isomorphism Ψ from Formula (48) satisfies

$$\Psi : G_k * \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_{A'}^{(0,0,0)} \xrightarrow{\cong} G_{k-c} * \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{A'}^{-(2c,0,1)}$$

In conclusion (i.e., putting $k = 0$), we obtain

$$\left({}_0 \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_{A'}^{(0,0,0)} \right)' \cong z^{c-n} \cdot {}_0 * \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{A'}^{-(c,0,0)} \subset * \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{A'}^{-(c,0,0)}$$

and then the statement follows from part 2. from above, as multiplication with z is invertible and as the inverse image under $\text{id}_{C_z} \times g$ commutes with the functor $(-)'$. \square

Now we can construct a $\mathcal{D}_{C_z \times \mathcal{KM}^\circ}$ -module from the non-affine Landau-Ginzburg model $\Pi : \mathcal{Z}_X^\circ \rightarrow C_{\lambda_0} \times \mathcal{KM}^\circ$ that will ultimately give us the reduced quantum \mathcal{D} -module. It will consist in a minimal extension of the local system of intersection cohomologies of the fibres of Π .

Proposition 6.4. 1. Consider the local system \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{O}_V -free module \mathcal{C}_0 from Proposition 2.12. Then

$$\mathcal{H}^0 \alpha_+ \mathcal{M}^{IC}(\mathcal{Z}_X^\circ) \cong (\text{id}_{C_{\lambda_0}} \times g)^+ (\mathcal{M}^{IC}(X^\circ, \mathcal{L}) \oplus \mathcal{C}_0).$$

Recall that $\mathcal{M}^{IC}(\mathcal{Z}_X^\circ)$ is the intersection cohomology \mathcal{D} -module of \mathcal{Z}_X° , that is, the unique regular singular $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{Z}^\circ}$ -module supported on \mathcal{Z}_X° which corresponds to the intermediate extension of the constant sheaf on the smooth part of \mathcal{Z}_X° .

Using the Riemann-Hilbert correspondence, the above isomorphism can be expressed in terms of the morphism Π as

$${}^p \mathcal{H}^0 R\Pi_* IC(\mathcal{Z}_X^\circ) \cong (\text{id}_{C_{\lambda_0}} \times g)^* ((j_{X^\circ})_! IC(X^\circ, \mathcal{L}) \oplus \mathcal{C}_0^\nabla)$$

where $j_{X^\circ} : X_0 \hookrightarrow V$ is the canonical closed embedding, where \mathcal{C}_0^∇ is the local system corresponding to \mathcal{C}_0 and where ${}^p \mathcal{H}$ denotes the perverse cohomology functor.

2. We have isomorphisms of $\mathcal{D}_{C_z \times \mathcal{KM}^\circ}$ -modules

$$\text{FL}_{\mathcal{KM}^\circ}^{loc} (\mathcal{H}^0 \alpha_+ \mathcal{M}^{IC}(\mathcal{Z}_X^\circ)) \cong (\text{id}_{C_z} \times g)^+ \widehat{\mathcal{M}}^{IC}(X^\circ, \mathcal{L}) \cong (\text{id}_{C_z} \times g)^+ \text{im}(\tilde{\phi}),$$

where $\tilde{\phi} : {}_0 \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_{A'}^{(0,0,0)} \rightarrow * \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{A'}^{-(c,0,0)}$ is the morphism introduced in definition 6.1.

Proof. 1. As the inclusion $\mathcal{Z}^\circ \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ is open and hence non-characteristic for any $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{Z}}$ -module, the assertion to be shown follows from Proposition 2.20 (more precisely, from Formula (22)) and Proposition 2.12.

2. The first isomorphism is a direct consequence of the last point, using again the commutation of FL^{loc} with the inverse image and the fact that the \mathcal{O}_V -free module \mathcal{C}_0 is killed by FL_W^{loc} . The second isomorphism follows from equation (49). \square

For future use, we give names to the \mathcal{D} -modules on the Kähler moduli space considered above. We also define natural lattices inside them.

Definition 6.5. *Define the following $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}_z \times \mathcal{KM}^\circ}$ -modules:*

$$\mathcal{QM}_{A'} := (\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{C}_z} \times g)^+ \left({}^*\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_{A'}^{(0,0,0)} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{QM}_{A'}^{IC} := (\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{C}_z} \times g)^+ \left(\mathrm{im}(\tilde{\phi}) \right).$$

Define moreover

$${}_0\mathcal{QM}_{A'} := (\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{C}_z} \times g)^* \left({}^0\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_{A'}^{(0,0,0)} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad {}_0\mathcal{QM}_{A'}^{IC} := (\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{C}_z} \times g)^* \left(\tilde{\phi} \left({}^0\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_{A'}^{(0,0,0)} \right) \right),$$

where here the functor $(\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{C}_z} \times g)^*$ is the inverse image in the category of holomorphic vector bundles on $\mathbb{C}_z \times \mathcal{KM}^\circ$ with meromorphic connection (meromorphic along $\{0\} \times \mathcal{KM}^\circ$).

We proceed by comparing the objects $\mathcal{QM}_{A'}$ and $\mathcal{QM}_{A'}^{IC}$ just introduced to the twisted and the reduced quantum \mathcal{D} -module from section 4. For the readers convenience, let us recall one of the main results from [MM11] which concerns the toric description of the twisted resp. reduced quantum \mathcal{D} -modules.

Theorem 6.6 ([MM11, Theorem 5.10]). *Let X_Σ as before, and suppose that $\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_c$ are ample line bundles on X_Σ such that $-K_{X_\Sigma} - \sum_{j=1}^c \mathcal{L}_j$ is nef. Put again $\mathcal{E} := \bigoplus_{j=1}^c \mathcal{L}_j$. For any $\mathcal{L} \in \mathrm{Pic}(X_\Sigma)$ with $c_1(\mathcal{L}) = \sum_{a=1}^r d_a p_a \in \mathbb{L}_A^\vee$, we put $\widehat{\mathcal{L}} = \sum_{a=1}^r z d_a q_a \partial_{q_a} \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{C}_z \times \mathcal{KM}^\circ}$. Define the left ideal \mathcal{J} of $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{C}_z \times \mathcal{KM}^\circ}$ by*

$$\mathcal{J} := \mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{C}_z \times \mathcal{KM}^\circ}(\mathcal{Q}_{\underline{L}})_{\underline{L} \in \mathbb{L}_{A'}} + \mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{C}_z \times \mathcal{KM}^\circ} \cdot \widehat{E}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q}_{\underline{L}} &:= \prod_{i \in \{1, \dots, m\}: l_i > 0} \prod_{\nu=0}^{l_i-1} (\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_i - \nu z) \prod_{j \in \{1, \dots, c\}: l_{m+j} > 0} \prod_{\nu=1}^{l_{m+j}} (\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_j + \nu z) \\ &- \underline{q}^{\underline{L}} \cdot \prod_{i \in \{1, \dots, m\}: l_i < 0} \prod_{\nu=0}^{-l_i-1} (\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_i - \nu z) \prod_{j \in \{1, \dots, c\}: l_{m+j} < 0} \prod_{\nu=1}^{-l_{m+j}} (\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_j + \nu z) \\ \widehat{E} &:= z^2 \partial_z - \widehat{K}_{\mathbb{V}(\mathcal{E}^\vee)} \end{aligned}$$

Here we write $\mathcal{D}_i \in \mathrm{Pic}(X_\Sigma)$ for a line bundle associated to the torus invariant divisor D_i , where $i = 1, \dots, m$. Notice that the ideal \mathcal{J} (or its global section module) was called \mathbb{G} in [MM11, definition 4.3]. Moreover, let \mathcal{Quot} be the left ideal in $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{C}_z \times \mathcal{KM}^\circ}$ generated by the following set

$$\{P \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{C}_z \times \mathcal{KM}^\circ} \mid \widehat{c}_{\mathrm{top}} \cdot P \in \mathcal{J}\},$$

where $\widehat{c}_{\mathrm{top}} := \prod_{j=1}^c \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_j$. We define $\mathcal{P} := \mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{C}_z \times \mathcal{KM}^\circ} / \mathcal{J}$ and $\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{res}} := \mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{C}_z \times \mathcal{KM}^\circ} / \mathcal{Quot}$. Notice that we have $\mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{Quot}$, hence there is a canonical surjection $\mathcal{P} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{res}}$.

Put $B_\epsilon^* := \{q \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^r \mid 0 < |q| < \epsilon\} \subset \mathcal{KM}^\circ$, then there is some ϵ such that the following diagram is commutative and the horizontal morphisms are isomorphisms of $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{C}_z \times B_\epsilon^*}$ -modules.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{P}_{|\mathbb{C}_z \times B_\epsilon^*} & \xrightarrow{\cong} & (\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{C}_z} \times \mathrm{Mir})^* (\mathrm{QDM}(X_\Sigma, \mathcal{E})_{|\mathbb{C}_z \times B_\epsilon^*}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{P}_{|\mathbb{C}_z \times B_\epsilon^*}^{\mathrm{res}} & \xrightarrow{\cong} & (\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{C}_z} \times \mathrm{Mir})^* (\overline{\mathrm{QDM}}(X_\Sigma, \mathcal{E})_{|\mathbb{C}_z \times B_\epsilon^*}) \end{array}$$

Here Mir is the **mirror map**, as described, e.g., in [MM11, Theorem 5.6].

We are now ready to state and prove on of the main results of this paper.

Theorem 6.7. *We have isomorphisms of $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{C}_z \times \mathcal{KM}^\circ}$ -modules*

$$\mathcal{P} \cong {}_0\mathcal{QM}_{A'} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{P}^{\text{res}} \cong {}_0\mathcal{QM}_{A'}^{IC}.$$

Proof. The first isomorphism follows from a similar argument as [RS10, Proposition 3.2], namely, the section $g : \mathcal{KM}^\circ \hookrightarrow W$ can be used to consider $y_1, \dots, y_{n+c}, q_1, \dots, q_r$ as coordinates on W , and then it is easy to calculate that the operator $\tilde{\square}_l$ from the definition of the module ${}^*\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_{A'}^{(c, \underline{0}, \underline{0})}$ (definition 6.1) takes exactly the form \mathcal{Q}_l in these coordinates. Notice that there is a difference of signs in the factors of the operators $\tilde{\square}$ and \mathcal{Q}_l corresponding to the vector bundle variables. This sign can be shifted into the factor q_l^l and then it is taken care of by the twist ι entering into the definition of the map g . The operators E_k are transformed into $y_k \partial_{y_k}$ for any $k = 1, \dots, n+k$, and the operator E_0 becomes $z \partial_z - \widehat{K}_{\mathbb{V}(\mathcal{E}^\vee)}$. Taking the inverse image under $\text{id}_z \times g$ then means forgetting ∂_{y_k} and putting y_k to 1, so that we arrive exactly at the definition of the module \mathcal{P} from Theorem 6.6.

Concerning the second isomorphism, we first construct a surjective morphism of $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{C}_z \times \mathcal{KM}^\circ}$ -modules ${}_0\mathcal{QM}_{A'}^{IC} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^{\text{res}}$. Consider the set

$$\{P \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{C}_z \times \mathcal{KM}^\circ} \mid \exists n \in \mathbb{N} : (\widehat{c}_{\text{top}})^n \cdot P \in \mathcal{J}\}.$$

One easily checks that this is a left ideal in $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{C}_z \times \mathcal{KM}^\circ}$. It is evident that we have an inclusion

$$\{P \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{C}_z \times \mathcal{KM}^\circ} \mid \widehat{c}_{\text{top}} \cdot P \in \mathcal{J}\} \subset \{P \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{C}_z \times \mathcal{KM}^\circ} \mid \exists n \in \mathbb{N} : (\widehat{c}_{\text{top}})^n \cdot P \in \mathcal{J}\},$$

and as the ideal $\mathcal{Q}_{\text{quot}}$ is by definition the smallest ideal containing the left hand side, we obtain that

$$\mathcal{Q}_{\text{quot}} \subset \{P \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{C}_z \times \mathcal{KM}^\circ} \mid \exists n \in \mathbb{N} : (\widehat{c}_{\text{top}})^n \cdot P \in \mathcal{J}\}. \quad (54)$$

Recall from definition 6.5 that

$${}_0\mathcal{QM}_{A'}^{IC} = (\text{id}_{\mathbb{C}_z} \times g)^* \left(\tilde{\phi} \left({}_0\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_{A'}^{(0, \underline{0}, \underline{0})} \right) \right). \quad (55)$$

Notice that the morphism $\tilde{\phi}$ sends ${}_0\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_{A'}^{(0, \underline{0}, \underline{0})}$ into ${}_0\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{A'}^{(-c, \underline{0}, \underline{0})}$ and we obviously have

$$\text{Ker} \left(\tilde{\phi} : {}_0\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_{A'}^{(0, \underline{0}, \underline{0})} \longrightarrow {}_0\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{A'}^{(-c, \underline{0}, \underline{0})} \right) = \text{Ker}(\tilde{\phi}) \cap {}_0\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_{A'}^{(0, \underline{0}, \underline{0})}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\text{Ker}(\tilde{\phi}) = \text{Ker} \left(\widehat{\phi}|_{\mathbb{C}_z \times W^*} \circ \Psi \right) = \Psi^{-1} \left(\text{Ker}(\widehat{\phi})|_{\mathbb{C}_z \times W^*} \right)$$

where $\Psi : {}^*\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_{A'}^{(0, \underline{0}, \underline{0})} \longrightarrow {}^*\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{A'}^{-(2c, \underline{0}, \underline{1})}$ is the isomorphism from definition 6.1 and $\widehat{\phi} : \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{A'}^{-(2c, \underline{0}, \underline{1})} \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{A'}^{(-c, \underline{0}, \underline{0})}$ is the duality morphism from Theorem 5.2, that is, the morphism induced by right multiplication by $\partial_{m+1} \cdot \dots \cdot \partial_{m+c}$. Notice that $\Psi : {}_0\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_{A'}^{(0, \underline{0}, \underline{0})} \xrightarrow{\cong} {}_0\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{A'}^{-(2c, \underline{0}, \underline{1})}$ so that we obtain

$$\text{Ker}(\tilde{\phi}) \cap {}_0\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_{A'}^{(0, \underline{0}, \underline{0})} = \Psi^{-1} \left(\text{Ker}(\widehat{\phi})|_{\mathbb{C}_z \times W^*} \right) \cap {}_0\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_{A'}^{(0, \underline{0}, \underline{0})} \stackrel{!}{=} \Psi^{-1} \left(\text{Ker}(\widehat{\phi})|_{\mathbb{C}_z \times W^*} \cap {}_0\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{A'}^{-(2c, \underline{0}, \underline{1})} \right)$$

The following description of the kernel of $\widehat{\phi}$ can easily be deduced from Theorem 3.6

$$\text{Ker}(\widehat{\phi}) = \left\{ [Q] \in \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{A'}^{-(2c, \underline{0}, \underline{1})} \mid \exists n \in \mathbb{N} : [(\partial_{m+1} \cdot \dots \cdot \partial_{m+c})^n \cdot Q] = 0 \in \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{A'}^{-(2c, \underline{0}, \underline{1})} \right\}$$

Next we calculate the inverse image under Ψ of the right hand side of the last formula. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Psi^{-1} \left(\mathcal{Ker}(\widehat{\phi})|_{\mathbb{C}_z \times W^*} \right) \\
& \cong \left\{ [Q] \in {}^*\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_{A'}^{(0,0,0)} \mid \exists n \in \mathbb{N} : [(\partial_{m+1} \cdots \partial_{m+c})^n \cdot Q \cdot z^c \cdot \lambda_{m+1} \cdots \lambda_{m+c}] = 0 \in \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{A'}^{-(2c,0,1)} \right\} \\
& \cong \left\{ [Q] \in {}^*\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_{A'}^{(0,0,0)} \mid \exists n \in \mathbb{N} : (\partial_{m+1} \cdots \partial_{m+c})^n \cdot Q \cdot z^c \cdot \lambda_{m+1} \cdots \lambda_{m+c} \in \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left((\widehat{\square}_L)_{L \in \mathbb{L}_{A'}} + (\widehat{E}_0 + 2c) + (\widehat{E}_k)_{k=1, \dots, n} + (\widehat{E}_k + 1)_{k=n+1, \dots, n+c} \right) \right\} \\
& \cong \left\{ [Q] \in {}^*\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_{A'}^{(c,0,0)} \mid \exists n \in \mathbb{N}, \exists r_k, t_L \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}_z \times W^*} : (\partial_{m+1} \cdots \partial_{m+c})^n \cdot Q = \right. \\
& \quad \left[\sum_L t_L \widehat{\square}_L + r_0(\widehat{E}_0 + 2c) + \sum_{k=1}^n r_k \widehat{E}_k + \sum_{k=n+1}^{n+c} r_k(\widehat{E}_k + 1) \right] (z^c \lambda_{m+1} \cdots \lambda_{m+c})^{-1} \Big\} \\
& \cong \left\{ [Q] \in {}^*\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_{A'}^{(0,0,0)} \mid \exists n \in \mathbb{N}, \exists r_k, t_L \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}_z \times W^*} : (\partial_{m+1} \cdots \partial_{m+c})^n \cdot Q = \right. \\
& \quad \left[\sum_L t_L (z^c \lambda_{m+1} \cdots \lambda_{m+c})^{-1} \widetilde{\square}_L + r_0 (z^c \lambda_{m+1} \cdots \lambda_{m+c})^{-1} (\widehat{E}_0) + \right. \\
& \quad \left. \sum_{k=1}^n r_k (z^c \lambda_{m+1} \cdots \lambda_{m+c})^{-1} \widehat{E}_k + \sum_{k=1}^n r_k (z^c \lambda_{m+1} \cdots \lambda_{m+c})^{-1} \widehat{E}_k \right] \Big\} \\
& \cong \left\{ [Q] \in {}^*\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_{A'}^{(0,0,0)} \mid \exists n \in \mathbb{N}, [(\partial_{m+1} \cdots \partial_{m+c})^n \cdot Q] = 0 \in {}^*\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_{A'}^{(0,0,0)} \right\} \\
& \cong \left\{ [Q] \in {}^*\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_{A'}^{(0,0,0)} \mid \exists n \in \mathbb{N}, [(z \lambda_{m+1} \partial_{m+1}) \cdots (z \lambda_{m+c} \partial_{m+c})^n \cdot Q] = 0 \in {}^*\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_{A'}^{(0,0,0)} \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

The last isomorphism follows from the fact that the modules ${}^*\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_{A'}^{(0,0,0)}$ are localized along z and λ_i for any $i = 1, \dots, m+c$. We conclude from the above discussion that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{Ker} \left(\widetilde{\phi} : {}_0^*\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_{A'}^{(0,0,0)} \longrightarrow {}_0^*\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{A'}^{(-c,0,0)} \right) \cong \Psi^{-1} \left(\mathcal{Ker}(\widehat{\phi})|_{\mathbb{C}_z \times W^*} \cap {}_0^*\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{A'}^{-(2c,0,1)} \right) \\
& \cong \left\{ [Q] \in {}_0^*\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_{A'}^{(0,0,0)} \mid \exists n \in \mathbb{N}, [(z \lambda_{m+1} \partial_{m+1}) \cdots (z \lambda_{m+c} \partial_{m+c})^n \cdot Q] = 0 \in {}_0^*\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_{A'}^{(0,0,0)} \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain from equation (55) that

$$\begin{aligned}
{}_0\mathcal{Q}\mathcal{M}_{A'}^{IC} &= (\text{id}_{\mathbb{C}_z} \times g)^* \left(\frac{{}_0^*\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_{A'}^{(0,0,0)}}{\mathcal{Ker}(\widetilde{\phi} : {}_0^*\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_{A'}^{(0,0,0)} \longrightarrow {}_0^*\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{A'}^{(-c,0,0)})} \right) \\
&= (\text{id}_{\mathbb{C}_z} \times g)^* \left(\frac{{}_0^*\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_{A'}^{(0,0,0)}}{\left\{ [Q] \in {}_0^*\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_{A'}^{(0,0,0)} \mid \exists n \in \mathbb{N}, [(z \lambda_{m+1} \partial_{m+1}) \cdots (z \lambda_{m+c} \partial_{m+c})^n \cdot Q] = 0 \in {}_0^*\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_{A'}^{(0,0,0)} \right\}} \right) \\
&= \mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{C}_z \times \mathcal{KM}^\circ} / (\{P \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{C}_z \times \mathcal{KM}^\circ} \mid \exists n \in \mathbb{N} : (\widehat{c}_{\text{top}})^n \cdot P \in \mathcal{J}\})
\end{aligned}$$

This last statement, combined with Formula (54) shows that there is a surjective morphism

$${}_0\mathcal{Q}\mathcal{M}_{A'}^{IC} \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}^{\text{res}} \longrightarrow 0$$

of $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{C}_z \times \mathcal{KM}^\circ}$ -modules.

The next step is to show that this is actually an isomorphism. First notice that \mathcal{P}^{res} is $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_z \times \mathcal{KM}^\circ}$ -locally free (this is shown in [MM11, Theorem 5.10]), and that ${}_0\mathcal{Q}\mathcal{M}_{A'}^{IC}$ is at least $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_z \times \mathcal{KM}^\circ}$ -coherent (it is the image of a $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_z \times \mathcal{KM}^\circ}$ -linear map between $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_z \times \mathcal{KM}^\circ}$ -locally free modules). It hence suffices to show that the fibre dimension of ${}_0\mathcal{Q}\mathcal{M}_{A'}^{IC}$ is the same everywhere and does not exceed the rank of \mathcal{P}^{res} . Here we use the same strategy as in [MM11, proof of Theorem 5.10]. Namely, it is sufficient to show that the induced morphism ${}_0\mathcal{Q}\mathcal{M}_{A'}^{IC}/z \cdot {}_0\mathcal{Q}\mathcal{M}_{A'}^{IC} \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}^{\text{res}}/z \cdot \mathcal{P}^{\text{res}}$ is an isomorphism. This implies that the fibre

dimension of ${}_0\mathcal{QM}_{A'}^{IC}$ is the correct one for all points with $z = 0$, but on $z \neq 0$ the rank of ${}_0\mathcal{QM}_{A'}^{IC}$ cannot be greater than that of the restriction to $z = 0$ (in a neighborhood of $z = 0$ this follows from the $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_z \times \mathcal{KM}^\circ}$ -coherence, and the flat structure on $({}_0\mathcal{QM}_{A'}^{IC})|_{\mathbb{C}_z^* \times \mathcal{KM}^\circ}$ gives it everywhere).

In order to show that ${}_0\mathcal{QM}_{A'}^{IC}/z \cdot {}_0\mathcal{QM}_{A'}^{IC} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{P}^{\text{res}}/z \cdot \mathcal{P}^{\text{res}}$ is an isomorphism, let us consider the reduction modulo z of the morphism of $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{C}_z \times \mathcal{KM}^\circ}$ -modules

$${}_0\mathcal{QM}_{A'} = (\text{id}_{\mathbb{C}_z} \times g)^* \left({}^*\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_{A'}^{(0,0,0)} \right) \longrightarrow (\text{id}_{\mathbb{C}_z} \times g)^* \left({}^*\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{A'}^{(-c,0,0)} \right),$$

that is, the $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{KM}^\circ}$ -linear morphism

$${}_0\mathcal{QM}_{A'}/z \cdot {}_0\mathcal{QM}_{A'} = g^* \left({}^*\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_{A'}^{(0,0,0)}/z \cdot {}^*\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_{A'}^{(0,0,0)} \right) \longrightarrow g^* \left({}^*\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{A'}^{(-c,0,0)}/z \cdot {}^*\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{A'}^{(-c,0,0)} \right) \quad (56)$$

given by right multiplication with \widehat{c}_{top} . It follows from the definition of the module ${}_0\mathcal{QM}_{A'}^{IC}$ that ${}_0\mathcal{QM}_{A'}^{IC}/z \cdot {}_0\mathcal{QM}_{A'}^{IC}$ is the image of the morphism (56). We are thus left to identify the image of this morphism with the module $\mathcal{P}^{\text{res}}/z \cdot \mathcal{P}^{\text{res}}$. The latter has been described in [MM11, Lemma 4.16] as the so-called *residual Batyrev ring*, namely, the quotient of the *Batyrev ring* ([MM11, definition 3.12] by the kernel of multiplication by c_{top} . Notice that both modules occurring in Formula (56) are canonically identified with the Batyrev ring, and that under this identification the morphism itself is nothing but multiplication by c_{top} . Hence its image is isomorphic to $\mathcal{P}^{\text{res}}/z \cdot \mathcal{P}^{\text{res}}$, as required. \square

Combining Theorem 6.7, Theorem 6.6 and Propositions 6.3 and 6.4, we obtain the following mirror statement.

Theorem 6.8. *Let X_Σ and $\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_c$ as in Theorem 6.6. Consider the affine resp. non-affine Landau-Ginzburg models $\pi_1 = (\widetilde{F}, \underline{q}) : X^{\text{aff}} \times \mathcal{KM}^\circ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_{\lambda_0} \times \mathcal{KM}^\circ$, $\pi_2 : S \times \mathcal{KM}^\circ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_{\lambda_0} \times \mathcal{KM}^\circ$ and $\Pi : \mathcal{Z}_X^\circ \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Z}^\circ \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathbb{C}_{\lambda_0} \times \mathcal{KM}^\circ$ associated to $(X_\Sigma, \mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_c)$. Let $B_\epsilon^* \subset \mathcal{KM}^\circ$ be the punctured ball from Theorem 6.6. Then there are isomorphisms of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}_z \times B_\epsilon^*}$ -modules*

$$\text{FL}_{\mathcal{KM}^\circ}^{\text{loc}} \left(\mathcal{H}^0 \pi_{2*} \mathcal{O}_{S \times \mathcal{KM}^\circ} \right)_{|\mathbb{C}_z \times B_\epsilon^*} \cong (\text{id}_{\mathbb{C}_z} \times \text{Mir})^* \left(\text{QDM}(X_\Sigma, \mathcal{E})_{|\mathbb{C}_z \times B_\epsilon^*} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_z \times B_\epsilon^*} (*(\{0\} \times B_\epsilon^*)) \right)$$

$$\text{FL}_{\mathcal{KM}^\circ}^{\text{loc}} \left(\mathcal{H}^0 \alpha_+ \mathcal{M}^{IC}(\mathcal{Z}_X^\circ) \right)_{|\mathbb{C}_z \times B_\epsilon^*} \cong (\text{id}_{\mathbb{C}_z} \times \text{Mir})^* \left(\overline{\text{QDM}}(X_\Sigma, \mathcal{E})_{|\mathbb{C}_z \times B_\epsilon^*} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_z \times B_\epsilon^*} (*(\{0\} \times B_\epsilon^*)) \right)$$

and an isomorphism of $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{C}_z \times B_\epsilon^*}$ -modules

$$\left(H^{n+c}(\Omega_{X^{\text{aff}} \times \mathcal{KM}^\circ / \mathcal{KM}^\circ}^\bullet(\log D)[z], zd - d\widetilde{F}) \right)'_{|\mathbb{C}_z \times B_\epsilon^*} \cong (\text{id}_{\mathbb{C}_z} \times \text{Mir})^* \left(\text{QDM}(X_\Sigma, \mathcal{E})_{|\mathbb{C}_z \times B_\epsilon^*} \right).$$

The following corollary is the promised Hodge theoretic application of the above main theorem.

Corollary 6.9. *There exists a variation of non-commutative pure polarized Hodge structures $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{L}_Q, \text{iso}, P)$ on \mathcal{KM}° (see [KKP08], [HS10] or [Sab11] for the definition) such that*

$$\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_z \times B_\epsilon^*} (*(\{0\} \times B_\epsilon^*)) \cong (\text{id}_{\mathbb{C}_z} \times \text{Mir})^* \left(\overline{\text{QDM}}(X_\Sigma, \mathcal{E})_{|\mathbb{C}_z \times B_\epsilon^*} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_z \times B_\epsilon^*} (*(\{0\} \times B_\epsilon^*)) \right) \quad (57)$$

Proof. Using theorem 6.8, this is a direct consequence of [Sai88, Théorème 1] and [Sab08, Corollary 3.15]. \square

It would of course be desirable to remove the use of the localization functor $- \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_z \times B_\epsilon^*} (*(\{0\} \times B_\epsilon^*))$ from the above theorem. We conjecture that the corresponding statement still holds, however, we cannot give a complete proof of this for the moment as we are not able to control the Hodge filtration on $\mathcal{M}^{IC}(\mathcal{Z}_X^\circ)$. More precisely, we expect the following to be true.

Conjecture 6.10. *1. Write $F_\bullet^H \mathcal{H}^0 \alpha_+ \mathcal{M}^{IC}(\mathcal{Z}_X^\circ)$ for the Hodge filtration on the pure Hodge module (see [Sai88, Théorème 1]) $\mathcal{H}^0 \alpha_+ \mathcal{M}^{IC}(\mathcal{Z}_X^\circ)$, which has weight $m + n + 2c$. Let $F_\bullet^H[\partial_{\lambda_0}^{-1}]$ be the saturation of F_\bullet^H as in the proof of lemma 6.3 and write G_\bullet^H for the induced filtration on $\text{FL}_{\mathcal{KM}^\circ}(\mathcal{H}^0 \alpha_+ \mathcal{M}^{IC}(\mathcal{Z}_X^\circ))$. Then under the isomorphism of proposition 6.4, 2., we have that*

$$G_{\bullet-(m+n+2c)}^H \text{FL}_{\mathcal{KM}^\circ}(\mathcal{H}^0 \alpha_+ \mathcal{M}^{IC}(\mathcal{Z}_X^\circ)) \cong z^\bullet \cdot {}_0\mathcal{QM}_{A'}^{IC}$$

Notice that the bundle \mathcal{F} which was used in the isomorphism from corollary 6.9 is nothing but the object $G_{\bullet-(m+n+2c)}^H \text{FL}_{\mathcal{KM}^\circ}(\mathcal{H}^0 \alpha_+ \mathcal{M}^{IC}(\mathcal{Z}_X^\circ))$.

2. The isomorphism (57) holds without localization, i.e., there is an isomorphism of $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{C}_z \times B_\epsilon^*}$ -modules

$$\left(G_{-(m+n+2c)}^H \mathrm{FL}_{\mathcal{KM}^\circ}(\mathcal{H}^0 \alpha_+ \mathcal{M}^{IC}(Z_X^\circ)) \right)_{|\mathbb{C}_z \times B_\epsilon^*} \cong (\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{C}_z} \times \mathrm{Mir})^* (\overline{\mathrm{QDM}}(X_\Sigma, \mathcal{E})_{|\mathbb{C}_z \times B_\epsilon^*}).$$

As a consequence, the reduced quantum \mathcal{D} -module underlies a variation of non-commutative Hodge structures.

Remark: The following consideration shows that the last theorem can also be considered as a generalization of mirror symmetry for Fano manifolds themselves, as presented in our previous paper (see [RS10, Proposition 4.10]). Namely, let us consider the case where the number c of line bundles on the toric variety X_Σ is zero. Then we have $A' = A$, and the duality morphism ϕ from theorem 5.2 is

$$\phi : \mathcal{M}_{A''}^{-(c+1, \underline{0}, \underline{1})} = \mathcal{M}_{A''}^{(-1, \underline{0})} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{A''}^{(0, \underline{0}, \underline{0})} = \mathcal{M}_{A''}^{(0, \underline{0})}$$

and is induced by right multiplication by ∂_{λ_0} . In particular, the induced morphism $\hat{\phi}$ is simply the identity on $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{A'}^{(0, \underline{0})}$. In particular, we have that $\mathrm{im}(\tilde{\phi}) \cong \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{A'}^{(0, \underline{0})}$ so that $\mathcal{QM}_{A'}^{IC} \cong \mathcal{QM}_{A'}$ and ${}_0\mathcal{QM}_{A'}^{IC} \cong {}_0\mathcal{QM}_{A'}$. On the other hand, the reduced quantum \mathcal{D} -module $\overline{\mathrm{QDM}}(X_\Sigma, \mathcal{E})$ is nothing but the quantum \mathcal{D} -module of the variety X_Σ , so that we deduce from theorem 6.8 that we have an isomorphism of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}_z \times B_\epsilon^*}$ -modules

$$\mathrm{FL}_{\mathcal{KM}^\circ}^{loc}(\mathcal{H}^0 \pi_2 + \mathcal{O}_{S \times \mathcal{KM}^\circ})_{|\mathbb{C}_z \times B_\epsilon^*} \cong (\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{C}_z} \times \mathrm{Mir})^* (\mathrm{QDM}(X_\Sigma)_{|\mathbb{C}_z \times B_\epsilon^*} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_z \times B_\epsilon^*}(*(\{0\} \times B_\epsilon^*))).$$

One easily sees that we have an even more precise statement, namely, the third assertion of theorem 6.8 simplifies in this case to an isomorphism of $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{C}_z \times B_\epsilon^*}$ -modules

$$H^n(\Omega_{S \times \mathcal{KM}^\circ / \mathcal{KM}^\circ}^\bullet[z], zd - d\tilde{F})_{|\mathbb{C}_z \times B_\epsilon^*} \cong (\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{C}_z} \times \mathrm{Mir})^* (\mathrm{QDM}(X_\Sigma, \mathcal{E})_{|\mathbb{C}_z \times B_\epsilon^*}).$$

This isomorphism is the restriction of the isomorphism in [RS10, Proposition 4.10] to $\mathbb{C}_z \times B_\epsilon$ (see also [Iri09, proposition 4.8]), notice that the neighborhood B_ϵ is called W_0 in [RS10]. Hence we see that our main theorem 6.8 contains in particular the mirror correspondence for smooth toric nef manifolds, at least on the level of $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{C}_z \times B_\epsilon}$ -modules.

One may conclude from the above observation that Landau-Ginzburg models, either affine or compactified, appear to be the right point of view to study various type of mirror models of (the quantum cohomology of) smooth projective manifolds, including Calabi-Yau, Fano and more generally nef ones. The recent preprint [GKR12] where varieties of general types and their mirrors are investigated, also seem to confirm this observation. It would certainly be fruitful to apply our methods to varieties with positive Kodaira dimension to refine the results from loc.cit.

References

- [Ado94] Alan Adolphson, *Hypergeometric functions and rings generated by monomials*, Duke Math. J. **73** (1994), no. 2, 269–290.
- [BH93] Winfried Bruns and Jürgen Herzog, *Cohen-Macaulay rings*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 39, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993.
- [Bry86] Jean-Luc Brylinski, *Transformations canoniques, dualité projective, théorie de Lefschetz, transformations de Fourier et sommes trigonométriques*, Astérisque (1986), no. 140-141, 3–134, 251, Géométrie et analyse microlocales.
- [CK99] David A. Cox and Sheldon Katz, *Mirror symmetry and algebraic geometry*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 68, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1999.
- [DE03] Andrea D’Agnolo and Michael Eastwood, *Radon and Fourier transforms for \mathcal{D} -modules*, Adv. Math. **180** (2003), no. 2, 452–485.
- [Dim92] Alexandru Dimca, *Singularities and topology of hypersurfaces*, Universitext, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1992.

- [Dim04] ———, *Sheaves in topology*, Universitext, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004.
- [DS03] Antoine Douai and Claude Sabbah, *Gauss-Manin systems, Brieskorn lattices and Frobenius structures. I*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **53** (2003), no. 4, 1055–1116.
- [Ful93] William Fulton, *Introduction to toric varieties*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, vol. 131, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993, The William H. Roever Lectures in Geometry.
- [GKR12] Mark Gross, Ludmil Katzarkov, and Helge Ruddat, *Towards mirror symmetry for varieties of general type*, Preprint arXiv:1202.4042, 2012.
- [GKZ90] I. M. Gel’fand, M. M. Kapranov, and A. V. Zelevinsky, *Generalized Euler integrals and A-hypergeometric functions*, Adv. Math. **84** (1990), no. 2, 255–271.
- [GKZ08] I. M. Gelfand, M. M. Kapranov, and A. V. Zelevinsky, *Discriminants, resultants and multidimensional determinants*, Modern Birkhäuser Classics, Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 2008, Reprint of the 1994 edition.
- [GM83] Mark Goresky and Robert MacPherson, *Intersection homology. II*, Invent. Math. **72** (1983), no. 1, 77–129.
- [Gro11] Mark Gross, *Tropical geometry and mirror symmetry*, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, vol. 114, Published for the Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences, Washington, DC, 2011.
- [Hoc72] M. Hochster, *Rings of invariants of tori, Cohen-Macaulay rings generated by monomials, and polytopes*, Ann. of Math. (2) **96** (1972), 318–337.
- [Hot98] Ryoshi Hotta, *Equivariant \mathcal{D} -modules*, Preprint math.RT/9805021, 1998.
- [HS10] Claus Hertling and Christian Sevenheck, *Limits of families of Brieskorn lattices and compactified classifying spaces*, Adv. Math. **223** (2010), no. 4, 1155–1224.
- [HTT08] Ryoshi Hotta, Kiyoshi Takeuchi, and Toshiyuki Tanisaki, *\mathcal{D} -modules, perverse sheaves, and representation theory*, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 236, Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 2008, Translated from the 1995 Japanese edition by Takeuchi.
- [Iri09] Hiroshi Iritani, *An integral structure in quantum cohomology and mirror symmetry for toric orbifolds*, Adv. Math. **222** (2009), no. 3, 1016–1079.
- [Kas08] Masaki Kashiwara, *Equivariant derived category and representation of real semisimple Lie groups*, Representation theory and complex analysis, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1931, Springer, Berlin, 2008, Lectures from the C.I.M.E. Summer School held in Venice, June 10–17, 2004, Edited by Enrico Casadio Tarabusi, Andrea D’Agnolo and Massimo Picardello, pp. 137–234.
- [KKP08] L. Katzarkov, M. Kontsevich, and T. Pantev, *Hodge theoretic aspects of mirror symmetry*, From Hodge theory to integrability and TQFT tt^* -geometry (Providence, RI) (Ron Y. Donagi and Katrin Wendland, eds.), Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 78, Amer. Math. Soc., 2008, pp. 87–174.
- [MM11] Etienne Mann and Thierry Mignon, *Quantum \mathcal{D} -modules for toric nef complete intersections*, Preprint arXiv:1112.1552, 2011.
- [MMW05] Laura Felicia Matusevich, Ezra Miller, and Uli Walther, *Homological methods for hypergeometric families*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **18** (2005), no. 4, 919–941 (electronic).
- [MS05] Ezra Miller and Bernd Sturmfels, *Combinatorial commutative algebra*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 227, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2005.

- [Pha79] Frédéric Pham, *Singularités des systèmes différentiels de Gauss-Manin*, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 2, Birkhäuser Boston, Mass., 1979, With contributions by Lo Kam Chan, Philippe Maisonobe and Jean-Étienne Rombaldi.
- [Rei12] Thomas Reichelt, *Laurent polynomials, GKZ-hypergeometric systems and mixed Hodge modules*, Preprint arXiv:1209.3941, 2012.
- [RS10] Thomas Reichelt and Christian Sevenheck, *Logarithmic Frobenius manifolds, hypergeometric systems and quantum \mathcal{D} -modules*, Preprint math.AG/1010.2118, to appear in “Journal of Algebraic Geometry”, 2010.
- [Sab08] Claude Sabbah, *Fourier-Laplace transform of a variation of polarized complex Hodge structure.*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **621** (2008), 123–158.
- [Sab11] ———, *Non-commutative Hodge structure*, Preprint arXiv:1107.5890, to appear in “Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)”, 2011.
- [Sai88] Morihiko Saito, *Modules de Hodge polarisables*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **24** (1988), no. 6, 849–995 (1989).
- [Sai89] ———, *On the structure of Brieskorn lattice*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **39** (1989), no. 1, 27–72.
- [SW09] Mathias Schulze and Uli Walther, *Hypergeometric \mathcal{D} -modules and twisted Gauß-Manin systems*, J. Algebra **322** (2009), no. 9, 3392–3409.
- [Wal07] Uli Walther, *Duality and monodromy reducibility of A -hypergeometric systems*, Math. Ann. **338** (2007), no. 1, 55–74.
- [Wiś02] Jarosław A. Wiśniewski, *Toric Mori theory and Fano manifolds*, Geometry of toric varieties (Laurent Bonavero and Michel Brion, eds.), Sémin. Congr., vol. 6, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 2002, Lectures from the Summer School held in Grenoble, June 19–July 7, 2000, pp. 249–272.

Thomas Reichelt
 Lehrstuhl für Mathematik VI
 Universität Mannheim
 68131 Mannheim
 Germany
 Thomas.Reichelt@math.uni-mannheim.de

Christian Sevenheck
 Lehrstuhl für Mathematik VI
 Universität Mannheim
 68131 Mannheim
 Germany
 Christian.Sevenheck@math.uni-mannheim.de